IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

Steven M. Huffaker

FEDERAL AID IN FISH RESTORATION 2002 Job Performance Report Program F-71-R-25



#### REGIONAL FISHERIES MANAGEMENT INVESTIGATIONS SALMON REGION (Subprojects I, II, III, IV)

PROJECT I.
------------

#### SURVEYS AND INVENTORIES

Job 7a <sup>1</sup> .	Salmon Region Mountain Lakes Investigations – Stocking
Job 7a <sup>2</sup> .	Salmon Region Mountain Lakes Investigations – Buster Lake
Job a <sup>3</sup> .	Lowland Lakes Investigations – Carlson Lake
Job 7b <sup>1</sup> .	Lowland Lakes Investigations – Herd Lake
Job 7b <sup>2</sup> .	Lowland Lakes Investigations – Jimmy Smith Lake
Job 7b <sup>3</sup> .	Lowland Lakes Investigations – Mosquito Flat
Job 7b⁴.	Lowland Lakes Investigations – Williams Lake
Job 7c <sup>1</sup>	Salmon Region Rivers and Streams Investigations – Wild
	Trout Redd Counts and Stream Surveys
Job 7d.	Salmon Region Salmon Technical Assistance

Ву

Arnie Brimmer, Regional Fishery Biologist Tom Curet, Regional Fishery Manager Bob Esselman, Regional Fishery Biologist Kimberly Andrews, Regional Fishery Technician

> July 2006 IDFG 05-27

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region Mountain Lakes Investigations – Stocking

ABSTRACT		1
OBJECTIVES		2
METHODS		2
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		4
RECOMMENDATION	۱S	4
LITERATURE CITED		59

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Bahls Trout Relative abundance	3
Table 2.	Bahls total Impact Ratings for Lakes	3
Table 3.	Salmon Region high mountain (alpine) lakes stocked during the	
	Summer of 2002. All fish were stocked by McCall Fish Hatchery	
	personnel	5
Table 4.	Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #1	7
Table 5.	Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #4	8
Table 6.	Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #4A	9
Table 7.	Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #8	10
Table 8.	Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #8A	11
Table 9.	Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #9	12
Table 10.	Alpine lake survey of Dairy Lake	13
Table 11.	Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #1	14
Table 12.	Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #2	15
Table 13.	Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #3	16
Table 14.	Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #3A	17
Table 15.	Alpine lake survey of Grouse Creek Lake	18
Table 16.	Alpine lake survey of Island Lake A	19
Table 17.	Alpine lake survey of Island Lake B	20
Table 18.	Alpine lake survey of Island Lake C	21
Table 19.	Alpine lake survey of Island Lake	22
Table 20.	Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake #1	23
Table 21.	Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake A	24
Table 22.	Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake B	25
Table 23.	Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake C	26
Table 24.	Alpine lake survey of Lower Island Lake	27
Table 25.	Alpine lake survey of Mill Creek Reservoir #1	28
Table 26.	Alpine lake survey of Pole Lake	29
Table 27	Alpine lake survey of Quake Lake	30

#### <u>Page</u>

Table 28.	Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #1	31
Table 29.	Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #1A	32
Table 30.	Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #18	33
Table 31.	Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #2	34
Table 32.	Alpine lake survey of Rocky Lake	35
Table 33.	Alpine lake survey of Ruffneck Lake	36
Table 34.	Alpine lake survey of UP Lake	37
Table 35.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #1	38
Table 36.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #10	39
Table 37.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #11	40
Table 38.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #12	41
Table 39.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #13	42
Table 40.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #2	43
Table 41.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #3	44
Table 42.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #4	45
Table 43.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #5	46
Table 44.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #6	47
Table 45.	Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #7	48
Table 46.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #1	49
Table 47.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #2	50
Table 48.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #3	51
Table 49.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #4	52
Table 50.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #5	53
Table 51.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #5B	54
Table 52.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #5C	55
Table 53.	Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #6A	56
Table 54.	Alpine lake survey of Woodtick Lake #3	57
Table 55.	Alpine lake survey of Woodtick Lake #3A	58

## Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region Mountain Lakes Investigations – Buster Lake

ABSTRACT		60
INTRODUCTION		61
OBJECTIVE		61
METHODS		61
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		61

<u>Page</u>

LITERATURE	CITED	64
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1.	Zooplankton ration (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher, 1999	63
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1.	Length frequency histogram for Buster Lake 2002	62
<u>Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region – Lowland Lakes Investigations-</u> <u>Carlson Lake</u>		
ABSTRACT		65
INTRODUCTI	ION	66
OBJECTIVE		66
METHODS		66
RESULTS AN	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
RECOMMEN	DATIONS	71
LITERATURE	CITED	72

### LIST OF FIGURES

Length frequency histograms for brook trout in Carlson Lake during	
specified YEARS, 1981-2002. In 1981 a mean length is not available	
as brook trout were measured by 10 mm length classes and no	
individual lengths were taken	68
	Length frequency histograms for brook trout in Carlson Lake during specified YEARS, 1981-2002. In 1981 a mean length is not available as brook trout were measured by 10 mm length classes and no individual lengths were taken

#### Page

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Comparison of eastern brook trout lengths and gill netting efforts in	
	Carlson Lake, Idaho 1992-2002	70

#### Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region Lowland Lakes Investigations – Herd Lake

ABSTRACT		73
INTRODUCTION		74
OBJECTIVES		74
METHODS		74
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		74
RECOMMENDATION	۱S	78
LITERATURE CITED	)	79

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Zooplankton ration (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI)
	from Teuscher, 1999

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 2002	75
Figure 2.	Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 2001	76
Figure 3.	Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Her Lake, Idaho 1996	76
Figure 4.	Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 1994	77
Figure 5.	Gill net CPUE (fish/hour) 1992 – 2002	77

#### <u>Page</u>

80

# Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region – Lowland Lakes Investigations – Jimmy Smith Lake ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION		81
OBJECTIVES		81
METHODS		81
RESULTS AND DIS	CUSSION	82
RECOMMENDATIO	NS	82
LITERATURE CITED	)	83

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Zooplankton ration ( from Teuscher, 1999	ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI)	82
<u>Surveys an</u> <u>Mos</u>	d Inventories – Salmo quito Flat	n Region – Lowland Lakes Investigations –	
ABSTRACT			84
INTRODUC	ΓΙΟΝ		85
OBJECTIVE	S		85
METHODS			85
RESULTS A	ND DISCUSSION		85
RECOMME	NDATIONS		88
LITERATUR	E CITED		89

#### Page

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	1. Zooplankton ration (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI)	
	from Teuscher, 1999	87

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Mosquito Flat Reservoir, Idaho 2002	86
Figure 2.	Zooplankton ZPR and ZQI indices from Mosquito Flats Reservoir, Idaho, during 2002	87
Figure 3.	Species composition of Mosquito Flats Reservoir, Idaho, 1992 through 2002	88

#### <u>Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region – Low Lakes Investigations –</u> <u>Williams Lake</u>

ABSTRACT		90
INTRODUCTION		91
OBJECTIVES		91
METHODS		91
RESULTS AND DISC	CUSSION	92
RECOMMENDATION	۱S	99
LITERATURE CITED		100

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Zooplankton ratio (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher, 1999	93
Table 2.	Dissolved oxygen and water temperature measurements for Williams Lake at Inlet, March 6, 2002	94
Table 3.	Dissolved oxygen and water temperature measurements for Williams Lake at Boat Dock, March 6, 2002	94

#### <u>Page</u>

Table 4.	Dissolved oxygen and water temperature measurements for Williams Lake at Zmax <sup>a</sup> , March 6, 2002	94
Table 5.	Williams Lake inlet and outlet flow measurements	96
Table 6.	Comparative minimum, maximum, and average water temperatures (°C) recorded at two locations in Williams Lake during 2002	96

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Zooplankton (ZQI) results from 2000 and 2002	93
Figure 2.	Average, maximum, and daily temperatures recorded for Williams Lake outlet from April 18 to October 11, 2002	97
Figure 3.	Average, maximum, and daily temperatures recorded for Williams Lake inlet from April 17 to October 11, 2002	98

### <u>Surveys and Inventories – Salmon Region – Rivers and Streams Investigations –</u> <u>Wild Trout Redd Counts and Stream Surveys</u>

ABSTRACT		101
INTRODUCTION		103
OBJECTIVE		103
STUDY AREA AND N	METHODS	104
RESULTS AND DISC	CUSSION	106
LITERATURE CITED	)	115

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Upper Lemhi Resident Rainbow Trout Spawning Ground Surveys,	
-	1994 – 2003	115

#### <u>Page</u>

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Number of resident rainbow trout redds counted in Big Springs Creek (BSC) and Lemhi River, 1994 through 2003	
Table 2.	Combined salmonids population estimates, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and species composition for selected streams of the Upper Salmon River Basin in 2003 (L = lower reach, M = middle reach, and U = upper reach)	107
Table 3.	Combined non-game fish population estimates, and species composition for selected streams of the Upper Salmon River Basin in 2003 (L = lower reach, M = middle reach, and U = upper reach)	111
	LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix A.	Site characteristics of streams surveys in the Upper Salmon River Basin during the summer of 2002	119
Appendix B.	Upper Salmon River Basin (Idaho) tributary streams surveyed during the summer of 2002	125
Surveys and	<u> Inventories – Salmon Region – Technical Guidance</u>	
ABSTRACT		131
OBJECTIVES		132
METHODS		132
RESULTS		132
RECOMMEN	DATIONS	134

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: Idaho

Program: Fisheries Management F-71-R-27

Project I: <u>Surveys and Inventories</u>

Job: <u>7-a<sup>1</sup></u>

Title: Mountain Lake Investigations

Subproject I-H: Salmon Region

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

#### ABSTRACT

#### Mountain Lake Stocking

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game (Department) stocked 60 alpine mountain lakes in the Salmon Region via airplane during the summer of 2002. In the Salmon–Challis National Forest, 400 golden trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss aquabonita* were stocked in one lake, 1,375 arctic grayling *Thymallus arcticus* were stocked in three lakes, 2,750 westslope cutthroat trout *O. clarkii lewisi* were stocked in 11 lakes and 1,325 triploid (3N) Hayspur rainbow trout *O. mykiss* were stocked in six lakes. The Sawtooth National Recreation Area was stocked with a total of 3,150 arctic grayling in five lakes, 325 3N rainbow trout in one lake and 21,200 westslope cutthroat trout in 33 lakes.

#### Mountain Lake Surveys

Department personnel surveyed a total of 52 mountain lakes in the Sawtooth Wilderness Area and the Salmon-Challis National Forests during June, July, and August of 2002. We assessed fishery status visually and/or by angling. We also assessed lake use, natural recruitment potential, and past stocking efforts. Thirty-one of the 52 (60%) lakes surveyed were previously stocked. Twenty-three of the 31 (74%) stocked lakes had fish. A total of 24 of the 52 (46%) lakes sampled were found to have fish populations, one of which has no record of being stocked. Eight out of the 24 (33%) lakes with fish had naturally reproducing fish populations. We determined that fish stocking should be discontinued in 13 of the 31 (42%) lakes surveyed due to a lack of appropriate habitat or because naturally reproducing fish populations were present. Twenty-eight of the 52 (54%) lakes surveyed were fishless and should remain so to provide refugia for native fauna. Twenty-nine of the 52 lakes surveyed (56%) showed campsite impact rates of low to moderate use.

Authors:

Arnie Brimmer - Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews - Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman - Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet - Regional Fishery Manager

#### OBJECTIVES

#### Mountain Lake Stocking

Maintain a viable and diverse high mountain lake fishery in the Salmon Region.

#### Mountain Lake Surveys

To conduct rapid cursory surveys of all stocked and unstocked mountain lakes within the Salmon Region to document the amphibian and fish populations, the spawning potential of the lakes inlets and outlets status of angler/camper use. Information collected during this multi-year effort will be used to develop a high lake mangement plan for the Salmon Region.

#### METHODS

#### Mountain Lake Stocking

We used a Cessna – 185 fixed-wing airplane to stock Salmon Region high mountain lakes during the summer of 2002. All stocking was conducted by McCall Hatchery personnel.

#### Mountain Lake Surveys

Department personnel conducted cursory surveys of 52 mountain lakes in the Sawtooth Wilderness Area and the Salmon-Challis National Forest (SCNF) during June, July and August 2002. We documented fish communities via angling and/or by visual observation. Fish caught from the lakes were identified, measured (total length) to the nearest millimeter and released. We used hook and line information to estimate fish relative abundance using rating methods developed by Bahls (1992; Table 1). Visual observation was also used to determine fish presence but no relative abundance estimate was determined. These techniques were used separately or in concert to determine stocking success and to consider future stocking adjustments. Presence or absence of amphibians was determined by timed visual encounter survey of the shoreline perimeter.

Each lake was surveyed to document campsite impacts. Lakes were visually surveyed for campsites and signs of human use and notes recorded about the difficulty of access. We used Bahls (1992) campsite impact rating to assess the condition of areas surrounding each lake (Table 2).

All data collected was entered in the Salmon Region alpine lake Microsoft Access database for future analysis. Data sheets are archived in the Region's files.

### Table 1.Bahls Trout Relative Abundance (Move table after page 2)

Population Size	Angling catch/hour	Gill net catch/12 hour set
Very low	<0.4	<4
Low	0.4 - 1.0	5-8
Moderate	1.1 - 3.0	9-17
High	3.1 - 6.0	18-30
Very High	>6.0	>31-70

### Table 2.Bahls Total Impact Rating for Lakes

None	No campsites found
Low	1 - 4
Moderate	5 - 7
High	> 7

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Mountain Lake Stocking

In the Salmon Region (including the SCNF, and the Sawtooth Wilderness Area), a total of 60 alpine mountain lakes were stocked with 30,525 trout. Of these trout stocked in the Salmon Region, 1,650 were triploid Hayspur rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, 4,525 Arctic grayling *Thymallus arcticus*, and 400 were golden trout *O. mykiss aquabonita*. All fish were stocked by McCall Fish Hatchery personnel from September 10 to 14, 2002. Three aircraft flights were used for stocking at a total cost of \$2,047 or \$34.17 per lake.

Table 3 shows the stocking record of 2002, including: lakes stocked, catalog numbers of stocked lakes, trout species stocked, land area locations of lakes stocked within the Salmon Region, and numbers of trout stocked in each lake.

#### Mountain Lake Surveys

Our results showed that fish occurred in 24 (46%) of the 52 lakes surveyed. In seven lakes catch rates ranged from 0.1 to 3.0 angling catch/hour, which is considered very low to moderate fish abundance. In 17 lakes (33%) catch rates were  $\geq$  3.1 fish/hour, which was considered to be high to very high fish abundance. Of 52 lakes surveyed, 31 (60%) were previously stocked. We determined that fish stocking should be discontinued in 13 of the 31 (42%) lakes surveyed due to a lack of appropriate habitat or because naturally reproducing fish populations were present. Of the 13, eight of the lakes demonstrated natural reproduction and the remaining five showed poor ecological conditions not conducive to sustaining a fishery. Twenty-eight (54%) of the lakes surveyed were fishless and we recommend they remain so in order to provide refugia for various amphibian species. Results of each survey are listed in tables 4-55.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue cursory surveys and perform standard surveys of high mountain lakes to more accurately determine the current status of fish and amphibian populations, human use, and the success of current stocking strategies. Standard mountain lake surveys will be performed on selected keystone lakes based on cursory surveys and historical stocking information to measure the response of fish and amphibian populations due to changes in mangement techniques. Priority will be on lakes with hatchery stocking of non-natives in drainages with indigenous salmonids.

	Catalog			Number
Lake Name	Number	Land Area <sup>a.</sup>	Species <sup>b.</sup>	Stocked
Big Frog L #2	7-1385	SNRA	C2	1,000
Cache Cr L #1	7-0843	Salmon-Challis	C2	250
Cache Cr L #3	7-0845	Salmon-Challis	GR	250
Cache Cr L #5	7-0848	Salmon-Challis	GR	375
Castle L	7-1420	SNRA	C2	650
Castle L #1	7-0835	Salmon-Challis	C2	125
Castle View L	7-1440	SNRA	C2	250
Challis Cr L #1	7-1330	Salmon-Challis	C2	950
Challis Cr L #2	7-1333	Salmon-Challis	C2	750
Chamberlain L #7	7-1439	SNRA	C2	500
China L #3	7-0885	Salmon-Challis	GN	400
Cirque L	7-1369	SNRA	C2	1,150
Cove L	7-1364	SNRA	C2	1,100
Crater L	7-1460	SNRA	C2	875
Drift L	7-1424	SNRA	C2	375
East Basin Cr L #3	7-1517	Salmon-Challis	C2	475
Elk L	7-1479	SNRA	C2	675
Feldspar L	7-1380	SNRA	GR	550
Fourth of July L	7-1685	SNRA	C2	725
Garland L #1	7-1468	SNRA	C2	500
Garland L #2	7-1469	SNRA	C2	500
Garland L #3	7-1470	SNRA	C2	350
Gentian L	7-1370	SNRA	Т9	325
Goat L	7-1375	SNRA	C2	1,150
Gunsight L	7-1350	SNRA	C2	450
Hindman L #1	7-1495	Salmon-Challis	C2	500
Honey L	7-1433	SNRA	C2	200
HooDoo L	7-1463	SNRA	C2	250
Hope L	7-1430	SNRA	GR	650
Liberty L #1	7-0830	Salmon-Challis	Т9	150
Liberty L #2 (South)	7-0833	Salmon-Challis	Т9	200
Lightning L	7-1680	SNRA	C2	275
Little Redfish L	7-1347	SNRA	C2	250
MacRae L (Upper Deer)	7-1450	SNRA	GR	600
Martindale L #1	7-0815	Salmon-Challis	GR	250
Martindale L #2	7-0816	Salmon-Challis	C2	200
Mystery L #3	7-0879	SNRA	C2	75
Nelson L #2	7-0873	SNRA	GR	500
Ocalkens L #1	7-1464	SNRA	C2	500
Ocalkens L #2	7-1465	SNRA	C2	750
Phyllis	7-1683	SNRA	C2	375
Pipe L (Blackrock)	7-1732	SNRA	C2	200
Pole L	7-0834	Salmon-Challis	Т9	175

Table 3.Salmon Region high mountain (alpine) lakes stocked during the summer of 2002.All fish were stocked by McCall Fish Hatchery personnel.

	Catalog			Number
Lake Name	Number	Land Area <sup>a.</sup>	Species <sup>b.</sup>	Stocked
Rainbow L	7-1727	SNRA	C2	200
Rock L #1	7-0863	Salmon-Challis	Т9	125
Rock L #2	7-0864	Salmon-Challis	Т9	550
Sapphire L	7-1367	SNRA	C2	1,250
Sheep L	7-1356	SNRA	C2	500
Six L #1	7-1672	SNRA	C2	475
Slide L	7-1363	SNRA	C2	275
Snow L	7-1374	SNRA	C2	375
Swimm L	7-1467	SNRA	C2	875
Thunder L	7-1679	SNRA	C2	225
Tincup L	7-1349	SNRA	GR	1,350
Twin Cr L #2	7-1319	Salmon-Challis	Т9	125
W F Bear Cr L #1	7-1328	Salmon-Challis	C2	200
W F Camas Cr L #1	7-0818	Salmon-Challis	C2	1,200
W F Camas Cr L #3	7-0820	Salmon-Challis	C2	750
W F Camas Cr L #5	7-0824	Salmon-Challis	C2	500
Washington L #2	7-1444	SNRA	C2	750

Table 3. c	ontinued
------------	----------

<sup>a.</sup> SCNF = Salmon-Challis National Forest, SNRA = Salmon National Recreation Area <sup>b.</sup> C2 = Westslope cutthroat trout, T9 = triploid Hayspur rainbow trout, R9 = diploid Hayspur rainbow trout GN = golden trout, GR = Artic grayling

Table 4.Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #1.

Lake Name: <u>Cach</u>	ne Creek Lak	<u>e #1</u> ary Drainage	MEkS	Sur almon R	<sup>.</sup> vey Date: <u>8/7/200</u> iver	<u>2</u>
Secondary Draina County:	age: Lemhi		<u> </u>		Cache Creek	
Land Area: <u>Sleepi</u> Elevation (ft):	ing Deer U 8	ISFS Range <u>563</u>	r Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tov	wnship:	Ra	inge:		Acres:	<u>5</u>
UTM East: <u>68288</u> LAKE USE	<u>85</u> UTI	M North: <u>49</u>	<u>60581</u>			
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Intermitt</u>	Campsite Im	npact Rating	:	<u>low</u>	Trail A	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi)	: <u>No</u>	A	ccess Goo	od (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>1</u>	Trailhead Lo	oc: <u>Sleepir</u>	<u>ig Deer</u>		
AMPHIBIAN SUF	<b>RVEY DATA</b> .min): <u>0.25</u>	Adults Western Chorus Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus F Tailed Frog	Frog 0 2 Frog 0		<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog	# 0 0 0
		Western Toad Long Toed Sala	0 mander 0		Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPUL	ATIONS				
# Anglers: <u>2</u> Fish Abundance: <u>Angling</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs Fishe <u>0</u>	ed: <u>0.3</u> <u>High</u>	# Fish Ca	aught: 2	Fish/Hr: Fish Observed:	<u>6</u> Gear:
(Length Frequer	ncy)					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm: Comments:	CU 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0	<u>l</u>				

Table 5.Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #4.

## LAKE LOCATION

Lake Name: <u>Cac</u>	he Creek Lake	<u>e #4</u>		Su	rvey Date: <u>8/7/20</u>	<u>02</u>
IDFG Catalog #:	7-0847 Prima	ary Drainage:	: <u>MFk S</u>	Salmon F	<u>River</u>	
Secondary Drair County:	nage: <u>Lemhi</u>				Cache Creek	
Land Area: <u>Slee</u> r Elevation (ft):	<u>ping Deer</u> U <u>8</u>	SFS Ranger <u>543</u>	Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: To	ownship:	Ra	nge:		Acres	s: <u>6</u>
UTM East: <u>6834</u> LAKE USE	<u>421</u> UTN	/I North: <u>496</u>	<u>81530</u>			
Campsites: <u>2</u> Lake: <u>Comp</u>	Campsite Im lete	pact Rating:		<u>low</u>	Trail	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi	i): <u>No</u>	Ad	ccess Go	od (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Count	ry (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	oc: <u>Sleepi</u>	ng Deer		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	IRVEY DATA	Adults Western Chorus	Frog (	- <u>#</u> )	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time (hr	s.min): <u>0.5</u>	Pacific Chorus F Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salar	rog ( ( ( nander (	) ) ) )	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamandel	0 0 0 r 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POPULA	TIONS			Ū.	
# Anglers: 0	Hrs Fishe	ed: 0	# Fish C	aught:	0 Fish/Hr:	0
Fish Abundance <u>Visual</u>	:	<u>High</u>		5	Fish Observed	I: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>					
(Length Freque	ency)					
LENGTH	<u>EB</u>	T				
0-49mm:	0					
50-99mm:	0					
150-14911111. 150-199mm <sup>.</sup>	0					
200-249mm:	4					
250-299mm:	0					
300-349mm:	0					
350-399mm:	0					
>399mm:	0					
Comments:						

Brook trout in MF Salmon River drainage.

Table 6.Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #4A.

## LAKE LOCATION

Lake Name: <u>Cache Creek Lake #4A</u>		Survey Date: <u>8/7/2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #: <u>7-0847A</u>	Primary Draina	ge: MFk Salmon River
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Lemhi</u>		Cache Creek
Land Area: <u>Sleeping Deer</u> USFS Ra Elevation (ft): <u>8704</u>	inger Dist:	Middle Fork
Section: Township:	Range:	Acres: <u>4</u>
UTM East: <u>683485</u> UTM North: LAKE USE	4960837	
Campsites: <u>2</u> Campsite Impact Ra Lake: <u>Complete</u>	ating: <u>low</u>	Trail Around
Trampled: <u>No</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	Access Good (mi	): <u>1</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0 Trailhea	ad Loc: <u>Sleeping De</u>	<u>er</u>
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA Western ( Spotted F Spotted F	dults     #       Chorus Frog     0       irog     2	Juveniles#Western Chorus Frog0Spotted Frog0
Search Time (hrs.min) : <u>0.5</u> Pacific Ch Tailed Fro Western Long Toe	orus Frog 0 og 0 Foad 0 d Salamander 0	Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Tailed Frog 0 Western Toad 0 Long Toed Salamander 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPULATIONS		
# Anglers: <u>1</u> Hrs Fished: <u>0.3</u>	# Fish Caught	<u>1</u> Fish/Hr: <u>3</u>
Fish Abundance: <u>Mode</u> <u>Angling</u>	erate	Fish Observed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>		
<u>(Length Frequency)</u>		
LENGTH EBT		
0-49mm: 0		
100-149mm: 0		
150-199mm: 0		
200-249mm: 1		
250-299mm: 0		
350-399mm: 0		
>399mm: 0		
Comments:		

Brook trout exotic in MF Salmon River.

Table 7.Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #8.

Lake Name: Cach	ne Creek Lak	<u>e #8</u>		Sur	vey Date: <u>8/7/200</u>	<u>2</u>
IDFG Catalog #: <u>7</u>	<u>'-0851</u> Prima	ary Drainage:	: <u>MFk Sa</u>	<u>almon Ri</u>	ver	
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Lemhi</u>				Cache Creek	
Land Area: <u>Sleepi</u> Elevation (ft):	ing Deer U <u>8</u>	ISFS Ranger <u>402</u>	Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	wnship:	Ra	nge:		Acres:	<u>2</u>
UTM East: <u>68267</u> LAKE USE	<u>77</u> UTI	VI North: <u>496</u>	<u>62502</u>			
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Intermitt</u>	Campsite Im	pact Rating:		<u>low</u>	Trail A	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	: <u>No</u>	Ad	ccess Goo	od (mi):		<u>2</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	oc: <u>Sleepin</u>	<u>g Deer</u>		
AMPHIBIAN SUF	RVEY DATA	Adults Western Chorus	Frog 0		Juveniles Western Chorus Frog	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.25</u>	Pacific Chorus F Tailed Frog Western Toad	rog 0 0 0		Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad	0 0 0
		Long Toed Salar	mander 0		Long Toed Salamander	0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPULA	ATIONS				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs Fishe	ed: <u>0</u>	# Fish Ca	aught: <u>C</u>	) Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>		<u>None</u>			Fish Observed:	Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>					
(Length Frequen	<u>ncy)</u>					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:						
Comments:	(No fish ree	corded duri	ng 8/07/20	JUZ SURV	ey)	

Table 8.Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #8A.

Lake Name: <u>Cach</u>	e Creek Lake	<u>e #8A</u>		Sur	vey Date: <u>8/7/200</u>	2
IDFG Catalog #: <u>7</u>	<u>-0851A</u>		Primary D	Drainage:	MFk Salmor	River
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Lemhi</u>				Cache Creek	
Land Area: <u>Sleepi</u> Elevation (ft):	ing Deer U <u>8</u> 4	SFS Range <u>400</u>	r Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	vnship:	Ra	inge:		Acres	<u>0.25</u>
UTM East: <u>68267</u> LAKE USE	77 UTN	/I North: <u>49</u>	<u>62502</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Intermitt</u>	Campsite Im <u>ent</u>	pact Rating	:	<u>none</u>	Trail /	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	: <u>No</u>	A	ccess Go	od (mi):		<u>2</u>
Access X-Country	/ (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	oc: <u>Sleepir</u>	ng Deer		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	<u>RVEY DATA</u>	Adults Western Chorus Spotted Frog	Frog 0	<u>+</u> ) 9	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 6
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.083</u>	Pacific Chorus F Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Sala	Frog C C Mander C	) ) )	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPULA	TIONS				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs Fishe	ed: <u>0</u>	# Fish C	aught: <u>(</u>	<u>)</u>	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>		<u>None</u>			Fish Observed:	Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>					
(Length Frequen	<u>icy)</u>					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:	(No fish red	orded duri	ng 8/07/2	002 surv	ev)	
Johnneing.	(100 101 100				~,,	

Table 9.Alpine lake survey of Cache Creek Lake #9.

Lake Name: <u>Cach</u>	ne Creek Lake	<u>e #9</u> pry Drainage		Sur almon Riv	vey Date: <u>8/7/200</u>	<u>2</u>
Secondary Draina County:	age: Lemhi	ary Drainage	. <u>IVII K S</u> a		Cache Creek	
Land Area: <u>Sleepi</u> Elevation (ft):	ing Deer U	SFS Rangei <u>555</u>	r Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	wnship:	Ra	nge:		Acres:	<u>0.7</u>
UTM East: <u>68298</u> LAKE USE	<u>81</u> UTN	/I North: <u>496</u>	<u>62109</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Comple</u>	Campsite Im ete	pact Rating	:	<u>none</u>	Trail A	۱round
Trampled: Access Poor (mi)	: <u>No</u>	A	ccess Goo	d (mi):		<u>2</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	oc: <u>Sleepin</u>	<u>g Deer</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	<u>RVEY DATA</u>	Adults Western Chorus Spotted Frog	# Frog 0 27		Juveniles Western Chorus Frog	<u>#</u> 0 30
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.33</u>	Pacific Chorus F Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salar	rog 0 0 0 mander 0		Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND F		TIONS				
# Anglers: 0	Hrs Fishe	ed: 0	# Fish Ca	ught: 0	Fish/Hr:	0
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>		None			Fish Observed:	Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>					
(Length Frequer	ncy)					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm:						
comments:	(NO TISN red	coraea auri	ng 8/07/20	JUZ SURV	ey period)	

LAKE LOCATION	<u> </u>					
Lake Name: Dairy	Lake			Sur	vey Date: <u>7/23/20</u>	002
IDFG Catalog #: <u>7</u>	<u>-1263</u> Prima	ary Drainage:	Lemhi	River		
Secondary Draina County:	ige: <u>Lemhi</u>				Dairy Creek	
Land Area: <u>Lemhi</u> Elevation (ft):	U <u>8</u>	SFS Ranger <u>514</u>	Dist:		<u>Leadore</u>	
Section: Tov	vnship:	Rar	nge:		Acres	: <u>6.6</u>
UTM East: <u>29453</u> LAKE USE	<u>37</u> UTI	/I North: <u>494</u>	<u>3761</u>			
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Comple</u>	Campsite Im . <u>te</u>	pact Rating:		<u>low</u>	Trail	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	<u>Yes</u> 0	Ac	cess Goo	od (mi):		<u>2</u>
Access X-Country	/ (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	c: <u>Big Eig</u>	htmile Ro	bad	
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUR</u>	VEY DATA	Adults Western Chorus	Frog 0		Juveniles Western Chorus Frog	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time (hrs.	.min) : <u>0.33</u>	Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Fr Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salan	rog 0 0 0 nander 0		Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND F		TIONS				
# Anglers: <u>1</u>	Hrs Fishe	ed: <u>0.5</u>	# Fish Ca	aught: <u>(</u>	<u>)</u>	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: Angling Hrs Set (ap):	0	Low			Fish Observed	Gear:
(Longth Frequen	<u>U</u>					
(Length Frequen	<u>cy)</u>					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:						
Comments:	(No fish re	corded durir	ng 7/23/20	002 surv	ey period)	

Table 10.Alpine lake survey of Dairy Lake.

<u>Stocked previously with C2 and BT. Headgate on outlet. Saw one fish jump, but no sign of any other fish. Easy access by</u> <u>4-wheeler. Lake seems deep enough that there is little to no potential for freeze over.</u>

LAKE LOCATIO	N				
Lake Name: <u>Finge</u> IDFG Catalog #: <u>7</u>	<u>er Lake #1</u> <u>-1092</u> Prima	ary Drainage:	<u>MFk Salm</u>	Survey Da <u>ion River</u>	ate: <u>7/11/2002</u>
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custer</u>			Fall	<u>Creek</u>
Land Area: <u>Capeh</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>norn</u> L <u>7</u>	JSFS Ranger <u>765</u>	Dist:	<u>Mi</u>	ddle Fork
Section: Tow	vnship:	Rar	nge:		Acres: <u>4</u>
UTM East: <u>64641</u> LAKE USE	<u>13</u> UT	M North: <u>492</u>	<u>8267</u>		
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite Ir	npact Rating:	<u>r</u>	none	Trail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	: <u>No</u>	Ac	cess Good (	mi):	<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	/ (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	c: <u>Langer Mo</u>	<u>onument</u>	
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	<u>RVEY DATA</u>	Adults Western Chorus Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> Frog 0 0	<u>Ju</u> Western Spotted	veniles#Chorus Frog0Frog0
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.167</u>	Pacific Chorus Fi Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salan	rog 0 0 0 nander 0	Pacific C Tailed F Western Long To	Chorus Frog0rog0Toad0ed Salamander0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPUL	ATIONS			
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs Fishe	ed: <u>0</u>	# Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u> I	-ish/Hr: <u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>		<u>None</u>		Fish	Observed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>				
(Length Frequen	icy)				
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:			7/4 4 /2000		:
Comments:	(No fish re	corded durir	ng 7/11/2002	survey per	iod)

Table 11.Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #1.

LAKE LOCATION			
Lake Name: Finger Lake #2		Survey	Date: <u>7/11/2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #: <u>7-1093</u> Prima	ary Drainage: <u>MFk</u>	<u>Salmon River</u>	
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Custer</u>		<u>Fa</u>	III Creek
Land Area: <u>Capehorn</u> L Elevation (ft): <u>7</u>	JSFS Ranger Dist: 785	Ī	<u>Middle Fork</u>
Section: Township:	Range:		Acres: <u>9</u>
UTM East: <u>6466707</u> UTI	M North: <u>4928209</u>		
LAKE USE			
Campsites: <u>2</u> Campsite In Lake: <u>None</u>	npact Rating:	low	Trail Around
Trampled: <u>No</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	Access G	Good (mi):	<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc: Lang	<u>er Monument</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA	<u>Adults</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	#	Juveniles#ern Chorus Frog0red Frog0
Search Time (hrs.min) : 0.33	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 Pacif 0 Taile 0 West 0 Long	ic Chorus Frog 0 d Frog 0 ern Toad 0 Toed Salamander 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPUL	ATIONS		
# Anglers: 2 Hrs Fishe	ed: 0.33  # Fish	Caught: 2	Fish/Hr: 6
Fish Abundance: <u>Angling</u>	Moderate	Fi	sh Observed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>			
(Length Frequency)			
LENGTHRB0-49mm:10+50-99mm:0100-149mm:0150-199mm:0200-249mm:0250-299mm:2300-349mm:0350-399mm:0>399mm:0Comments:			
Multiple year classes present. Excellent spav	wning habitat. Fish in good cor	ndition.	

Table 12.Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #2.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>)N</u>						
Lake Name: Fing	<u>er Lake #</u>	3	<b>.</b> .		Surve	y Date: <u>7/11/</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog #:	<u>7-1094</u> P	rimary L	Drainage:	MFk Salm	on Rive	<u>'r</u>	
Secondary Drair County:	hage: <u>Custe</u>	<u>er</u>				Fall Creek	
Land Area: <u>Cape</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>horn</u>	USFS <u>8106</u>	S Ranger	Dist:		Middle Fork	<u>K</u>
Section: To	wnship:		Ran	ge:		Acre	es: <u>?</u>
UTM East: <u>6472</u>	<u>228</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>4927</u>	7689			
LAKE USE	_	_		_		_	
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Non</u>	Campsit <u>e</u>	e Impac	t Rating:	<u>l</u> (	<u>WC</u>	Tra	il Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi	):	<u>No</u> 0	Aco	cess Good (	mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Count	ry (mi): <u>0</u>	Tra	ilhead Loc	: <u>Langer Mo</u>	nument		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	<u>RVEY DA</u>	TA We	Adults stern Chorus F	rog 0	W	Juveniles estern Chorus Frog	9 0
Search Time (hr	s.min) : <u>0.1</u>	1 <u>67</u> Pac	cific Chorus Fro	og O	Pa	acific Chorus Frog	0
		Tail We	ed Frog stern Toad	0 0	Ta W	ailed Frog 'estern Toad	0 0
		Lor	ig Toed Salama	ander 0	Lo	ong Toed Salamano	ler 0
FISHERY AND I	FISH POP	ULATIO	<u>DNS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs F	ished:	<u>0</u> ;	# Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance <u>Visual</u>	:	<u>N</u>	lone			Fish Observe	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ncy)						
		<b></b>	•••		RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	BLT	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBT</u>
0-4911111. 50-00mm <sup>-</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100 <b>-</b> 149mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	Õ	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:	(No fisł	n record	ded durin	g 7/11/2002	survey	period)	
Lake oligotrophic - no or	ganic matter av	ailable as a	food source.				

Table 13.Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #3.

Table 14.Alpine lake survey of Finger Lake #3A.

Lake Name: <u>Finge</u> IDFG Catalog #: <u>7</u>	<u>er Lake #3A</u> '-1094A? (or	4.01 <u>)</u>	Primary	Su Drainage:	rvey Date: <u>7/11/2</u> MFk Salmo	<u>002</u> n River
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custer</u>				Fall Creek	
Land Area: <u>Caper</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>10rn</u> l <u>8</u>	JSFS Range <u>3472</u>	er Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	wnship:	Ra	ange:		Acres	s: <u>1</u>
UTM East: <u>64762</u> LAKE USE	<u>20</u> UT	M North: <u>49</u>	<u>927600</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite Ir	mpact Rating	g:	<u>none</u>	Trail	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	: <u>No</u>	, A	Access Go	ood (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead L	.oc: <u>Lange</u>	er Monum	<u>ent</u>	
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	<u>RVEY DATA</u>	Adults Western Choru Spotted Frog	<u>S</u> ıs Frog	# 0 0	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.25</u>	Pacific Chorus Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Sal	Frog amander	0 0 0 5	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0
FISHERY AND F	<u>ISH POPUL</u>	<b>ATIONS</b>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs Fish	ed: <u>0</u>	# Fish (	Caught:	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>		<u>None</u>			Fish Observed	l: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>					
(Length Frequen	<u>ıcy)</u>					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:	(No fish re	ecorded dur	ina 7/11/:	2002 surv	vev period)	
Comments:	(No fish re	corded dur	ing 7/11/2	2002 surv	vey period)	

LAKE LOCATION Lake Name: Grouse Creek Lake Survey Date: 6/25/2002 IDFG Catalog #:7-0840 Primary Drainage: Pahsimeroi River Secondary Drainage: **Grouse Creek** County: Custer Land Area: Pahsimeroi **USFS** Ranger Dist: Challis Elevation (ft): Section: Township: Acres: 4 Range: UTM East: 264222 UTM North: <u>4920517</u> LAKE USE 3 Campsite Impact Rating: Trail Around Campsites: low Lake: Intermittent Trampled: Access Good (mi): 2 No Access Poor (mi): 0 Access X-Country (mi): 0 Trailhead Loc: AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA Juveniles Adults # # Western Chorus Frog 0 Western Chorus Frog 0 Spotted Frog Spotted Frog 0 0 Search Time (hrs.min) : 1 Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Tailed Frog Tailed Frog 0 0 Western Toad 0 Western Toad 0 Long Toed Salamander Long Toed Salamander 0 0 FISHERY AND FISH POPULATIONS # Anglers: Hrs Fished: # Fish Caught: 0 Fish/Hr: 0 0 0 Fish Abundance: Fish Observed: Gear: None Visual Hrs Set (gn): 0 (Length Frequency) LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm: Comments: (No fish recorded during 6/25/2002 survey period)

Alpine lake survey of Grouse Creek Lake.

Table 15.

Recon with local CO on good water years. Stocking will provide summer fishery but will not over winter. Gamarus prolific in outlet. Leech, caddis, and oarsman present.

LAKE LOCATION	<u> </u>				
Lake Name: Island IDFG Catalog #:7-	<u>I A</u> - <u>1127A</u> Prim	ary Drainag	e: <u>Main Salm</u>	Survey Date on (Yankee Fk	: <u>7/12/2002</u> - Headwaters)
Secondary Draina County:	ige: <u>Custer</u>			Beaver	Creek
Land Area: <u>Capeh</u> Elevation (ft):	orn U <u>8</u> ′	SFS Range 109	r Dist:	<u>Middl</u>	<u>e Fork</u>
Section: Tow	/nship:	Ra	nge:		Acres: <u>?</u>
UTM East: <u>64801</u> LAKE USE	<u>0</u> UTN	1 North: <u>49</u> 2	<u>26404</u>		
Campsites: <u>2</u> Lake: <u>Partial</u>	Campsite Im I	pact Rating	: <u>l</u>	<u>wc</u>	Trail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	<u>Yes</u> 0	A	ccess Good (	mi):	<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	′ (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Lo	oc: <u>Langer Mo</u>	<u>onument</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SUR	<b>WEY DATA</b> min) : <u>0.33</u>	Adults Western Chorus Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus F Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Sala	Frog 0 0 Frog 0 0 0 mander 0	<u>Juve</u> Western Chr Spotted Frog Pacific Chor Tailed Frog Western Toa Long Toed S	niles # orus Frog 0 g 0 us Frog 0 ad 0 Salamander 0
FISHERY AND FI	SH POPULA	TIONS			
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs Fishe <u>0</u>	d: <u>0</u> <u>None</u>	# Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u> Fis Fish Ol	h/Hr: <u>0</u> oserved: Gear:
(Length Frequen	<u>cy)</u>				
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:	(No fish ros	ordod duri	ng 7/12/2002		

Table 16.Alpine lake survey of Island Lake A.

## LAKE LOCATION

Comments: (No fish recorded during 7/12/2002 survey period) Inlet - water flow may dry up for a portion of the year.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>N</u>				
Lake Name: Island	<u>d B</u> '-1127B_Prin	nary Drainage: I	Sı Main Salmon (	urvey Date: <u>7/12/2</u> Yankee Ek - Heac	<u>002</u> Iwaters)
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custer</u>	iary Drainago: <u>i</u>		Beaver Creek	<u></u>
Land Area: <u>Caper</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>norn</u> L <u>8</u>	JSFS Ranger Di <u>140</u>	st:	Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	vnship:	Range	<b>;</b> :	Acres	s: <u>0.4</u>
UTM East: <u>64782</u>	<u>28</u> UT	M North: <u>49267</u>	<u>96</u>		
LAKE USE					
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite In	npact Rating:	none	<u> </u>	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi)	: <u>No</u>	Acce	ss Good (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	/ (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Loc: <u>I</u>	<u>_anger Monum</u>	nent	
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	<u>RVEY DATA</u>	<u>Adults</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	# 0 0	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.167</u>	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamand	0 0 1 der 4	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamande	0 0 0 r 0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPUL	ATIONS			
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (an):	Hrs Fishe	ed: <u>0</u> #1 <u>None</u>	Fish Caught:	0 Fish/Hr: Fish Observed	<u>0</u> I: Gear:
(Length Frequer					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm:					
Comments: amphibians.	(No fish re	corded during	7/12/2002 sur	vey period) Lots of	tadpoles &

Table 17. Alpine lake survey of Island Lake B.

LAKE LOCATIOI Lake Name: <u>Islan</u> IDFG Catalog #:7	<u>N</u> d C ′-1127C Prin	nary Drainage: M	Sur ain Salmon (Y	vey Date: <u>7/12/20</u> ′ankee Fk - Headv	<u>02</u> vaters)
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custer</u>	, , _	· · · ·	Beaver Creek	
Land Area: <u>Caper</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>norn</u> L <u>8</u>	ISFS Ranger Dist <u>296</u>	::	Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	vnship:	Range:		Acres:	<u>0.6</u>
UTM East: <u>64752</u> LAKE USE	<u>29</u> UTI	M North: <u>492660</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite In	npact Rating:	none	Trail A	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	: <u>No</u>	Acces	s Good (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	/ (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Loc: La	anger Monume	ent	
AMPHIBIAN SUF	RVEY DATA .min) : <u>0.167</u>	<u>Adults</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamande	# 0 0 0 0 1 7	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	# 0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPUL	ATIONS			
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs Fishe <u>0</u>	ed: <u>0</u>	sh Caught: (	) Fish/Hr: Fish Observed:	<u>0</u> Gear:
(Length Frequen	icy)				
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:	(No fish re	corded during 7	/12/2002 כערע	ev period)	
>399mm: Comments:	(No fish re	corded during 7	/12/2002 surv	ey period)	

Table 18.Alpine lake survey of Island Lake C.

LAKE LOCATION		0	D
Lake Name: <u>Island Lake</u> IDFG Catalog #: <u>7-1127</u> Pri	mary Drainage:	Survey Main Salmon (Yank	Date: <u>7/12/2002</u> <u>kee Fk - Headwaters)</u>
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Custer</u>		<u>B</u> (	eaver Creek
Land Area: <u>Capehorn</u> Elevation (ft):	USFS Ranger Di <u>8041</u>	st:	Middle Fork
Section: Township:	Range	9:	Acres: <u>15</u>
UTM East: <u>647680</u> U <u>LAKE USE</u>	JTM North: <u>49263</u>	<u>97</u>	
Campsites: <u>5</u> Campsite Lake: <u>Complete</u>	Impact Rating:	moderate	Trail Around
Trampled: <u>Y</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	<u>′es</u> Acce	ss Good (mi):	<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc: <u>I</u>	<u>_anger Monument</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DAT	Adults Western Chorus Frog	# 0 Wes 0 Spot	<u>Juveniles</u> <u>#</u> tern Chorus Frog 0 tred Frog 0
Search Time (hrs.min) : <u>0.3</u>	<ul> <li>Pacific Chorus Frog</li> <li>Tailed Frog</li> <li>Western Toad</li> <li>Long Toed Salamana</li> </ul>	0 Paci 0 Taile 0 Wes der 4 Long	fic Chorus Frog 0 ed Frog 0 tern Toad 0 g Toed Salamander 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPU	ILATIONS		
# Anglers: <u>1</u> Hrs Fis Fish Abundance: <u>Angling</u>	shed: <u>0.25</u> # <u>Very High</u>	Fish Caught: <u>2</u> Fi	Fish/Hr: <u>8</u> sh Observed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>			
(Length Frequency)			
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:	CU 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0		
Comments:	r's report to confirm that he	has lake erronoously marked	as Island
Lake markey as Isidnu - Check Kallineye	is report to commit trial ne	Thas lake en uneously marked	1 03 13/01/0.

Table 19. Alpine lake survey of Island Lake.

	<u>N</u>			<b>2 5</b> <i>i</i>	=	
Lake Name: Lange IDFG Catalog #:7	<u>er #1</u> -1133 Prima	arv Drainage:	Main Salmo	Survey Date on (Yankee F	: <u>7/11/200</u> k - Headv	<u>)2</u> vaters)
Secondary Draina County:	ige: <u>Custer</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Beave	r Creek	
Land Area: <u>Capeh</u> Elevation (ft):	i <u>orn</u> L <u>8</u>	ISFS Ranger [ <u>028</u>	Dist:	<u>Yank</u>	ee Fork	
Section: Tow	vnship:	Rang	ge:		Acres: <u></u>	<u>5</u>
UTM East: <u>64840</u> LAKE USE	<u>)4</u> UTI	VI North: <u>4926</u>	733			
Campsites: <u>5</u> Lake: <u>Comple</u>	Campsite In <u>ete</u>	npact Rating:	<u>mc</u>	<u>oderate</u>	Trail A	round
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	<u>Yes</u> 0	<u>s</u> Acc	ess Good (m	i):	4	2
Access X-Country	/ (mi): <u>2</u>	Trailhead Loc	: <u>Langer Mon</u>	<u>ument</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUR</u>	<u>RVEY DATA</u>	<u>Adults</u> Western Chorus Fr Spotted Frog	rog 0 0	<u>Juve</u> Western Ch Spotted Fro	niles orus Frog g	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (hrs.	.min) : <u>0.33</u>	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salama	g 0 0 0 inder 0	Pacific Cho Tailed Frog Western To Long Toed	rus Frog ad Salamander	0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND FI	SH POPUL	ATIONS				
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Fish Abundance:	Hrs Fishe	ed: <u>0</u> # <u>Moderate</u>	Fish Caught	t: <u>0</u> Fis Fish O	h/Hr: bserved:	<u>0</u> Gear:
<u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (an):	0					
(Length Frequen	<u> </u>					
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm:						
Comments:	(No fish re	corded during	ງ 7/11/2002 ຣ	urvey perio	d)	
Few fish seen - little activity	<u>y.</u>					

Table 20.Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake #1.

23

	<u>N</u>		c	Num (a) ( Data: 7/1	4/2002
IDFG Catalog #:7	<u>ler A</u> <u>′-1133A</u> Prir	nary Drainage:	Main Salmon	Vervey Date: 7/1 (Yankee Fk - H	<u>1/2002</u> eadwaters)
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custer</u>			Beaver Cre	<u>eek</u>
Land Area: <u>Capeł</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>norn</u> l <u>8</u>	JSFS Ranger [ <u>3128</u>	Dist:	<u>Yankee F</u>	<u>ork</u>
Section: Tov	wnship:	Ranç	je:	Ad	cres: <u>0.5</u>
UTM East: <u>6480</u>	<u>71</u> UT	M North: <u>4926</u>	585		
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite Ir	npact Rating:	non	<u>e</u> T	rail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi)	- <u>Ye</u> : <u>0</u>	<u>s</u> Acc	ess Good (mi)	:	<u>2</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Loc	: <u>Langer Monu</u>	<u>ment</u>	
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	RVEY DATA	Adults	<u>#</u>	Juveniles	<u>} #</u>
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.167</u>	Vestern Chorus Fr Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salama	og 0 0 3 0 1 nder 3	Western Chorus F Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Fro Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salam:	rog 0 0 0 0 0 0 ander 0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPUL	ATIONS			
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs Fish <u>0</u>	ed: <u>0</u>	<sup>£</sup> Fish Caught:	<u>0</u> Fish/Hr Fish Obser	:: <u>0</u> ved: Gear:
(Length Frequer	ncy)				
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:	(No fich ro		n 7/11/2002 c⋅	Irvov poriod) -	
Commenta.	(10 131116		j // 1 1/2002 SU		og porta tooking.

Table 21. Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake A.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>N</u> ler B		Su	urvey Date: 7/12/20	02
IDFG Catalog #:7	<u>-1133B</u> Pri	mary Drainage: <u>I</u>	<u> Main Salmon (</u>	Yankee Fk - Head	waters)
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custer</u>			Beaver Creek	
Land Area: <u>Capeł</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>norn</u>	USFS Ranger Di <u>8192</u>	st:	<u>Middle Fork</u>	
Section: Tov	wnship:	Range	):	Acres	: <u>?</u>
UTM East: <u>6478</u> 2	<u>28</u> UT	M North: <u>49279</u>	<u>6</u>		
LAKE USE					
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite I	mpact Rating:	none	Trail /	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi)	: <u>Nc</u>	<u>o</u> Acce	ss Good (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhead Loc: <u>I</u>	<u>_anger Monum</u>	<u>ient</u>	
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUP</u>	RVEY DATA	Adults Western Chorus From	<u>#</u> 1 0	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time (hrs	.min) : <u>0.167</u>	Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamand	0 0 0 0 0 ler 4	Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND F	ISH POPUL	<u>ATIONS</u>			
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs Fish <u>0</u>	led: <u>0</u> #   <u>None</u>	Fish Caught:	0 Fish/Hr: Fish Observed:	<u>0</u> : Gear:
(Length Frequer	ncy)				
LENGTH					
0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm <sup>.</sup>					
200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm:					
350-399mm: >399mm:					
Comments:	(No fish re	ecorded during	7/12/2002 sur	vey period) Tadpole	s present.

Table 22. Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake B.

25

LAKE LOCATION				
Lake Name: Langer C		Sur	vey Date: <u>7/12/20</u>	02
IDFG Catalog #: <u>7-1133C</u> Prir	nary Drainage: <u>Mai</u> i	<u>n Salmon (Y</u>	ankee Fk - Heady	<u>waters)</u>
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Custer</u>			Beaver Creek	
Land Area: <u>Capehorn</u> L Elevation (ft): <u>8</u>	JSFS Ranger Dist: 3356		Middle Fork	
Section: Township:	Range:		Acres:	<u>0.01(?)</u>
UTM East: <u>647681</u> UT <u>LAKE USE</u>	M North: <u>4927053</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Campsite Ir Lake: <u>None</u>	npact Rating:	<u>none</u>	Trail A	Around
Trampled: <u>No</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	Access (	Good (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc: Lang	ger Monume	<u>ent</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA	Adults Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	# 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	# 0 0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPUL	ATIONS			
# Anglers: <u>0</u> Hrs Fish Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u> Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>	ed: <u>0</u> # Fish <u>None</u>	ı Caught: <u>(</u>	Fish/Hr: Fish Observed:	<u>0</u> Gear:
(Length Frequency)				
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm: >399mm:				

Table 23. Alpine lake survey of Langer Lake C.

Comments: (No fish recorded during 7/12/2002 survey period) Wet meadow and not a pond (non-fish-bearing).

Table 24. Alpine lake survey of Lower Island Lake.

## LAKE LOCATION

Lake Name: <u>Lower Island</u> IDFG Catalog #: <u>7-1129</u> Prima	ary Drainage: <u>I</u>	Surv Main Salmon (Y	/ey Date: <u>7/12/200</u> ankee Fk - Heady	<u>)2</u> waters)
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Custer</u>			Beaver Creek	
Land Area: <u>Capehorn</u> L Elevation (ft): <u>7</u>	ISFS Ranger Dis <u>989</u>	it:	<u>Middle Fork</u>	
Section: Township:	Range	:	Acres:	<u>7</u>
UTM East: <u>648214</u> UTI <u>LAKE USE</u>	M North: <u>492602</u>	<u>29</u>		
Campsites: <u>1</u> Campsite In Lake: <u>Partial</u>	npact Rating:	low	Trail A	round
Trampled: <u>No</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	Acces	s Good (mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc: <u>L</u>	anger Monume	<u>nt</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA Search Time (hrs.min) :0.167	<u>Adults</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad	# 0 0 0 0 0 0	Juveniles Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	# 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPUL		21 1		0
# Anglers: <u>1</u> Hrs Fishe Fish Abundance: <u>Angling</u> Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>	ed: <u>0.33</u> # F <u>Very High</u>	ish Caught: <u>2</u>	Fish/Hr: Fish Observed:	<u>6</u> Gear:
(Length Frequency)				
LENGTHCU0-49mm:050-99mm:0100-149mm:0150-199mm:0200-249mm:1250-299mm:1300-349mm:0350-399mm:0>399mm:0Comments:	<u>l</u>			

Adequate to borderline excellent for spawning grounds. Multiple age classes represented. Four classes observed via visual observation.
Table 25. Alpine lake survey of Mill Creek Reservoir #1.

# LAKE LOCATION

<u>Creek Rese</u> <u>7-1254</u> Prir	r <u>voir #1</u> mary Drain	age: <u>Ler</u>	Su <u>nhi River</u>	urvey Date: <u>7/23/20</u>	002
nage: <u>Lemhi</u>				Mill Creek	
<u>1i</u>	USFS Ra <u>8852</u>	nger Dist:		<u>Leadore</u>	
wnship:		Range:		Acres	: <u>28.07</u>
5 <u>26</u> U	TM North:	<u>4947924</u>			
Campsite <u>ttent</u>	Impact Ra	ting:	<u>low</u>	Trail	Around
i): <u>Y</u>	<u>es</u>	Access C	Good (mi):		<u>0</u>
ry (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhea	d Loc: <u>Mill (</u>	Creek Roa	<u>id</u>	
RVEY DAT	A <u>Ac</u> Western C Spotted Fr	<mark>lults</mark> Chorus Frog rog	<u>#</u> 0 0	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
s.min) : <u>0.42</u>	Pacific Ch Tailed Fro Western T Long Toeo	orus Frog g oad d Salamander	0 0 0 0	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0
FISH POPU	LATIONS				
Hrs Fis : <u>0</u>	hed: <u>0.5</u> <u>Very I</u>	# Fish <u>High</u>	Caught:	5 Fish/Hr: Fish Observed	<u>10</u> : Gear:
ncy)					
RB         C           0         0           2         0           0         2           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0	<b>U</b> 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0				
	$\frac{\text{Creek Rese}}{7-1254} \text{ Prin}$ hage: $\underline{\text{Lemhi}}$ hi bounship: $526 \qquad U$ Campsite $\underline{\text{ttent}} \qquad \qquad \underline{Y}$ bound of the second state of the s	Creek Reservoir #17-1254Primary Drainrage:LemhihiUSFS Ra8852ownship:526UTM North:526UTM North:Campsite Impact RattentYes(n):0ry (mi):0ry (mi):0Trailhea <b>RVEY DATA</b> AcWestern CSpotted Fros.min):0.42Pacific ChTailed FroWestern TLong ToedFISH POPULATIONSHrs Fished:0.5:Very I00	Creek Reservoir #1         7-1254       Primary Drainage:       Lem         hage:       Lemhi         hi       USFS Ranger Dist: $\underline{8852}$ ownship:       Range:         526       UTM North: 4947924         Campsite Impact Rating:         ttent       Yes         Sampsite Impact Rating:         ttent       Yes         Yes       Access G         ):       0         ry (mi):       0         Trailhead Loc:       Mill G         RVEY DATA       Adults         Western Chorus Frog       Spotted Frog         s.min):       0.42         Pacific Chorus Frog       Tailed Frog         Western Toad       Long Toed Salamander         FISH POPULATIONS       # Fish         :       Very High         0       0         0       0         1       0         0       0         0       0         12       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0	Creek Reservoir #1       Su         7-1254       Primary Drainage:       Lemhi River         hage:       Lemhi         i       USFS Ranger Dist:         8852       ownship:       Range:         526       UTM North:       4947924         Campsite Impact Rating:       Iow         ttent       Yes       Access Good (mi):         ):       0       Trailhead Loc:       Mill Creek Roa         IRVEY DATA       Adults       #         Western Chorus Frog       0         smin):       0.42       Pacific Chorus Frog       0         Smin):       0.42       Pacific Chorus Frog       0         Stated Frog       0       0       0         Nestern Toad       0       0       0         Image:       0.5       # Fish Caught:       Fish POPULATIONS         Hrs Fished:       0.5       # Fish Caught:       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       <	Creek Reservoir #1       Survey Date: 7/23/20         7-1254       Primary Drainage:       Lemhi River         hage:       Mill Creek         Lemhi       Lemhi         ni       USFS Ranger Dist:       Leadore         8852       Nunship:       Range:       Acres         526       UTM North:       4947924         Campsite Impact Rating:       Iow       Trail /         ttent       Yes       Access Good (mi):         ):       0       Trailhead Loc:       Mill Creek Road         IRVEY DATA       Adults       #       Juveniles         Western Chorus Frog       0       Spotted Frog       Spotted Frog         s.min):       0.42       Pacific Chorus Frog       Pacific Chorus Frog       Vestern Toad         Long Toed Salamander       0       Uwestern Toad       Long Toed Salamander         FISH POPULATIONS       # Fish Caught:       5       Fish/Hr:         :       Very High       Fish Observed:         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         1       0       0       0       0         0       0       0

Original outlet dry. Outlet has wooden structure built @ the mouth. It appears to be some structural device for the outlet bed. Multiple year classes present. Inlet had fish in it. The other inlet is braided. Current outlet has some kind of headgate on it

LAKE LOCATION	
Lake Name: Pole Lake Survey Dat	e: <u>8/7/2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #: 7-0834 Primary Drainage: MFK Salmon River	
Secondary Drainage: Coun	ty: <u>Lemhi</u>
Land Area: Sleeping DeerUSFS Ranger Dist:ChaElevation (ft):8009	<u>allis</u>
Section: Township: Range:	Acres: <u>10</u>
UTM East: <u>685366</u> UTM North: <u>4959533</u> <u>LAKE USE</u>	
Campsites: <u>0</u> Campsite Impact Rating: <u>none</u> Lake: <u>Partial</u>	Trail Around
Trampled:NoAccess Good (mi):Access Poor (mi):0	<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): <u>1</u> Trailhead Loc: <u>Sleeping Deer</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA       Adults       #       Juv         Western Chorus Frog       0       Western Chorus Frog       0       Western Chorus Frog       0         Spotted Frog       0       Spotted Frog       0       Pacific Chorus Frog       0       Pacific Chorus Frog       0         Search Time (hrs.min) : 0.33       Pacific Chorus Frog       0       Tailed Frog       0       Tailed Frog         Use Stern Toad       0       Western Toad       0       Use Stern Toad       0	'eniles     #       Chorus Frog     0       rog     0       vorus Frog     0       og     0       Foad     0       d Salamander     0
FISHERY AND FISH POPULATIONS	
# Anglers: <u>2</u> Hrs Fished: <u>0.3</u> # Fish Caught: <u>3</u> F Fish Abundance: <u>Very High</u> Fish ( <u>Angling/Visual</u> Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>	ish/Hr: <u>10</u> Observed: Gear:
(Length Frequency)	
LENGTH       RB         0-49mm:       0         50-99mm:       0         100-149mm:       0         150-199mm:       0         200-249mm:       3         250-299mm:       0         300-349mm:       0         350-399mm:       2         >399mm:       0	
Comments:	
Very difficult to access. Appears to be two age classes present. Fish in great shape.	

Table 26.Alpine lake survey of Pole Lake.

LAKE LOCA	TION							
Lake Name: <u>C</u>	Quake Lake				Su	urvey Date: <u>6</u>	/24/2002	<u>2</u>
IDFG Catalog	j#: <u>7-1297</u> F	Primai	y Drainage:	Pahs	<u>simeroi Ri</u>	ver		
Secondary Di County:	rainage: <u>Cust</u>	<u>er</u>				<u>Grouse C</u>	<u>)reek</u>	
Land Area: Pa	<u>ahsimeroi</u>	US	SFS Ranger	Dist:		<u>Challis</u>	Elevatic	on (ft)
Section:	Township:		Rar	nge:			Acres: <u>3</u>	
UTM East: <u>2</u>	<u>65961</u>	UTM	North: <u>491</u>	9131				
LAKE USE								
Campsites: Lake: <u>Co</u>	<u>2</u> Campsi mplete	ite Imp	bact Rating:		<u>low</u>		Trail Arc	ound
Trampled:		No	Ac	cess G	ood (mi):		<u>6</u>	
Access Poor	(mi):	0						
Access X-Col	untry (mi): <u>(</u>	<u>)</u> • <b>T</b> •	railhead Lo	C:		lun re nell		
AWPHIBIAN	SURVET D	<u> </u>	Adults Western Chorus	Frog	<u>#</u> 0	<u>JUVENII</u> Western Choru	<u>es</u> s Frog	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time	(hrs.min) :	1	Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus Fi	roa	0 0	Spotted Frog Pacific Chorus	Frog	0 0
	(	<u> </u>	Tailed Frog		0	Tailed Frog		0
			Long Toed Salan	nander	0	Long Toed Sala	amander	0
FISHERY AN	ID FISH POI	PULA	TIONS					
# Anglers:	<u>2</u> Hrs I	Fished	d: <u>3</u>	# Fish	Caught:	<u>0</u> Fish/	Hr: <u>0</u>	<u>)</u>
Fish Abundar	ice:		Low			Fish Obs	erved: C	Gear:
Angling	0							
	<u>U</u>							
(Length Fred	<u>(uency)</u>							
LENGTH								
0-49mm: 50-99mm:								
100-149mm:								
150-199mm:								
200-249mm:								
250-299mm:								
350-399mm								
>399mm:								
Comments:								
Armbruster indicated	d 2 stocking events	<u>s in mid ^</u>	1990's. Apparently	/ very succe	essful. Fish ve	ry hard to catch. Le	ave as is -	
spawning occurring	in inlet. Approx. 30	) fish in la	<u>ake; 10-14" size c</u>	lasses.				

Table 27.Alpine lake survey of Quake Lake.

LAKE LOCATION					
Lake Name: <u>Rock Lake #1</u>		Survey Date: <u>8/6/2002</u>			
IDFG Catalog #:7-0863 Prima	ary Drainage: <u>M</u>	Fk Salmon F	River		
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Lemhi</u>			Rock Creek		
Land Area: <u>Sleeping Deer</u> U Elevation (ft): <u>8</u>	SFS Ranger Dist: 702		<u>Challis</u>		
Section: Township:	Range:		Acres	<u>2</u>	
UTM East: <u>684455</u> UTM	M North: <u>4958389</u>				
LAKE USE		_			
Campsites: <u>1</u> Campsite Im Lake: <u>Complete</u>	pact Rating:	low	Trail /	Around	
Trampled: <u>No</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	Access	Good (mi):		<u>1</u>	
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc: <u>Sle</u>	eping Deer			
<u>AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA</u>	<u>Adults</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0	<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0	
Search Time (hrs.min) : <u>0.33</u>	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamander	0 0 0 0	
FISHERY AND FISH POPULA	TIONS				
# Anglers: 1 Hrs Fishe	ed: 0.3 # Fis	sh Caught:	3 Fish/Hr:	10	
Fish Abundance: <u>Angling</u>	Very High	Ū	Fish Observed:	Gear:	
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>					
(Length Frequency)					
LENGTH <u>CU</u>					
0-49mm: 0					
50-99mm: 0					
150-199mm 1					
200-249mm: 0					
250-299mm: 2					
300-349mm: 0					
350-399mm: 0					
Comments:					
One adult cutthroat was emaciated.					

Table 28.Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #1.

LAKE LOCAT	<u>FION</u>						
Lake Name: <u>R</u>	<u>ock L #1A</u>	<u>.</u>			Survey	Date: <u>8/6/2</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog	#: <u>70863A</u>	Primary D	Drainage:	<u>MFk Sal</u>	<u>mon River</u>		
Secondary Dra County:	ainage: <u>Ler</u>	<u>nhi</u>			<u>R</u>	ock Creek	
Land Area: Sle	eping De	<u>er</u> USFS	S Ranger	Dist:		Challis Ele	vation (ft):
Section:	Township	:	Rar	nge:		Acr	es: <u>.3</u>
UTM East: 68	<u>34040</u>	UTM No	orth: <u>495</u>	4064			
LAKE USE							
Campsites:	0 Camp	site Impac	t Rating:		none	Trail Arou	nd Lake:
Trampled:		Access	Good (m	ii): <u>0</u>	Access	Poor (mi):	<u>0</u>
Access X-Cou	Intry (mi):	<u>0</u> Trai	ilhead Lo	c: <u>Sleeping</u>	Deer		
AMPHIBIAN S	SURVEY I	DATA	<u>Adults</u>	<u>#</u>		<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>#</u>
		Wes	stern Chorus I otted Frog	Frog 3 0	Wes	stern Chorus Fro otted Frog	g 2 0
Search Time (	(hrs.min) :	0.25 Pac	ific Chorus Fr	rog O	Pac	ific Chorus Frog	0
		Tail Wes	ed Frog stern Toad	0 0	Tail We	ed Frog stern Toad	0 0
		Lon	g Toed Salam	nander 0	Lon	g Toed Salaman	der 0
FISHERY AN	d fish po	OPULATIC	<u>DNS</u>				
# Anglers: (	<u>)</u> Hrs	s Fished:	<u>0</u>	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundan <u>Visual</u>	ce:	<u>N</u>	lone		F	ish Observo	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freq	<u>uency)</u>				DDT		
LENGTH	RB	CU	GN	RI T		GRI	FRT
0-49mm:	0	0	0	0	0		0
50-99mm:	Ō	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349MM:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:	5	5		č	U U	2	-

Table 29.Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #1A.

LAKE LOCAT	ON						
Lake Name: Ro	ock L #1B	<u>-</u>			Survey	Date: 8/6/2	2002
IDFG Catalog #	#: <u>70863B</u>	Primary I	Drainage:	MFk Salr	<u>mon River</u>		
Secondary Dra County:	inage: <u>Ler</u>	<u>mhi</u>			<u>R</u>	ock Creek	
Land Area: Slee	eping De	er USF	S Ranger	Dist:		<u>Challis</u> El	evation (ft):
Section: 1	ownship	:	Ran	ige:		Ac	res: <u>.1</u>
UTM East: 684	1040	UTM N	orth: 495	4064			
LAKE USE	<u> </u>			<u></u>			
Campsites: (	) Camp	site Impad	ct Rating:		none	Trail Arou	und Lake:
Trampled:	<u> </u>	Access	Good (mi	i): 0	Access	Poor (mi):	0
Access X-Cour	ntry (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ilhead Loo	: <u>Sleeping</u>	Deer	( )	—
AMPHIBIAN S	URVEY I		<u>Adults</u>			<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>#</u>
		We Spo	stern Chorus F	Frog 0 0	Wes Spo	tern Chorus Fro	og O O
Search Time (h	nrs.min) :	0.167 Pag	cific Chorus Fro	og O	Paci	fic Chorus Frog	0
		Tai We	led Frog stern Toad	0 0	Taile	ed Frog stern Toad	0 800
		Lor	ng Toed Salam	ander 0	Long	g Toed Salamai	nder 0
FISHERY AND	FISH PC	OPULATIO	<u>DNS</u>				
# Anglers: 0	Hrs	s Fished:	<u>0</u>	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundanc <u>Visual</u>	e:	<u>N</u>	<u>lone</u>		Fi	sh Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Frequ	ency)						
		<u>.</u>	<u></u>	<b>D</b> 1 <b>T</b>	RBT	0.01	
LENGIH	<u>RB</u>		GN	BLI	<u>CU</u>	GRL	EBI
50-99mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	Ő	Ő	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>oggiiiii.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U

Table 30.Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #1B.

Comments:

Large aggregation of western toad tadpoles.

	<u>ON</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Ro</u>	<u>ck L #2</u> 1:70864	Primary	Drainada:	MEk Salr	Survey	Date: <u>8/6/</u>	2002
	<u>70004</u>	Filliary	Dialilaye.	IVII K Jali			
Secondary Drai	inage: <u>Lem</u>	<u>nhi</u>			<u> </u>	COCK Creek	
Land Area: <u>Slee</u> Elevation (ft):	eping Dee	<u>r</u> USF <u>875(</u>	S Ranger I <u>)</u>	Dist:		<u>Challis</u>	
Section: T	ownship:		Ran	ge:		Ac	res: <u>5</u>
UTM East: <u>684</u>	287	UTM N	lorth: <u>4958</u>	<u>3199</u>			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>2</u> Lake: <u>Par</u>	<u>Camps</u>	site Impa	ct Rating:		low	Tr	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Acc	cess Good	(mi):		<u>1</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ailhead Loc	: <u>Sleeping</u>	<u>Deer</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN S</u>	<u>URVEY D</u>		Adults	<u>#</u>	10/-	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
Search Time (h	rs.min) : <u>(</u>	W Sp <u>).33</u> Pa Ta W	estern Chorus Fl potted Frog acific Chorus Fro illed Frog estern Toad	rog U 0 g O 0 0	ve Spo Pao Tail We	stern Chorus Fro otted Frog sific Chorus Frog ed Frog stern Toad	5g U 0 0 0 0
			ng Toed Salama	ander 0	Lor	ig Toed Salamai	nder 0
FISHERY AND	FISH PO		<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs	Fished:	<u>0</u> #	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
FISN Abundance Visual	e:	<u> </u>	vone		F	ISN Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (an):	0						
(Length Freque	encv)						
Longthrioqu	<u>onoy</u>				RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	<u>BLT</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GRL</u>	<u>EBT</u>
0-49mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:							

Table 31.Alpine lake survey of Rock Lake #2.

LAKE LOCATION						
Lake Name: <u>Rocky L</u>				Survey	/ Date: <u>7/11</u>	/2002
IDFG Catalog #: <u>71135</u>	Primary	Drainage:	<u>Main Salı</u>	<u>mon (Yan</u>	<u>kee Fk - He</u>	eadwaters)
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Cus</u>	<u>ter</u>			Ē	Beaver Cree	<u>ek</u>
Land Area: <u>Capehorn</u> Elevation (ft):	USF <u>8228</u>	S Ranger I <u>8</u>	Dist:		Yankee Fo	<u>ork</u>
Section: Township:		Ran	ge:		Acı	es:
UTM Fast: 0	UTM N	lorth: 0	•			
LAKE USE	01111	<u>o</u>				
Campsites: <u>2</u> Camps Lake: <u>Partial</u>	site Impa	ct Rating:		low	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	<u>No</u> 0	Aco	cess Good	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi):	<u>1</u> Tra	ailhead Loc	):			
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY D	ATA We	Adults estern Chorus F	rog 0	We	Juveniles stern Chorus Fro	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time (hrs min) · (	Sp )33 Ра	otted Frog	0	Spo	otted Frog	0
	<u>7.00</u> Ta	iled Frog	0	Tai	led Frog	0
	Lo	ng Toed Salama	ander 0	Lor	ng Toed Salamar	nder 0
FISHERY AND FISH PO	PULATI	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: 1 Hrs	Fished:	0.33	# Fish Cau	aht: 2	Fish/Hr:	6
Fish Abundance: Angling	7	/ery High		F	ish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (an): 0						
(Length Frequency)						
<u>(Longar Froquency)</u>				RBT		
LENGTH RB	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	<u>BLT</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GRL</u>	<u>EBT</u>
0-49mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-99mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200 240mm; 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm · 2	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Juvenile observed - looked like wests	lope cutthroa	<u>t.</u>				

Table 32.Alpine lake survey of Rocky Lake.

LAKE LOCATION Lake Name: Ruffneck L Survey Date: 7/12/2002 IDFG Catalog #:71130 Primary Drainage: Main Salmon (Yankee Fk - Headwaters) Secondary Drainage: **Beaver Creek** County: Custer Land Area: Capehorn USFS Ranger Dist: Middle Fork Elevation (ft): 8261 Section: Township: Range: Acres: 9 UTM East: 647394 UTM North: 4926108 LAKE USE Campsites: <u>1</u> Campsite Impact Rating: low Trail Around Lake: None Trampled: No Access Good (mi): 0 Access Poor (mi): 0 Access X-Country (mi): 0 Trailhead Loc: Langer Monument AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA Adults Juveniles # # Western Chorus Frog 0 Western Chorus Frog 0 Spotted Frog 0 Spotted Frog 0 Search Time (hrs.min) :0.167 Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Tailed Frog Tailed Frog Λ 0 Western Toad Western Toad 0 0 Long Toed Salamander Long Toed Salamander 0 0 FISHERY AND FISH POPULATIONS # Anglers: 0 Hrs Fished: 0 # Fish Caught: 0 Fish/Hr: 0 Fish Abundance: None Fish Observed: Gear: Visual Hrs Set (gn): 0 (Length Frequency) RBT LENGTH RB CU GN BLT CU GRL EBT 0-49mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 50-99mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 100-149mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 150-199mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 200-249mm: 0 0 0 0 250-299mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 300-349mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 350-399mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 >399mm: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Comments:

Alpine lake survey of Ruffneck Lake.

Stock very lightly - not much food available.

Table 33.

LAKE LOCATION						
Lake Name: <u>UP L</u>				Surve	y Date: <u>6/17/2</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog #: <u>71220</u>	Primary	Drainage:	<u>Main Sa</u>	<u>lmon (NF</u>	<u>k - Lemhi)</u>	
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Ler</u>	<u>nhi</u>				Bob Moore C	<u>reek</u>
Land Area: <u>Salmon</u> Elevation (ft):	USI <u>741</u>	FS Ranger I <u>1</u>	Dist:		<u>Salmon</u>	
Section: Township:		Ran	ge:		Acre	es:
UTM East: 265266	UTM	North: 5013	3801			
LAKE USE						
Campsites: <u>2</u> Camp Lake: <u>Intermittent</u>	site Impa	act Rating:		<u>low</u>	Trai	il Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	<u>Yes</u> <u>0</u>	Aco	cess Good	(mi):		<u>1</u>
Access X-Country (mi):	<u>0</u> Tr	ailhead Loc	:			
<u>AMPHIBIAN SURVEY [</u>	DATA v s	Adults Vestern Chorus F Spotted Frog	rog 0 4	W Sl	Juveniles Testern Chorus Frog potted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 300
Search Time (hrs.min) :	0.33 F T V L	Pacific Chorus Fro Failed Frog Vestern Toad ong Toed Salama	ng 0 0 0 ander 0	Pa Ta W Lo	acific Chorus Frog ailed Frog /estern Toad ong Toed Salamand	0 0 0 er 0
FISHERY AND FISH PC	<b>PULAT</b>	IONS				
# Anglers: <u>2</u> Hrs	Fished:	<u>0.5</u>	# Fish Cau	ıght: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: Angling/Visual		<u>Moderate</u>			Fish Observe	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>						
(Length Frequency)						
	~		<b></b>	RBT		
<u>LENGIH</u> <u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	BLI	<u>CU</u>		<u>EBI</u>
0-49mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm: 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm: 0	3	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:						
Large amount of aquatic macrophyte bodied. Observed 3 Cutthroat in the	es present - i 350-399 size	nlets not very def e range.	ined. Spawning	potential is le	<u>ss than marginal. Fi</u>	<u>sh very nice</u>

Table 34. Alpine lake survey of UP Lake.

LAKE LOCATI	<u>ON</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Va</u>	nity L #1				Surve	y Date: <u>7/10/</u>	<u>2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>71009</u> F	Primary I	Drainage:	<u>MFk Saln</u>	non Rive	<u>er</u>	
Secondary Drai County:	nage: <u>Cust</u>	<u>er</u>				Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Cap</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>ehorn</u>	USF: <u>7864</u>	S Ranger D	Dist:		Middle Fork	<u>x</u>
Section: T	Section: Township: Range:						es:
UTM East: <u>654</u> LAKE USE	<u>880</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>4928</u>	<u>320</u>			
Campsites: <u>3</u> Lake: <u>Com</u>	Campsi	te Impa	ct Rating:	<u> </u>	<u>ow</u>	Tra	il Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Acc	ess Good (	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi): 2	<u>2</u> Tra	ilhead Loc:	Vanity Su	<u>nmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SI</u>	JRVEY DA	ATA We Spi	Adults estern Chorus Fro otted Frog	90 0 00 0	W S	Juveniles /estern Chorus Frog potted Frog	9 0 0
Search Time (h	rs.min) :	0 Par Tai We Lor	cific Chorus Frog led Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salamai	9 0 0 0 nder 0	P T W Lo	acific Chorus Frog ailed Frog /estern Toad ong Toed Salamand	0 0 0 ler 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POP	PULATIO	ONS				
# Anglers: 1	Hrs F	- ished:	1 #	Fish Cauc	ht: 12	Fish/Hr:	12
Fish Abundance	e:	7	/ery High		,	Fish Observe	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
					RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	BLT	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBT</u>
0-4911111. 50-00mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0 0	Õ	0 0	Õ	Õ	0 0	Õ
200-249mm:	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	U	U	0	U	0	U	U
Comments:	(						
Angier caught fish - we	took lengths.						

Table 35.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #1.

38

LAKE LOCATION	<u>1</u>						
Lake Name: Vanit	<u>y L #10</u>				Survey	/ Date: <u>7/9/20</u>	002
IDFG Catalog #:7	<u>1023</u> Prir	nary Draina	age: <u>N</u>	IFk Salmo	n River		
Secondary Draina County:	ige: <u>Custer</u>				<u>F</u>	Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Capeh</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>orn</u>	USFS Rar <u>7901</u>	nger Dist	:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tow	Section: Township: Range:						S:
UTM East: <u>65346</u>	<u>8</u> U	TM North:	<u>492777</u>	<u>5</u>			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Intermitte</u>	Campsite ent	Impact Rat	ting:	lov	<u>v</u>	Trai	l Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi):	<u>N</u> 2	<u>0</u>	Acces	s Good (m	ii):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	' (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhea	d Loc: <u>Va</u>	anity Sumi	<u>mit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUR</u>	VEY DAT	<u>A</u> <u>Ad</u>	ults borus Frog	<u>#</u>	\ <b>M</b> /o	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
<b>a</b> . <b>-</b> , <i>"</i>		Spotted Fr	og	0	Spo	otted Frog	0
Search Time (hrs.	min) : <u>0.33</u>	Pacific Cho Tailed Frog Western To Long Toed	orus Frog g oad I Salamander	0 0 0	Pao Tai We	cific Chorus Frog led Frog estern Toad	0 0 0
FISHERY AND FI	SH POPU			Ū		ig i cou calamana	
# Anglers: 0	Hrs Fis	hed: 0	# Fi	sh Caugh	t: 0	Fish/Hr:	0
Fish Abundance: Visual		<u>None</u>		on eaugn	<u> </u>	ish Observe	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Frequen	<u>cy)</u>						
					RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u> <u>C</u>	<u>:U GI</u>	<u>N</u>	BLT	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBT</u>
50-99mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	Ő	0 0	Ő
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	U	0	0	0	0	U	0
Comments:							
Lake has two deep (15-20	) areas separate	ed by shallow fla	t. Lot of groo	eries (calebeat	<u>is, caddis,</u>	& damsel).	

Table 36.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #10.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>NC</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Var</u>	<u>nity L #11</u>				Surve	/ Date: <u>7/9/2</u>	<u>2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>71024</u> F	Primary I	Drainage:	<u>MFk Saln</u>	non Rive	-	
Secondary Drai County:	nage: <u>Cust</u>	<u>er</u>			<u>F</u>	<u>Rapid River</u>	
Land Area: <u>Cape</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>ehorn</u>	USF: <u>7981</u>	S Ranger I	Dist:		Middle For	<u>'k</u>
Section: T	ownship:		Ran	ge:		Acr	es: <u>3</u>
UTM East: <u>652</u> LAKE USE	<u>813</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>4926</u>	<u>6978</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Interm</u>	Campsi ittent	ite Impac	ct Rating:	<u>!</u>	none	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	i):	<u>Yes</u> <u>0</u>	Aco	cess Good (	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi): <u>(</u>	<u>)</u> Tra	ilhead Loo	: <u>Vanity Su</u>	<u>nmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	JRVEY D	ATA We	Adults estern Chorus F	rog 0	We	Juveniles	<u>#</u> 0
Search Time (h	rs.min) : <u>0.</u>	167 Pao Tai We	cific Chorus Fro cific Chorus Fro led Frog estern Toad	og 0 0 0	Sp Pa Ta We	otted Frog cific Chorus Frog iled Frog estern Toad	9 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POI		ng Toed Salama	ander 0	Lo	ng Toed Salamar	ider 0
# Anglers: 0	Hrs I	-ished:	0 ;	# Fish Cauc	iht: 0	Fish/Hr:	0
Fish Abundance	9:	<u>N</u>	<u>Ione</u>		, <u>-</u> F	ish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
		<u></u>	<b></b>	<b>D</b> 1 <b>T</b>	RBT		EDT
<u>LENGIH</u>	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	BLI	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBI</u>
0-491111. 50-99mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	Õ	Õ	0	Õ	Õ	0 0	0 0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:							
Adequate inlet/outlet. To	oo shallow. Difl	erent sizes o	of spotted frogs	present.			

Table 37.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #11.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>NC</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Var</u>	nity L #12				Surv	ey Date: <u>7/9/20</u>	002
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>71025</u> I	Primary	Drainage:	MFk Salr	non Riv	er	
Secondary Drai County:	nage: <u>Cust</u>	er				Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Cape</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>ehorn</u>	USF <u>8034</u>	S Ranger	Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: T	ownship:		Ran	ige:		Acre	s: <u>1</u>
UTM East: 653	077	UTM N	orth: 492	6854			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Nor</u>	Camps <u>ne</u>	ite Impa	ct Rating:		<u>none</u>	Trai	l Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	ii):	<u>No</u> <u>1</u>	Ac	cess Good	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ilhead Loo	c: <u>Vanity Su</u>	<u>mmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	JRVEY D	ATA	Adults	<u>#</u>		Juveniles	<u>#</u>
_		Sp	otted Frog	-10g 0 0		Spotted Frog	0
Search Time (h	rs.min) : <u>0.</u>	. <u>167</u> Pa	cific Chorus Fro	og O		Pacific Chorus Frog	0
		We	estern Toad	0 ander 0		Western Toad	0 ar 0
FISHERY AND	FISH PO					Long roca calamana	
# Anglers: 0	Hrs	Fished:	0	# Fish Cau	aht: 0	Fish/Hr:	0
Fish Abundance Visual	9:	<u>1</u>	None		<u> </u>	Fish Observe	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
					RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	BLT	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBT</u>
0-491111. 50-99mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:							
Small body of water with	h frog pond in	close proxim	ity. Frog pond I	nas outlet. Vanity	#12 does r	not have inlet or outlet.	<u>.</u>

Table 38.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #12.

LAKE LOCATI	<u>ON</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Va</u>	nity L #13				Surve	y Date: <u>7/9/2</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>71027</u> F	Primary	Drainage:	<u>MFk Salm</u>	<u>ion Rive</u>	<u>r</u>	
Secondary Drai County:	nage: <u>Cust</u>	er_			<u> </u>	Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Cap</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>ehorn</u>	USF <u>8213</u>	S Ranger I <u>3</u>	Dist:		Middle For	<u>k</u>
Section: T	ownship:		Ran	ge:		Acr	es: <u>5</u>
UTM East: 652	789	UTM N	lorth: 4926	6527			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Interm</u>	Campsi	ite Impa	ct Rating:	<u> </u>	<u>ow</u>	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	ni):	<u>No</u> <u>1</u>	Aco	cess Good (	mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi): <u>(</u>	<u>)</u> Tra	ailhead Loc	: <u>Vanity Sur</u>	<u>nmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	JRVEY D	<u>ATA</u>	<u>Adults</u>	<u>#</u>		<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>#</u>
		We Sp	estern Chorus F otted Frog	rog 0 0	W Sp	estern Chorus Fro ootted Frog	g 0 0
Search Time (h	rs.min) : <u>0</u>	<u>.58</u> Pa	cific Chorus Fro	g O	Pa	acific Chorus Frog	0
		Ta We	iled Frog estern Toad	0 0	Ta W	alled Frog estern Toad	0
		Lo	ng Toed Salama	ander 0	Lo	ng Toed Salaman	der 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POI	PULATI	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>1</u>	Hrs I	-ished:	<u>0.1</u>	# Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance <u>Angling</u>	9:	Ī	<u>_OW</u>			Fish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
		<u></u>	<u></u>	<b>D</b> 1 <b>T</b>	RBT	6 D I	
<u>LENGIH</u>	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	GN	BLI		GRL	<u>ERI</u>
0-491111. 50-99mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0	Ō	0	0	Ō	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>3990000:	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
	onid mortality						
Observed One 12 Sall	ioniu monality.						

Table 39.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #13.

42

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>N</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Van</u>	<u>ity L #2</u>				Surve	y Date: <u>7/10/2</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog #:	<u>71010</u> P	rimary D	rainage:	<u>MFk Salm</u>	on Rive	<u>er</u>	
Secondary Drair County:	age: <u>Custe</u>	<u>er</u>				<u>Rapid River</u>	
Land Area: <u>Cape</u> Elevation (ft):	horn	USFS <u>7975</u>	Ranger D	Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: To	wnship:		Rang	ge:		Acre	s: <u>1.5</u>
UTM East: 6545	550	UTM No	rth: 4928	150			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Parti</u>	Campsit <u>al</u>	te Impact	Rating:	<u>r</u>	<u>ione</u>	Trai	l Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi	):	<u>No</u> 0	Acc	ess Good (	mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Count	ry (mi): <u>2</u>	Trail	head Loc	: <u>Vanity Sur</u>	<u>nmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	RVEY DA	TA	<u>Adults</u>	<u>#</u>		<u>Juveniles</u>	<u>#</u>
		West Spot	tern Chorus Fr ted Frog	og O O	W S	/estern Chorus Frog potted Frog	0 0
Search Time (hr	s.min) : <u>0.</u>	33 Pacif	ic Chorus Frog	g 0	P	acific Chorus Frog	0
		Taile West	d Frog tern Toad	0	La W	ailed Frog /estern Toad	0 0
		Long	Toed Salama	nder 0	Lo	ong Toed Salamande	er O
FISHERY AND	FISH POP	ULATIO	<u>NS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs F	ished:	<u>0</u> #	Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance <u>Visual</u>	:	<u>Hi</u>	<u>gh</u>			Fish Observe	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ncy)						
		<b>0</b> 11			RBT		FDT
<u>LENGIH</u> 0.40mm:	<u>RB</u>		GN	BLI			EBI
50-99mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0 0	Õ	0 0	Õ	0 0	Ő	Õ
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>39911111.	U	U	U	U	0	U	U
Comments:	cellent chowni	na trib					
iniuiupie year classes. Ex	concin spawilli	ng trip.					

Table 40.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #2.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>N</u> tv L #3				Surve	ev Date: 7/10/2	2002
IDFG Catalog #:7	<u>1013</u> P	rimary Di	rainage:	MFk Salm	non Riv	<u>er</u>	
Secondary Draina County:	age: <u>Custe</u>	<u>:r</u>				Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Capeł</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>norn</u>	USFS <u>7970</u>	Ranger D	)ist:		Middle Fork	
Section: Tov	wnship:		Rang	le:		Acre	s: <u>7</u>
UTM East: <u>6546</u> LAKE USE	<u>50</u>	UTM No	rth: <u>4928</u>	<u>000</u>			
Campsites: <u>3</u> Lake: <u>Intermitt</u>	Campsite	e Impact	Rating:	<u> </u>	<u>ow</u>	Trail	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi)	:	<u>No</u> 0	Acco	ess Good (	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Country	y (mi): <u>3</u>	Traill	head Loc:	Vanity Sur	<u>nmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SUF</u>	<u>RVEY DA</u>	TA Weste Spott	Adults ern Chorus Fro ed Frog	0 <u>#</u> 0 0		Juveniles Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (hrs	.min): <u>0.</u>	5 Pacifi Taileo Westo Long	ic Chorus Frog d Frog ern Toad Toed Salamar	0 0 0 nder 0	F 7 \ 1	Pacific Chorus Frog Failed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamande	O O O er O
FISHERY AND F	ISH POP	ULATIO	NS				
# Anglers: 1	Hrs F	ished: 0	.33 #	Fish Caug	ht: 3	Fish/Hr:	9
Fish Abundance: Angling		Ve	ery High	C	_	Fish Observe	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Frequer	<u>ncy)</u>						
	00	<u></u>			RBT		FDT
<u>LENGIH</u> 0-49mm <sup>-</sup>	<u> </u>	0					
50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399MM: S399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:	0	U	U	U	0	U	0
Lots of swamp around lak	<u>e.</u>						

Table 41.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #3.

LAKE LOCATI	<u>ON</u>						
Lake Name: Va	nity L #4				Survey	Date: <u>7/10</u>	/2002
IDFG Catalog #	‡: <u>71014</u>	Primary	Drainage:	<u>MFk Saln</u>	<u>non River</u>		
Secondary Dra County:	inage: <u>Cus</u>	<u>ter</u>			<u>F</u>	apid River	
Land Area: <u>Cap</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>ehorn</u>	USF <u>8240</u>	S Ranger	Dist:		Middle For	<u>'k</u>
Section: T	ownship:		Rar	nge:		Acı	res: <u>2.5</u>
UTM East: <u>655</u> <u>LAKE USE</u>	5 <u>209</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>492</u>	<u>7729</u>			
Campsites: <u>(</u> Lake: <u>Interm</u>	<u>)</u> Camps hittent	site Impa	ct Rating:	i	none	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (n	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Ac	cess Good	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Cour	ntry (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ilhead Lo	c: <u>Vanity Su</u>	<u>mmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN S</u>	<u>URVEY D</u>		Adults	<u>#</u>	W/0	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
Search Time (h	ırs.min) : <u>(</u>	Sp <u>).33</u> Pa Ta We Loi	otted Frog cific Chorus Fr iled Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salam	og 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Spo Pao Tai We Lor	otted Frog cific Chorus Frog led Frog stern Toad Ig Toed Salamar	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND	FISH PO	PULATI	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>2</u> Fish Abundanc <u>Angling</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs e: <u>0</u>	Fished: <u>ŀ</u>	<u>0.75</u> ligh	# Fish Cauç	ght: <u>4</u> F	Fish/Hr: ïsh Observ	<u>5.3</u> ed: Gear:
<u>(Length Frequ</u>	ency)						
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm:	<b>RB</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CU 0 0 0 2 1 0 1 0	<u>GN</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	BLT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>RBT</b> <u>CU</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>GRL</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>EBT</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Comments:							

Table 42.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #4.

No amphibian reproduction areas present.

LAKE LOCATION			
Lake Name: Vanity L #5		Surv	ey Date: <u>7/10/2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #:71015 Pri	mary Drainage:	MFk Salmon Riv	<u>er</u>
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Custer</u>			Rapid River
Land Area: <u>Capehorn</u> Elevation (ft):	USFS Ranger D <u>7956</u>	vist:	Middle Fork
Section: Township:	Rang	e:	Acres: 2.7
UTM East: <u>654664</u> U	TM North: 4927	<u>792</u>	
LAKE USE			
Campsites: <u>1</u> Campsite Lake: <u>Partial</u>	Impact Rating:	low	Trail Around
Trampled: <u>N</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	o Acce	ess Good (mi):	<u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 3	Trailhead Loc:	Vanity Summit	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DAT	<u>A</u> <u>Adults</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>Juveniles</u> <u>#</u>
• · <u> </u>	Spotted Frog	0 0	Spotted Frog 0
Search Time (hrs.min) : 0.2	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog	0	Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Tailed Frog 0
	Western Toad Long Toed Salamar	0 nder 0	Western Toad 0 Long Toed Salamander 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPU	LATIONS		-
# Anglers: 1 Hrs Fis	hed: 0.083 #	Fish Caught: 2	Fish/Hr: 12
Fish Abundance:	Very High		Fish Observed: Gear:
Angling			
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>			
(Length Frequency)			
		RBT CU	
$\frac{\text{LENGIN}}{0-49\text{mm}} \qquad \frac{\text{RB}}{0} \qquad \frac{9}{2}$			
50-99mm 0	0 0	0 0	
100-149mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
150-199mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
200-249mm: 0	1 0	0 0	0 0
250-299mm: 0	1 0	0 0	0 0
300-349mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
350-399mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
>399mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Comments:			
Very close proximity to Vanity #3 - within 2	<u>20 ft.</u>		

Table 43.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #5.

46

LAKE LOCAT	ION anity I #6				Survey	Date: 7/10	)/2002
IDFG Catalog	#: <u>71016</u>	Primary	Drainage:	MFk Salr	non River	Dute: <u>1710</u>	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
Secondary Dra County:	ainage: <u>Cus</u>	ster			<u>F</u>	Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Ca</u> r Elevation (ft):	<u>oehorn</u>	USF <u>7975</u>	S Ranger I	Dist:		Middle Fo	r <u>k</u>
Section:	Township:		Ran	ge:		Ac	res: <u>4.5</u>
UTM East: <u>65</u> LAKE USE	<u>4101</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>4927</u>	7703			
Campsites: <u>Com</u>	<u>3</u> Camps plete	site Impa	ct Rating:		<u>low</u>	Tr	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (r	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Acc	cess Good	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Cou	ntry (mi):	<u>3</u> Tra	ilhead Loc	: <u>Vanity Su</u>	<u>mmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN S</u>		<b>DATA</b>	Adults estern Chorus Fi	<u>#</u> 0	We	Juveniles	<u>#</u> 0 pc
Search Time (I	nrs.min) :	Sp 0.25 Pa Ta We Lo	otted Frog cific Chorus Fro iled Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salama	g 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Spo Pao Tail We Lor	otted Frog cific Chorus Frog led Frog stern Toad Ig Toed Salaman	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND	) FISH PC	PULATI	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>1</u> Fish Abundano <u>Angling</u> Hrs Set (gn):	Hrs ce: <u>0</u>	Fished: <u>\</u>	<u>0.25</u>	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>4</u> F	Fish/Hr: ïsh Observ	<u>16</u> ved: Gear:
<u>(Length Frequ</u>	iency)						
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm:	<b>RB</b> 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	CU 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0	GN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	BLT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>RBT</b> <u>CU</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>GRL</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>EBT</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Comments:							

Table 44.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #6.

LAKE LOCATI	<u>ON</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Va</u>	nity L #7				Surv	ey Date: <u>7/9/20</u>	002
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>71017</u> F	Primary	Drainage:	<u>MFk Saln</u>	non Riv	er	
Secondary Drai County:	nage: <u>Cust</u>	<u>er</u>				Rapid River	
Land Area: <u>Cap</u> Elevation (ft):	<u>ehorn</u>	USF <u>8058</u>	S Ranger E <u>3</u>	Dist:		Middle Fork	
Section: T	ownship:		Rang	ge:		Acre	s:
UTM East: <u>653</u>	900	UTM N	lorth: <u>4927</u>	<u>350</u>			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>2</u> Lake: <u>Par</u>	Campsi tial	te Impa	ct Rating:	<u> </u>	<u>low</u>	Trai	l Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Acc	ess Good	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi): 2	<u>2</u> Tra	ailhead Loc	: <u>Vanity Su</u>	<u>mmit</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SI</u>	JRVEY D	ATA W	Adults estern Chorus Fr potted Frog	og 0 0		<u>Juveniles</u> Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (h	rs.min) :	<u>1</u> Pa Ta W Lo	acific Chorus Frog ailed Frog estern Toad ong Toed Salama	g 0 0 0 nder 0		Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamando	0 0 0 er 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POP	PULATI	ONS				
# Anglers: 2	Hrs F	Fished:	0.5 #	Fish Cau	aht: 5	Fish/Hr:	10
Fish Abundance	э:	<u>-</u>	Very High		-	Fish Observe	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
					RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	BLT	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBT</u>
0-49mm: 50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0 0	Õ	Ũ	Ő	Õ	Ő	Õ
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	U	U	0	U	0	U	U
Comments:	Theorem	h a 10 - 0-1					
Grand rainbow tisnery.	They all should	De like this	<u>.</u>				

Table 45.Alpine lake survey of Vanity Lake #7.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>DN</u>						
Lake Name: <u>WF</u>	Camas #1				Surve	ey Date: <u>8/8/20</u>	<u>02</u>
IDFG Catalog #:	<u>70818</u> P	rimary Dra	ainage:	MFk Salmo	on Rive	<u>er</u>	
Secondary Drair County:	nage: <u>Lemh</u>	i				WF Camas Cr	<u>eek</u>
Land Area: <u>Slee</u> r Elevation (ft):	oing Deer	USFS F <u>7857</u>	Ranger Di	st:		<u>Challis</u>	
Section: To	wnship:		Range	):		Acres	s: <u>13</u>
UTM East: 6859	924	UTM Nort	h: 49634	80			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>Parti</u>	Campsite	e Impact F	Rating:	<u>lo</u>	W	Trail	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi	i):	<u>No</u> 0	Acce	ss Good (n	ni):		<u>7</u>
Access X-Count	ry (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailh	ead Loc: <u>S</u>	Sleeping D	<u>eer</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	IRVEY DA	TA Wester Spotted	Adults n Chorus Frog d Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0	۷ S	Juveniles /estern Chorus Frog potted Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (hr	s.min) : <u>0./</u>	2 <u>5</u> Pacific Tailed Wester Long T	Chorus Frog Frog n Toad oed Salamand	0 0 0 er 0	P T W L	acific Chorus Frog ailed Frog /estern Toad ong Toed Salamande	0 0 0 r 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POP	ULATION	S				
# Anglers: 1	Hrs F	shed: 0.	 2 #F	- ish Caudh	nt: 1	Fish/Hr:	5
Fish Abundance Angling	:	<u>Hig</u>	<u>h</u>	ien eaug	···· <u>·</u>	Fish Observed	d: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
					RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	GN	BLT	<u>CU</u>	GRL	<u>EBT</u>
0-4911111. 50-99mm <sup>.</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0 0	0 0	Õ	Õ	Õ	ů 0	Õ
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:							
Stock only westslope cu	tthroat.						

Table 46. Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #1.

LAKE LOCATION				
Lake Name: <u>WF Camas #2</u>			Survey Dat	e: <u>8/8/2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #: <u>70819</u> Prim	ary Drainage:	MFk Salmo	<u>n River</u>	
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Lemhi</u>			<u>WF C</u>	amas Creek
Land Area: <u>Sleeping Deer</u> Elevation (ft):	JSFS Ranger [ <u>3134</u>	Dist:	<u>Cha</u>	<u>Illis</u>
Section: Township:	Rang	ge:		Acres:
UTM East: <u>685205</u> UT	M North: <u>4963</u>	<u>3400</u>		
LAKE USE				
Campsites: <u>0</u> Campsite la Lake: <u>Other</u>	mpact Rating:	<u>no</u>	<u>ne</u>	Trail Around
Trampled: Ac	cess Good (mi)	): <u>6</u> /	Access Poo	r (mi): <u>0</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc	: <u>Sleeping De</u>	er	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA	Adults	<u>#</u>	<u>Juv</u>	eniles <u>#</u>
	Spotted Frog	og 0 3	Spotted F	rog 45
Search Time (hrs.min) : <u>0.42</u>	Pacific Chorus Fro	g 0 0	Pacific Ch Tailed Fro	orus Frog 0
	Western Toad	0 0 Nador 0	Western T	oad 0 A Salamandar 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPUL	ATIONS		Long Toe	
# Anglers: 0 Hrs Fish	ed: 0 t	# Fish Caught		ish/Hr: 0
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>	<u>None</u>	r i sir oduğın	<u>o</u> Fish (	Observed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>				
(Length Frequency)				
			RBT	
$\frac{\text{LENGTH}}{0-49\text{mm}} \qquad \frac{\text{RB}}{0} \qquad \frac{\text{C}}{0}$	$\frac{J}{D}$ <u>GN</u>	BLT		<u>SRL EBT</u>
50-99mm: 0 (	0 0	0	0	0 0
100-149mm: 0	0	Ő	0	0 0
150-199mm: 0 (	0 0	0	0	0 0
200-249mm: 0 (	0	0	0	0 0
250-299mm: 0 (		0	0	0 0
350-399mm <sup>-</sup> 0		0	0	
>399mm: 0 (	0	0	0	0 0
Comments:				

Table 47.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #2.

LAKE LOCAT	ION						
Lake Name: <u>W</u>	'F Camas #	<u>'3</u>			Surve	y Date: <u>8/8/2</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog	#: <u>70820</u>	Primary I	Drainage:	MFk Salm	on Rive	<u>r</u>	
Secondary Dra County:	ainage: <u>Lem</u>	<u>hi</u>			Ŋ	NF Camas	<u>Creek</u>
Land Area: <u>Sle</u> Elevation (ft):	eping Deel	USF: 8301	S Ranger	Dist:		<u>Challis</u>	
Section:	Township:		Ran	ige:		Acr	es: <u>20</u>
UTM East: <u>68</u> LAKE USE	<u>4951</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>496</u>	<u>3023</u>			
Campsites: Lake: <u>Pa</u>	<u>1</u> Camps <u>rtial</u>	ite Impad	ct Rating:	<u> </u>	<u>ow</u>	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (I	mi):	<u>No</u> 0	Ac	cess Good (	mi):		<u>6</u>
Access X-Cou	ntry (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ilhead Lo	c: <u>Sleeping E</u>	<u>Deer</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN S</u>	SURVEY D	ATA	Adults	<u>#</u>	10/	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
<b>_</b> //		Spo	otted Frog	0	Sp	otted Frog	0 0
Search Time (	hrs.min) : <u>(</u>	) <u>.67</u> Pao Tai We Lor	cific Chorus Fr led Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salam	og 0 0 ander 0	Pa Ta Wa Lo	cific Chorus Frog iled Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salaman	0 0 0 der 0
FISHERY AND	D FISH PO	PULATIO	ONS				
# Anglers: 1	Hrs	Fished:	0.75	# Fish Cauo	ht: 0	Fish/Hr:	0
Fish Abundano Angling	ce:	<u>N</u>	None		<u>-</u>	Fish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Frequ	uency)						
					RBT		
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	<u>BLT</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GRL</u>	<u>EBT</u>
0-49mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0 0	Õ	0	0 0	Õ	0 0	0 0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:							
Westslope cutthroat o	only for stocking.						

Table 48.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #3.

LAKE LOCAT	ION						
Lake Name: <u>W</u>	F Camas	<u>#4</u>			Survey	<sup>,</sup> Date: <u>8/8/</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog a	#: <u>70823</u>	Primary	Drainage:	<u>MFk Saln</u>	non River		
Secondary Dra County:	inage: <u>Ler</u>	<u>nhi</u>			<u>V</u>	VF Camas	<u>Creek</u>
Land Area: <u>Slea</u> Elevation (ft):	eping Dee	<u>er</u> USF <u>8548</u>	S Ranger	Dist:		<u>Challis</u>	
Section:	[ownship:	:	Ran	ge:		Ac	res: <u>5</u>
UTM East: <u>684</u> LAKE USE	<u>4400</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>496</u> 2	<u>2937</u>			
Campsites: <u>(</u> Lake: <u>No</u>	<u>)</u> Camp ne	site Impa	ct Rating:		<u>none</u>	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (r	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Ace	cess Good	(mi):		<u>5</u>
Access X-Cour	ntry (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ilhead Loo	: <u>Sleeping</u>	Deer		
<u>AMPHIBIAN S</u>	<u>URVEY [</u>	DATA	Adults	<u>#</u>	We	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
Search Time (r	nrs.min) : <u>(</u>	Sp <u>D.167</u> Pa Tai We Loi	otted Frog cific Chorus Fro iled Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salama	og 0 0 0 0 ander 0	Spo Pao Tail We Lor	otted Frog cific Chorus Frog led Frog stern Toad Ig Toed Salamar	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND	FISH PC	PULATI	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs	Fished:	<u>0</u>	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundanc <u>Visual</u>	e:	<u>1</u>	<u>None</u>		F	ish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
<u>(Length Frequ</u>	iency)						
LENGTH 0-49mm: 50-99mm: 100-149mm: 150-199mm: 200-249mm: 250-299mm: 300-349mm: 350-399mm:	<u>RB</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CU 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>GN</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>BLT</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>RBT</b> <u>CU</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>GRL</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>EBT</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Comments:	U	U	U	U	U	0	U

Table 49.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #4.

LAKE LOCATION			
Lake Name: <u>WF Camas #5</u>		Sur	vey Date: <u>8/8/2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #:70824 Prim	nary Drainage:	MFk Salmon Ri	ver
Secondary Drainage: County: <u>Lemhi</u>			WF Camas Creek
Land Area: <u>Sleeping Deer</u> Elevation (ft):	USFS Ranger Di <u>8507</u>	ist:	<u>Challis</u>
Section: Township:	Range	ə:	Acres: <u>12</u>
UTM East: 684374 UT	M North: 49630	93	
LAKE USE			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Campsite I Lake: <u>Partial</u>	mpact Rating:	none	Trail Around
Trampled: <u>No</u> Access Poor (mi): <u>0</u>	<u>a</u> Acce	ess Good (mi):	<u>5</u>
Access X-Country (mi): 0	Trailhead Loc:	<u>Sleeping Deer</u>	
AMPHIBIAN SURVEY DATA	Western Chorus Frog Spotted Frog	g 0 0	Juveniles#Western Chorus Frog0Spotted Frog0
Search Time (hrs.min) : <u>0.25</u>	Pacific Chorus Frog Tailed Frog Western Toad Long Toed Salamand	0 0 0 der 0	Pacific Chorus Frog 0 Tailed Frog 0 Western Toad 0 Long Toed Salamander 0
FISHERY AND FISH POPUL	ATIONS		
# Anglers: 1 Hrs Fish	ed: 0.1 #	Fish Caught: 1	Fish/Hr: 10
Fish Abundance: Angling	Very High		Fish Observed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn): <u>0</u>			
<u>(Length Frequency)</u>			
		RB	т
<u>LENGTH</u> <u>RB</u> <u>C</u>	<u>U</u> <u>GN</u>	<u>BLT</u> <u>CU</u>	<u>GRL</u> <u>EBT</u>
0-49mm: 0		0 0	
100-149mm <sup>.</sup> 0			
150-199mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
200-249mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
250-299mm: 0	1 0	0 0	0 0
300-349mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
350-399mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
>399mm: 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Comments:			
Appear to be westslope cutthroat.			

Table 50.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #5.

53

LAKE LC	<u>OCATIO</u>	N						
Lake Nar	ne: <u>WF (</u>	<u>Camas #</u>	<u>5B</u>			Survey	Date: <u>8/8/2</u>	2002
IDFG Cat	talog #: <u>7</u>	7 <u>0824B</u> F	Primary	Drainage:	<u>MFk Salr</u>	<u>non River</u>		
Seconda County:	ry Draina	age: <u>Leml</u>	<u>hi</u>			<u>V</u>	VF Camas	<u>Creek</u>
Land Are Elevation	a: <u>Sleep</u> (ft):	ing Deer	USF <u>877</u> 2	S Ranger   <u>2</u>	Dist:		<u>Challis</u>	
Section:	То	wnship:		Ran	ge:		Acı	es: <u>.1</u>
UTM Eas <b>LAKE US</b>	it: <u>6838</u> : <u>SE</u>	<u>33</u>	UTM N	lorth: <u>4963</u>	<u>3241</u>			
Campsite Lake:	es: <u>0</u> <u>None</u>	Campsi <u>2</u>	te Impa	ct Rating:		<u>none</u>	Tra	ail Around
Trampled Access P	l: 'oor (mi)	:	<u>No</u> 0	Aco	cess Good	(mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X	-Countr	y (mi): <u>(</u>	<u>)</u> Tra	ailhead Loo	: <u>Sleeping</u>	<u>Deer</u>		
<u>AMPHIB</u>	IAN SUI	RVEY D	<u>ATA</u> w	<u>Adults</u> estern Chorus F	rog <u>#</u>	We	Juveniles stern Chorus Fro	<u>#</u> 0
Search T	ime (hrs	.min) : <u>0.</u>	55 083 Pa Ta W Lo	acific Chorus Fro acific Chorus Fro ailed Frog estern Toad ong Toed Salama	og 0 0 0 0 ander 0	Spc Pac Tail We Lon	otted Frog ific Chorus Frog ed Frog stern Toad ig Toed Salamar	9 0 0 0 0 0 0
<b>FISHERY</b>	AND F	ISH POP	PULATI	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers Fish Abu	s: <u>0</u> ndance:	Hrs F	ished:	0 ; None	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>0</u> F	Fish/Hr: ïsh Observ	<u>0</u> ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (	gn):	0						
(Length	Frequer	ncy)						
		PB	CU	GN	BLT	RBT	CPI	FRT
0-49mm:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-99mm	):	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149n	nm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199n	nm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-2490	nm: nm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349n	nm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399n	nm:	Õ	Ő	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő
>399mm:	:	0	0	Ō	Ō	0	0	0
Commen	nts:							

Table 51.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #5B.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>NC</u>						
Lake Name: <u>WF</u>	Camas #5	C			Survey	Date: 8/8/2	2002
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>70824C</u> P	rimary D	rainage:	MFk Salm	<u>on River</u>		
Secondary Drai County:	nage: Lemh	i			<u>V</u>	/F Camas	<u>Creek</u>
Land Area: Slee	ning Deer		Ranger	Diet:		Challie Ele	wation (ft).
		0010	Dee				
Section:	ownsnip:		Ran	ige:		Acr	'es: <u>.1</u>
UTM East: <u>0</u> LAKE USE		UTM No	rth: <u>0</u>				
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Nor</u>	Campsite	e Impact	Rating:	<u>n</u>	<u>one</u>	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	ii):	<u>No</u> 0	Ac	cess Good (I	mi):		<u>4</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi): <u>0</u>	Trail	head Lo	c: <u>Sleeping D</u>	eer		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	JRVEY DA	TA West	Adults	Frog 0	Wes	Juveniles stern Chorus Fro	ng 0
Search Time (h	rs.min) :0.0	Spott 83 Pacif	ied ⊢rog ic Chorus Fro	0 O pq	Spo Pac	tted Frog ific Chorus Frog	22 0
Υ.	/	Taile	d Frog ern Toad	0	Tail	ed Frog	0
		Long	Toed Salam	ander 0	Lon	g Toed Salaman	ider 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POP	ULATIO	<u>NS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs Fi	shed:	<u>0</u>	# Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance <u>Visual</u>	9:	<u>Nc</u>	one		F	ish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)				RBT		
LENGTH	RB	CU	GN	BLT	CU	GRL	EBT
0-49mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm: 200-240mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-249mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	Õ	Õ	Ő	Ő	Õ	Ő	Õ
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:							
Small & shallow (not on	<u>map).</u>						

Table 52.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #5C.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>NC</u>						
Lake Name: <u>WF</u>	Camas #6	<u>6A</u>			Survey	/ Date: <u>8/8/2</u>	2002
IDFG Catalog #	: <u>70826A</u> P	rimary I	Drainage:	<u>MFk Salm</u>	on River		
Secondary Drai County:	nage: <u>Lemh</u>	<u>ii</u>			<u>V</u>	VF Camas	<u>Creek</u>
Land Area: <u>Slee</u>	<u>ping Deer</u>	USF	S Ranger [	Dist:		<u>Challis</u> Ele	evation (ft):
Section: To	ownship:		Ran	ge:		Acı	res: <u>4</u>
UTM East: <u>684</u> LAKE USE	<u>800</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>4963</u>	<u>37</u>			
Campsites: <u>0</u> Lake: <u>Nor</u>	Campsit <u>ne</u>	e Impac	ct Rating:	<u>n</u>	ione	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (m	i):	<u>No</u> 0	Acc	ess Good (	mi):		<u>0</u>
Access X-Coun	try (mi): <u>2</u>	Tra	ilhead Loc	: <u>Sleeping D</u>	<u>eer</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	JRVEY DA	TA We	Adults	<u>#</u>	We	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
Saarah Tima (h	$ramin) \cdot 0$	Spo 25 B	otted Frog	0	Spo	otted Frog	50
Search Time (III	15.11111) . <u>0.</u>	<u>23</u> Pao Tai	led Frog	g U 0	Pa Tai	led Frog	0
		Lor	stern Toad ng Toed Salama	nder 0	Lor	ng Toed Salamar	nder 0
FISHERY AND	FISH POP	ULATIO	<u>DNS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs F	ished:	<u>0</u> #	≠ Fish Caug	ht: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance <u>Visual</u>	):	<u>N</u>	lone		F	ish Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ency)						
I FNGTH	RB	CU	GN	BI T	CU RB1	GRI	FBT
0-49mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	0	0	Õ	0	0	0	Ō
Comments:							

Table 53.Alpine lake survey of WF Camas Lake #6A.

LAKE LOCAT	ION						
Lake Name: We	odtick L #	<u>#3</u>			Survey	Date: <u>8/8/2</u>	<u>2002</u>
IDFG Catalog #	#: <u>70813</u>	Primary I	Drainage:	<u>MFk Saln</u>	non River		
Secondary Dra County:	inage: <u>Lem</u>	<u>ıhi</u>			<u>V</u>	Voodtick Cr	<u>eek</u>
Land Area: <u>Slea</u> Elevation (ft):	eping Dee	<u>r</u> USF: <u>8587</u>	S Ranger	Dist:		Middle For	<u>'k</u>
Section: 7	ownship:		Ran	ge:		Acı	res: <u>10</u>
UTM East: <u>683</u> LAKE USE	<u>3819</u>	UTM N	orth: <u>496</u>	<u>3713</u>			
Campsites: ( Lake: Intern	<u>)</u> Camps <u>nittent</u>	ite Impa	ct Rating:		none	Tra	ail Around
Trampled: Access Poor (r	ni):	<u>No</u> 0	Aco	cess Good	(mi):		<u>4</u>
Access X-Cour	ntry (mi):	<u>0</u> Tra	ilhead Loo	: <u>Sleeping l</u>	Deer		
<u>AMPHIBIAN S</u>	URVEY D	ATA	Adults	<u>#</u>	We	Juveniles	<u>#</u>
Search Time (r	nrs.min) : <u>(</u>	Sp <u>).42</u> Pa Tai We Lor	otted Frog cific Chorus Fro iled Frog estern Toad ng Toed Salama	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Spo Pao Tail We Lor	otted Frog cific Chorus Frog led Frog stern Toad Ig Toed Salamar	og 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND	FISH PO	PULATIO	<u>ONS</u>				
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs	Fished:	<u>0</u>	# Fish Cau	ght: <u>0</u>	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundanc <u>Visual</u>	e:	<u>1</u>	<u>None</u>		F	ïsh Observ	ed: Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
<u>(Length Frequ</u>	ency)						
LENGTH	<u>RB</u>	<u>CU</u>	<u>GN</u>	<u>BLT</u>	RBT <u>CU</u>	<u>GRL</u>	EBT
0-49mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	Ő
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399inm:	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
comments:							

Table 54.Alpine lake survey of Woodtick Lake #3.

LAKE LOCATIO	<u>N</u>						
Lake Name: <u>Woo</u>	odtick L #3/	<u>A</u>			Survey Da	ate: <u>8/8/200</u>	<u>)2</u>
IDFG Catalog #:	<u>70813A</u> Pr	imary Drair	nage: <u>M</u>	Fk Salmor	<u>n River</u>		
Secondary Drain County:	age: <u>Lemhi</u>				<u>Woc</u>	dtick Creel	<u>k</u>
Land Area: <u>Sleep</u> Elevation (ft):	oing Deer	USFS Ra <u>8604</u>	inger Dist	:	<u>Mi</u>	<u>ddle Fork</u>	
Section: To	wnship:		Range:			Acres:	: <u>5</u>
UTM East: <u>6840</u>	14 <u>9</u> l	JTM North:	4963839	9			
LAKE USE							
Campsites: <u>1</u> Lake: <u>None</u>	Campsite <u>e</u>	e Impact Ra	ating:	lov	<u>v</u>	Trail A	Around
Trampled: Access Poor (mi	<u>1</u> :	<u>No</u> <u>)</u>	Access	Good (m	i):		<u>3</u>
Access X-Countr	ry (mi): <u>0</u>	Trailhea	ad Loc: <u>Sle</u>	eeping De	<u>er</u>		
<u>AMPHIBIAN SU</u>	RVEY DA	Western C Spotted F	<mark>dults</mark> Chorus Frog rog	<u>#</u> 0 0	<u>Ju</u> Western Spotted	Veniles Chorus Frog Frog	<u>#</u> 0 0
Search Time (hrs	s.min) : <u>0.08</u>	83 Pacific Cl Tailed Fro Western Long Toe	norus Frog og Foad d Salamander	0 0 0 0	Pacific C Tailed F Western Long To	Chorus Frog rog Toad ed Salamander	0 0 0 0
FISHERY AND F		JLATIONS					
# Anglers: <u>0</u>	Hrs Fi	shed: <u>0</u>	# Fis	sh Caught	: <u>0</u> I	Fish/Hr:	<u>0</u>
Fish Abundance: <u>Visual</u>	:	None	-	-	Fish	Observed:	Gear:
Hrs Set (gn):	<u>0</u>						
(Length Freque	ncy)						
					RBT		- D T
<u>LENGIH</u> 0-49mm:	<u>RB</u>						
50-99mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100-149mm:	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150-199mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300-349mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
350-399mm:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
>399mm:	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Comments:							
Lake is dry.							

Table 55.Alpine lake survey of Woodtick Lake #3A.

# LITERATURE CITED

Bahls, Peter. 1992. Report of the High Lake Fisheries Project 1991. Clearwater National Forest.

# 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: Idaho

Program: Fisheries Management F-71-R-26

Project I: Surveys and Inventories

Job: <u>7-a<sup>2</sup></u>

Title: Mountain Lake Investigations

- Buster Lake

Subproject I-H: Salmon Region

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

# ABSTRACT

On August 28 and 29, 2002, we gill netted brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* and rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* in Buster Lake in an effort to determine persistence of brook trout since stocking was discontinued in 1998 and evaluate the success of the recent rainbow trout stocking. A total of 91 trout, of which 79 were brook trout and 12 were rainbow trout were captured in 65.6 overnight hours of gill net effort. Mean total length of brook trout and rainbow trout was 248 mm and 149 mm, respectively. Relative weight calculations for captured brook trout averaged 119 g and for the rainbow trout 69 g. Zooplankton tows were done to assess the zooplankton densities in relation to fish yield.

The zooplankton quality index (ZQI) averaged 0.29. Comparing the relative weight and ZQI results suggests that competition for forage may be occurring and the rainbow trout fingerling are quite possibly being outcompeted by the established brook trout population.

Authors:

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

Kimberly Andrews Fishery Technician

#### INTRODUCTION

Buster Lake is located west of Challis, in the Garden Creek Drainage (UTM coordinates 11T 705642mE, 4923974mN, NAD 27). This drainage is part of the domestic water source for the City of Challis and was locally known as a location to catch larger brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*. Buster Lake is situated at an elevation of 2,604 meters and has a surface area of about 4.86 hectares. Historically, the lake was stocked with brook trout and rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Brook trout stocking was discontinued in 1998 as a part of a statewide effort to eliminate brook trout stocking. Rainbow trout, one thousand diploid fry, were last stocked on August 28, 2001.

# OBJECTIVE

- 1. Monitor salmonid communities, persistence of brook trout and the success of the rainbow trout stocking program.
- 2. Determine the status of the zooplankton community and its suitability for forage.

# METHODS

Four variable sized mesh, 38 m by 1.8 m, gillnets were used to sample brook trout from Buster Lake. Using a canoe, we set gill nets perpendicular to the shoreline with the large mesh end of the net towards the middle of the lake. We set the nets the afternoon of August 28 and retrieved the nets the following morning.

Captured brook trout and rainbow trout were measured to the nearest mm (total length (TL) and weighed to the nearest gram. Length and weight data were used to calculate relative weights for each species. Relative weight formulas used in the analysis where obtained from Murphy et al. 1990 (brook trout) and Simpkins et al. 1996 (rainbow trout).

Zooplankton were sampled on August 28, 2002, using methods outlined by Teuscher, 1999. The only variation from the methods outlined by Teuscher is that zooplankton tows were taken at 8.5 m at the inlet and 7.0 m at the outlet instead of the standardized 9.1 m due to depth of lake. Laboratory procedures included analyzing zooplankton abundance and quality using zooplankton ratio method (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) methods developed by the Wyoming Game and Fish Department (Dan Yule, Wyoming Game and Fish, unpublished data) and Teuscher, 1999.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We captured a total of 91 trout during 65.6 overnight gill net hours. Overall catch-perunit-effort (CPUE) was calculated to be 1.39 fish/gill net hour. The CPUE by species was as follows: rainbow trout 0.18 fish/gill net hour and brook trout 1.2 fish/gill net hour. Seventy-nine brook trout were captured representing 87% of the total catch. Twelve were rainbow trout which made up the remaining 13% of the total catch. The TL of the brook trout ranged from 120 to 360 mm with an mean TL of 248 mm. (Figure 1). The TL of the rainbow trout ranged from 103 to 177 mm and had a mean length of 149 mm. Relative weights for brook trout and rainbow trout captured were determined to be 119 and 69 g, respectively. A relative weight of 100 g is considered average. These values suggest a better than average weight to length when





Species Composition of 2002 Gill Net Survey

compared to other North American populations for brook trout (Murphy et al. 1991) and less than average for rainbows (Simpkins et al 1996). These values are consistent with observations made in the field. In our opinion, these brook trout were remarkable in size.

Results from the zooplankton tows were a ZQI of 0.29. These results suggest that competition for food may be occurring (Table 1). We will continue to monitor the zooplankton community over time. We recommend discontinuing stocking rainbow trout in Buster Lake based on the large brook trout population and ZQI results. The more recently stocked rainbow trout with poor relative weight and reduced ZQI indicates the rainbow trout may not be able to compete with the established brook trout population. We intend to monitor the population of brook trout to determine if brook trout remain self-sustaining and ZQI improves. Should the brook trout population decline, we would consider an appropriate triploid or native cutthroat introduction.

Table 1. Zooplankton ratio (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher, 1999.

ZQI > 0.60	Competition for food unlikely stock fingerlings from 150 to 300 per
	acre
0.60 > ZQI >	Competition for food may be occurring stock fingerlings from 75 to
0.10	150 per acre
ZQI < 0.10	Forage resources are limiting stock less than 75 fingerlings per acre
	or catchables
#### LITERATURE CITED

- Teuscher, M. D. 1999. Hatchery trout evaluations. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-73-R-21, Annual Progress Report, Boise.
- Simpkins, Darin G. and Hubert, Wayne A. 1996 Proposed Revision of the Standard-Weight Equation for Rainbow Trout. Journal of Freshwater Ecology Vol. 11, Number 3.
- Murphy, Brian R., Michael L. Brown, and Timothy A. Springer. 1990 Evaluation of the relative weight (Wr) index. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 10:85-97.

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: Idaho	Program: Fisheries Management F-71-R-26
Project I: Surveys and Inventories	Subproject I-H: Salmon Region
Job: <u>7-a<sup>3</sup></u>	Title: Lowland Lake Investigations - Carlson Lake

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

#### ABSTRACT

Recent lake surveys and angler accounts of Carlson Lake have indicated a population of stunted brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*. Since 1997, population control measures including gill net removal, explosives and salmonid predators have been employed to manipulate size structure however, no measurable responses were noted. In 2002, forty-one tiger muskellunge *Esox lucius x E. masquinongy* were introduced in an effort to shift the size structure of the brook trout population to fewer, larger fish. Prior to the tiger muskellunge introduction, an estimate of the brook trout population size 9,900 (95%CI, lower 9,829 and upper 10,007) and relative weight data were collected for future comparisons to determine the success of the project.

Authors:

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

#### INTRODUCTION

Carlson Lake is a two hectare, sub-alpine lake located in the Pahsimeroi River drainage located at (UTM Coordinates12T 280334mE, 4906829mN, NAD 27), and is situated at about 2,438 m elevation. An intermittent outlet from the lake drains into Double Springs Creek, a tributary of the Pahsimeroi River. The outlet is only active during summer months in high water years (Liter and Lukens 1994). Historically, Idaho Department of Fish and Game (Department) stocked brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* and a one-time stocking of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* into the lake. Brook trout are the only fish species currently found in Carlson Lake.

During the 1960s and 1970s, Carlson Lake produced 0.9 to 1.4 kg brook trout, but by 1975 there was public concern over the decline in the numbers of these large fish (Kent Ball, intradepartmental memos 1975). Notes from a 1992 lake survey indicated that the littoral zone was heavily grazed, aquatic macrophyte growth was prolific, and the brook trout sampled were in poor condition with disproportionately large heads (Liter and Lukens 1994).

In 1993, the Department stocked 702 predatory Kamloops rainbow trout (Gerrard strain) in an effort to reduce the numbers of stunted brook trout and restore larger fish to the lake. However, this introduction evidently failed since the size structure of the Carlson Lake brook trout population has remained unchanged.

In 1997, 1999, 2000 and 2001 a total of 3,361 brook trout were gillnetted and removed from the lake in another effort to manipulate the size structure. In 1998, 818 brook trout were removed in a similar gillnetting effort done in conjunction with explosives in littoral areas to target younger year classes which were not effectively removed using gillnets (Curet et al. 2001). None of these efforts effected a change in size structure in the brook trout population.

The Department's Clearwater Region has had success in reducing brook trout populations in alpine lakes with tiger muskellunge *Esox lucius x E. masquinongy* introductions into lakes with brook trout populations (Murphy et al. 2001). Prior to the tiger muskellunge introduction a seven-step process as per guidelines established by the American Fisheries Society (AFS) was conducted (Kohler et al. 1984).

#### OBJECTIVE

Increase the average size of brook trout in Carlson Lake by reducing their numbers to improve the quality of the fishery.

#### METHODS

June 6, 2002 and June 13, 2002, brook trout were angled, adipose fin clipped and returned to the lake. On the evening of June 13, 2002, 11 experimental gill nets were set and removed the next morning. The nets were fished a total of 147.8 overnight hours. Captured brook trout were measured to the nearest mm (total length) and weighed to the nearest g. Length and weight data were used to calculate relative weights for each species. Relative weight formulas used in the analysis were obtained from Murphy et al. 1991. The data collected from these efforts were also used to calculate a population estimate using the adjusted Petersen method as follows (Ricker W.E. 1975):

$$N = \frac{(M + 1)(C + 1)}{R + 1}$$

Where,

N = the population estimate M = the number of fish marked C = the number of fish caught R = the number of marked fish recaptured.

On June 24, 2002, forty-one tiger muskellunge from the Hagerman Hatchery were stocked in the lake as an experimental project. The fish averaged 300 mm at the time of stocking. Stocking was conducted by Department personnel who transported the fish to the lake via quad runners.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Between June 6, 2002 and June 13, 2002, 1,451 brook trout were angled, adipose fin clipped and returned to the lake. Five hundred and forty-six fish were caught during gill net sampling. Eighty-seven of those fish were recaptures.

Relative weight calculations of sampled fish yielded a mean value of 89.58 g. A value of 100 g is average for North American populations. This confirms historic field observations of smaller than average size brook trout. It should be noted that these calculations were based on ninety fish.

Population estimates calculated the brook trout population of fish over three inches to be 9,900. Using 95% confidence interval we calculated a lower limit of 9,829 and an upper limit of 10,007 fish. Fish under 76 mm were not considered in this calculation due to the bias of the sampling gear. Total lengths of brook trout sampled in 2002, ranged from 102 to 266 mm (Figure 1). Mean total length of brook trout sampled was 192 mm (Table 1).

Originally 80 tiger muskellunge, 40 per hectare, were to be released. Due to a furunculosis outbreak, a portion of the stock was lost, limiting the number of fish available. It is expected, given reports from Clearwater efforts, the tiger muskellunge predation will reduce the population resulting in an increase in average length (Murphy et al. 2001). There have been reports of Tiger muskellunge survival in Carlson Lake since post planting in June.



Figure 1. Length frequency histograms for brook trout in Carlson Lake during specified years, 1981-2002. In 1981 a mean length is not available as brook trout were measured by 10 mm length classes and no individual lengths were taken.





Figure 1. Continued.



	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2002
Date	6/13	5/27-28	5/22-23	5/27-29	10/8-9	6/13-14
Numbers Removed	N/A	999	818*	1,151	665	546
Size Range (mm)	164-310	118-240	120-292	112-300	108-270	102-266
Mean Total Length (mm)	217	192	196	198	191	191.8
Total Gill Net Hours	N/A	466.4	483.3	386.1	270.9	147.8
Fish / net hour	N/A	2.1	1.7	3.0	2.5	3.69

Table 1.Comparison of eastern brook trout lengths and gill netting efforts in Carlson Lake,<br/>Idaho 1992-2002.

\*An additional 460 brook trout were removed with explosives

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Fisheries staff will sample Carlson Lake next field season to track changes in the size structure of the brook trout population. At this point, we will not publicize this study to deter anglers from targeting tiger muskellunge. Once we feel the tiger muskellunge have been successful in controlling the brook trout population the findings of the project will be released to local media. Also, should this method prove favorable for controlling brook trout populations, public comment will be sought for the use of tiger muskellunge in other bodies of water in the Region.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Cochnauer, Tim A seven step process relative to introduction of Tiger Musky into mountain lakes for Brook trout control. IDFG Clearwater regional report
- Curet, Tom, Bob Esselman, and Kimberly Andrews. 2001. Regional fishery management investigations. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-71-R-25, Job Performance Report, Boise.
- Kohler, Christopher C., and Walter R. Courtenay, Jr. 1984. Introductions of Aquatic Species. Fisheries 9; 46-49.
- Liter, M. and J.R. Lukens. 1994. Federal Aid in Fish and Wildlife Restoration. Regional Fisheries Management Investigations, Salmon Region, Mountain Lakes Investigations, Job Performance Report, Project F-71-R17, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise.
- Murphy, Brian R., David W. Willis, and Timothy A. Springer. 1991 The relative weight index in fisheries management: Status and needs. Fisheries 16:30-38
- Murphy, Patrick D., Cochnauer Timothy G., Schriever, Edward. 2001. Utilization of Tiger Muskellunge for Suppressing Self-Sustaining Populations of Introduced Brook Trout. Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
- Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bull. Fish. Res. BoardCan. 191: p 77-78.

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: IdahoProgram: Fisheries Management F-71-R-26Project I: Surveys and InventoriesSubproject I-H: Salmon RegionJob: 7-b1Title: Lowland Lake Investigations<br/>- Herd Lake

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

#### ABSTRACT

On June 6 and 7, 2002, the fish community in Herd Lake was surveyed via gillnets. The species composition was entirely rainbow trout *Oncorhyncus mykiss*, which made up 100% of the catch. The nets were fished a total of 51.16 overnight hours and had a capture rate of 1.58 fish/hr/net.

On August 27, 2002, Herd Lake was sampled to assess zooplankton resources available for fish forage. The averaged values for zooplankton ratio (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) were 0.04 and 0.01, respectively. These values indicate that zooplankton forage resources are limited in the lake.

Authors:

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

#### INTRODUCTION

Herd Lake, a landslide lake, is located in Custer County at 2,187m elevation (UTM coordinates 11T 726324mE, 4885654mN, NAD 27). The surface area is 6.9 hectares. It is a coldwater rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* fishery under general management regulations. The principle inlet flow is provided by Herd Creek, which is a tributary to the East Fork Salmon River.

#### OBJECTIVES

- 1. Monitor the lake's zooplankton population to gain understanding of forage availability and competition for food.
- 2. Monitor the fishery's species composition and size structure.

#### METHODS

Four experimental gill nets, two floating and two sinking, were deployed the evening of June 6, 2002 and removed the next morning. The gill nets were set perpendicular to the shore. We set the sinking and floating gills nets for approximately 12 to 13 hours. Fish captured were identified and measured to nearest mm in total length.

Zooplankton was sampled on August 19, 2002 using methods outlined by Teuscher, 1999. The only variation from the methods outlined by Teuscher is that all zooplankton tows were taken at 8.0 m instead of the standardized 9.1 m due to depth of lake. In the laboratory procedures, zooplankton abundance and quality was analyzed using ZPR and ZQI methods developed by the Wyoming Game and Fish (Dan Yule, Wyoming Game and Fish, unpublished data) and Teuscher, 1999.

Zooplankton were collected using three nets fitted with small (150), medium (500) and large (750) mesh. One tow of each sized mesh was made at two locations; the outlet and midlake. The zooplankton samples were preserved in denatured ethyl alcohol for at least two days. This allows for phytoplankton to breakdown. The biomass of the samples were weighed. These values were used for generating zooplankton ratio (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) Table 1.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We captured 81 fish, during a total of 51.2 gill net hours. All captured fish were rainbow trout. Total lengths of the sampled rainbow trout ranged in size from 97 to 350 mm with an average of 199.8 mm (Figure 1). The mean capture per unit effort (CPUE) for the four-gill nets set was 1.58 fish/hour/net. This represents an increase over the catch rates of 0.94 and 0.92 in 1996 and 2001, respectively (Figure 5).

A comparison of CPUE (fish/hour) for years 1994 to 2002 indicate relatively high catches in 1994 which is prior to known fish kill during the winter of 1994/1995 (Liter et al. 1997). The drop in CPUE in 1996 may be explained by the 1994/1995 fish kill (Figure 5). The lack of

Table 1.Zooplankton ratio (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher,<br/>1999.

ZQI > 0.60	Competition for food unlikely stock fingerlings from 150 to 300 per
	acre
0.60 > ZQI >	Competition for food may be occurring stock fingerlings from 75 to
0.10	150 per acre
ZQI < 0.10	Forage resources are limiting stock less than 75 fingerlings per acre
	or catchables



Figure 1. Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 2002



Figure 2. Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 2001



Figure 3. Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 1996



Figure 4. Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Herd Lake, Idaho 1994



Figure 5. Gill Net CPUE (fish/hour) 1994 - 2002

positive response in 2001 could be due to another fish kill or impacts to the spawning population as a result of the 1994/1995 fish kill (Figure 5). A relatively high number of small fish in the 2001 graph (Figure 2) may support the latter hypothesis. The improved CPUE in 2002 along with a more complete size structure may be a result of more favorable lake conditions (Figure 5).

Results of the zooplankton tows provided ZPR and ZQI values of 0.06 and 0.01 at midlake and 0.02 and 0.01 at the inlet respectively. The inlet was too shallow to get an appropriate sample. These values suggest that forage resources are limited and the fish population is cropping off almost entirely the preferred zooplankton size.

Fish size in Herd Lake, similar to Jimmy Smith Lake, is believed to be density dependent and available forage limited. ZQI results given above coupled with fish length frequency data leads us to consider an effort to increase fish size. However, the lake is meeting the direction of the management plan. The direction is to maintain a fishery with natural production. The Regional office receives periodic reports of fish kills that may occasionally control population levels. We need to consider this intermittent control. Further evaluation of this fish population and water quality is justified to determine what factors may be limiting fish growth in the lake.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Continue to monitor the zooplankton population.
- 2. Explore opportunities for population control in Herd Lake to improve the average size of fish. We will evaluate the weir blocking the inlet creek at about 1/3 mile upstream of lake to limit spawning.
- 3. Sample Herd Lake to assess the relative weight /size structure of resident rainbow trout with gill net sampling.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Liter, M., Larkin,M., and Curet., T. 1997. Federal Aid in Fish and Wildlife Restoration. Regional Fisheries Management Investigations, Salmon Region, Mountain Lakes Investigations, Job Performance Report, Project F-71-R-21, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise
- Teuscher, M. D. 1999. Hatchery trout evaluations. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-73-R-21, Annual Progress Report, Boise.

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: Idaho	Program: Fisheries Management F-71-R-26
Project I: Surveys and Inventories	Subproject I-H: Salmon Region
Job: <u>7-b<sup>2</sup></u>	Title: Lowland Lake Investigations - Jimmy Smith Lake

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

# ABSTRACT

On August 27, 2002, the zooplankton community in Jimmy Smith Lake was sampled to determine zooplankton ratios (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) at the outlet and midlake. Zooplankton samples were collected, preserved and processed using methods outlined by Teuscher. Due to the lack of large zooplankton, results for ZPR and ZQI were 0 and 0, respectively. This data suggests that zooplankton resources in Jimmy Smith Lake are limited as a forage resource for trout production.

Authors:

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

#### INTRODUCTION

Jimmy Smith Lake is a landslide lake, located in north-central Custer County at 1,948 m elevation with a surface area of 26 hectares (UTM coordinates 11T 707474mE, 4894112mN NAD 27). The lake has one outlet and two inlet streams. The outlet stream is located at the north end of the Lake. The two inlet streams are located at the west and south ends of the lake. These tributaries provide adequate spawning area.

Jimmy Smith Lake is eutrophic body of water dominated by an abundance of aquatic macrophytes. It supports a naturally reproducing population of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. The lake was stocked with 184,600 rainbow trout from the Mackay Hatchery between 1927 and 1938 and has not been stocked since.

During the week of July 30, 2000 approximately 1,000 fish died as a result of high water temperature and low dissolved oxygen. These conditions were aggravated by extremely hot weather, little precipitation, and the high demand placed on the system due to the decay of the aquatic plants in the lake (Brimmer 2000). This is the first documented fish kill found in the Region's records for Jimmy Smith Lake.

Historical data from 1966 illustrates little change over 30 years in Jimmy Smith Lake rainbow trout distribution (Liter et al. 1997). Gill net efforts in 2001 produced 6.85 fish/hr, with a mean length of 203 mm (n=113) (Curet et al. 2001). Gillnet efforts in 1996 produced 10.1 fish/hr, with a mean length of 213 mm (n=157). Data from 1966 reported rainbow trout mean length at 217 mm (n=99).

#### OBJECTIVES

- 1. Monitor the lake's zooplankton community to determine the zooplankton ratios (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) for the lake.
- 2. Review and analyze historical files on Jimmy Smith Lake to better determine future management options.

#### METHODS

Zooplankton were sampled on August 19, 2002 using methods outlined by Teuscher, 1999. In the laboratory procedures, zooplankton abundance and quality were analyzed using ZPR and ZQI methods developed by the Wyoming Game and Fish (Dan Yule, Wyoming Game and Fish, unpublished data) and Teuscher, 1999

Zooplankton were collected using three nets fitted with small (150), medium (500) and large (750) mesh. Samples were preserved in denatured alcohol for more than two days to breakdown the phytoplankton. Biomass of the samples were then recorded. Calculations of weights generated ZPR and ZQI data.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results from the zooplankton ZPR and ZQI indices were 0 and 0, respectively. At both sites sampled (outlet and mid-lake), we did not capture any large zooplankton so the values from the 750 mesh net were zeros. Therefore, the calculations made to generate the ZPR and ZQI values were zero. These estimates suggest that forage resources are limited (Table 1). We feel that the fish population is cropping off the larger sized zooplankton, susceptible to trout predation.

After reviewing historical records it has been determined that mean length of fish has changed little over the last 36 years. There has been a slight decrease in the mean length of the fish. In 2001, mean length of the fish sampled was 203 mm (n=113) compared to a 1966 data report where rainbow trout mean length was 217 mm (n=99). Jimmy Smith Lake is meeting the direction of the management plan to provide a fishery supported by natural production.

We suggest methods to potentially reduce the fish population. Potential management methods would include: installing migration barrier to limit inlet spawning; promoting increased angler use; gill netting yearling-sized fish in littoral strongholds; and/or tiger muskellunge *Esox lucius x E. masquinongy* introduction. The Department is currently experimenting with tiger muskellunge introductions in several mountain lakes in the Clearwater Region. Preliminary results suggest these introductions have been successful in significantly reducing brook trout numbers (T. Cochnauer, personal communication).

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Sample Jimmy Smith Lake to monitor the size structure of resident rainbow trout with gill net sampling.
- 2. Monitor zooplankton community and determine zooplankton species present.
- 3. Explore opportunities for population control in the lake to improve the average size of fish.

Table 1. Zooplankton ratio (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher, 1999.

ZQI > 0.60	Competition for food unlikely stock fingerlings from 150 to 300 per
	acre
0.60 > ZQI >	Competition for food may be occurring stock fingerlings from 75 to
0.10	150 per acre
ZQI < 0.10	Forage resources are limiting stock less than 75 fingerlings per acre
	or catchables

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Brimmer 2000. Jimmy Smith Lake Fish-Kill Investigation. Intradepartmental memo, Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
- Curet, T., Esselman, R., and Andrews, K. 2001. Federal Aid in Fish and Wildlife Restoration. Regional Fisheries Management Investigations, Salmon Region, Mountain Lakes Investigations, Job Performance Report, Project F-71-R-26, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise
- Liter, M., Larkin, M., and Curet, T. 1997. Federal Aid in Fish and Wildlife Restoration. Regional Fisheries Management Investigations, Salmon Region, Mountain Lakes Investigations, Job Performance Report, Project F-71-R-21, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise
- Teuscher, M. D. 1999. Hatchery trout evaluations. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-73-R-21, Annual Progress Report, Boise.

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: Idaho

Project I: <u>Surveys and Inventories</u>

Job: <u>7-b<sup>3</sup></u>

Subproject I-H: <u>Salmon Region</u> Title: <u>Lowland Lake Investigations</u> <u>- Mosquito Flat</u>

Program: Fisheries Management F-71-R-26

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

#### ABSTRACT

On June 5 and 6, 2002, we sampled Mosquito Flat Reservoir with gill nets. A total of 52 trout were captured in 49.5 overnight hours of gill net effort. The catch was comprised of 43 rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, 6 brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*, two bull trout *S. confluentus* and one rainbow/cutthroat *O. mykiss x O. clarki* hybrid. A relative weight of 100.6 g was determined for the rainbow trout sampled. A range of relative weights from 14.6 to 110.6 g were calculated for the brook trout sampled.

The zooplankton community in Mosquito Flats Reservoir was sampled on August 28, 2002 to assess zooplankton resources available for fish forage. The zooplankton quality index (ZQI) values for the reservoir at the dam and at mid-reservoir were 0.51 and 0.13, respectively. These values suggest that competition for food resources may be occurring.

During the summer of 2002, work was completed on the Mosquito Flat Reservoir dam to bring the structure into compliance with Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) dam safety requirements. The result of the construction fortified the dam and made it possible to raise the reservoir level to 100.5 feet. Monuments were placed and positions recorded on the face of the dam for monitoring movement. The work area was reseeded with native grasses and forbs.

Authors:

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

#### INTRODUCTION

Mosquito Flat Reservoir is located on Challis Creek 16.1 kilometers west of Challis, (UTM coordinates 11T 703768mE, 4932646mN, NAD 27) at an elevation of 2,112 m. The reservoir was built in 1954 and stores 793 acre-feet of irrigation water. The Idaho Department of Fish and Game (Department) has water rights on 28% of the storage, reserved as a minimum pool. This represents a 222 acre-foot pool with a surface area of approximately 8.5 hectares. Mosquito Flat Reservoir is a popular fishery with local anglers.

#### OBJECTIVES

- 1. Determine the status of the zooplankton community and suitability for forage.
- 2. Improve dam to comply with IDWR requirements.
- 3. Monitor fish species composition and size structure

#### METHODS

Four experimental gill nets, two floating and two sinking, were deployed on the evening of June 5, 2002 and removed the next morning. Gill nets were set perpendicular to the shore. Captured fish were measured to the nearest mm in total length (TL) and weighed to the nearest gram.

Brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* and rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* sampled from the lake were used to assess the population. Length and weight data was used to calculate relative weights for each species. Relative weight formulas for brook and rainbow trout were obtained from Murphy (Murphy et al. 1990) and brook trout from Simpkins (Simpkins et al. 1996).

Zooplankton were sampled at the dam and mid-lake using methods outlined by Teuscher, 1999. The only variation from the methods outlined by Teuscher is that all zooplankton tows were taken at 8.5 m instead of the standardized 9.1 m due to depth of lake. In the laboratory, zooplankton abundance and quality was analyzed using zooplankton ration method (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) methods developed by the Wyoming Game and Fish (Dan Yule, Wyoming Game and Fish, unpublished data) and Teuscher, 1999. An average was calculated using the ZPR and ZQI results from the two sampling sites.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We captured a total of 52 trout during 49.5 overnight gill net hours. Overall catch-perunit-effort (CPUE) was calculated to be 1.05 fish/gill net hour. The CPUE by species was: 0.87 for rainbow trout, 0.12 for brook trout, 0.03 for bull trout *S. confluentus* and 0.02 for rainbow/cutthroat *O. mykiss x O. clarki* hybrid. Total length (TL) of rainbow trout ranged from 270 to 315 mm with a mean TL of 291 mm (Figure 1). The TL of the brook trout ranged from 215 to 250 mm with a mean length of 228 mm. Relative weights for the rainbow trout captured were determined to be 100.6 g. Relative weights for brook trout ranged from 14.6 to 110.6 g. We feel a problem with the scale used to weigh the fish led to these erratic values. A relative weight of 100 g is considered average weight to length when compared to other North American



Figure 1. Length frequency of rainbow trout collected from Mosquito Flat Reservoir, Idaho 2002.

populations. Formulas to calculate relative weights for rainbow trout and brook trout Simpkins et al. 1996 and Murphy et al., respectively.

The zooplankton ZPR indices for the dam and mid-lake sites were 0.45 and 0.21, respectively. The zooplankton ZQI for the dam and mid-lake sites were 0.51 and 0.31, respectively. These values suggest that competition for food resources may be occurring (Table 1). In 2001 and 2002, fingerling releases were curtailed to determine if zooplankton numbers or size structure would increase accordingly. Given the ZQI values in 2000, 2001 and 2002 the reduction in fingerling stocking may have caused the increase in the ZQI values (Figure 2). A concurrent collection of weights and lengths of sampled fish would have helped verify this conclusion. However, weight information was not collected in years prior to 2002. In 2003, length and weight information will be collected again for future comparisons and to track trends in relative weights. Future management will look at relative weight trends and zooplankton monitoring over time to consider further stocking reductions.

The Department was involved with improving the dam and water control structures at the Reservoir. In 2002, measures implemented included: the crest of the dam was raised and leveled to a height of 100.5 feet in order to sustain ½ probable maximum flood (PMF), placement of stability pins to monitor dam movement, and reseeding of disturbed area. This work was accomplished at a cost of \$17,600. The Mosquito Flat Water Users, Inc., Challis/Salmon National Forest, Idaho Department of Water Resources, Challis Creek Cattle Company, and the Department cooperated to accomplish improvements.

Table 1. Zooplankton ratio (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher, 1999.

ZQI > 0.60	Competition for food unlikely stock fingerlings from 150 to 300 per
	acre
0.60 > ZQI >	Competition for food may be occurring stock fingerlings from 75 to
0.10	150 per acre
ZQI < 0.10	Forage resources are limiting stock less than 75 fingerlings per acre
	or catchables

# Mosquito Flats Reservoir Zooplankton (ZPR) and (ZQI) Results 2000-2002



Figure 2. Zooplankton ZPR and ZQI Indices from Mosquito Flats Reservoir, Idaho, during 2000, 2001, and 2002.



# Species Compostion of Mosquito Flat Reservoir



# RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Reduce stocking rates in Mosquito Flats Reservoir to determine if adjustments in stocking will improve fish relative weights and ZPR and ZQI values.
- 2. Continue to monitor trends in the trout population in Mosquito Flat reservoir with a consideration for determining the possible causes of decline of brook trout within the drainage.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Teuscher, M. D. 1999. Hatchery trout evaluations. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-73-R-21, Annual Progress Report, Boise.
- Simpkins, Darin G. and Hubert, Wayne A. 1996 Proposed Revision of the Standard-Weight Equation for Rainbow Trout. Journal of Freshwater Ecology Vol. 11, Number 3
- Murphy, Brian R., Michael L. Brown, and Timothy A. Springer. 1990 Evaluation of the relative weight (Wr) index. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 10:85-97

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State Of: Idaho	Program: Fisheries Management F-71-R-26
Project I: Surveys and Inventories	Subproject I-H: Salmon Region
Job: <u>7-b<sup>4</sup></u>	Title: Lowland Lake Investigations - Williams Lake

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

#### ABSTRACT

The Williams Lake zooplankton community was sampled August 19, 2002 to determine the lake's standing crop levels available for fish utilization. Zooplankton ZPR values averaged 0.7 and zooplankton ZQI values averaged 0.66. The values generated suggest that competition for forage by fish is unlikely. In March 2002, dissolved oxygen and temperature were sampled as part of an on-going citizen's water-monitoring project. We found acceptable oxygen levels (5.0 ppm) to a depth of 2-3 m and the lake to be unstratified by temperature during sampling efforts in March 2002. Flow measurements were taken at the inlet and outlet between May and September 2002. Inlet flow measurements ranged between 1.45 to 2.41 cubic feet per second (cfs). The inlet water temperature ranged from -0.1°C to 14.5°C. Outlet flow measurements ranged between 0.77 to 3.64 cfs. The outlet water temperature ranged from 10.3°C to 12.3°C. Lake level gauge readings were measured from April to September 2002 and ranged from 8.7 feet to 10.69 feet. As of January 2003, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has determined a no change status in the lakes trophic levels from 1992 to 2002. With the assistance of volunteers, Department staff collected and spawned 20 pairs of adult rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Resultant progeny, estimated to number 40,000, were released into the inlet

Authors: Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

# INTRODUCTION

Williams Lake, an early eutrophic lake, is located in north central Lemhi County (UTM coordinates 12T 265427mE, 4989077mN NAD 27) at 1,600 m elevation. The lake has a surface area of 73 ha, maximum depth of 58 m, and mean depth of 23 m. The principle in-flow is provided by Lake Creek, with some inflow originating from springs and intermittent streams. Rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* and bull trout *Salvelinus confluentus* are the only fish species recorded from the lake.

Winter dissolved oxygen concentrations fall below 5.0 ppm within 2 to 4 m of the surface. In summer and winter, dissolved oxygen concentrations of 1.0 ppm occur as shallow as 8 m. These low dissolved oxygen levels are limiting the available fish habitat and therefore, are limiting the fish production potential of the lake. Furthermore, these low oxygen levels have been responsible for past fish kills in the lake. Poor water quality in the lake is caused by nutrient input from phosphorous, eroded sediments from the watershed and the leaching of human waste from private septic systems around the lake. During 2000 and 2001 private landowners renovated their septic systems to reduce this problem.

# OBJECTIVES

- 1. Determine the status of the zooplankton community and its suitability for forage.
- 2. Monitor oxygen and temperatures at specified locations and depths.
- 3. Monitor inlet/outlet flows and lake level gauge readings.
- 4. Engage private interests with a goal of addressing fisheries and water quality issues.
- 5. Spawn rainbow trout in inlet tributary and release progeny to William Lake

#### METHODS

#### **ZPR/ZQI** Measurements

Zooplankton were sampled on August 19<sup>th</sup> of 2002 using methods outlined by Teuscher, 1999. In the laboratory, zooplankton abundance and quality was analyzed using zooplankton ratio method (ZPR) and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) methods developed by the Wyoming Game and Fish (Dan Yule, Wyoming Game and Fish, unpublished data) and Teuscher, 1999.

#### **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measurements**

Dissolved oxygen was monitored on March 6, 2002 with a YSI oxygen meter. Temperature was monitored with a YSI meter which was verified with a hand held thermometer.

#### Inlet/Outlet Flows and Temperature Measurements

Inlet and Outlet flow measurements were taken with a velocity meter in May, July and September of 2002. In order to maintain consistency between sampling efforts a set transect area was established for both sites. Depth, width, and velocity were measured during each sampling session. Width was measured in feet using a carpenter's tape. Depth was measured

in feet using the calibrated rod on the velocity meter. Velocity was measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) using a velocity meter provided by Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). Depth and velocity measurements were taken every 0.5 feet. The raw data was then used to calculate wetted width (ft), average depth (ft), averaged velocity (ft/sec.) and finally, the flow (cfs).

Two hobos were deployed in the inlet and two in the outlet on April 15 and retrieved on October 16, 2002. Only the data collected from April 17 to October 11 was used for analysis. The hobos recorded temperatures approximately every 2.5 hours. The downloaded data was imported into a thermograph macro that charted the daily high, low, average and seven-day average high temperatures. This data was then used in a graph that showed daily high, average and diurnal water temperatures. The diurnal temperatures graphed relate to the difference in daily highs and lows.

A lake level gauge located at (12T 266199mE, 4988741mN) the east end of the lake was used to monitor fluctuations in water levels. Lake level measurements were recorded every two to three days from April 11 to September 21, 2002. Measurements were recorded in feet and  $10^{th}$ /foot.

The IDEQ used their LakeWatch program to calculate Burns and Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Williams Lake from January 1992 to December 2002 (Herron, 2003). The variables used by DEQ to determine TSI values were chlorophyll a, secchi depth, total phosphorous and total nitrogen.

On May 16 and 23, ten female rainbows and eleven female rainbows, respectively, were collected with electrofishing gear and spawned with more than 21 male rainbows. The resultant spawn was incubated at a facility downstream of the lake until the fry were ready for release in the lake.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **ZPR/ZQI** Measurements

Results from the zooplankton ZPR were 0.43 at the inlet, 0.56 at mid-lake and 1.1 at the dam. Results from the zooplankton ZQI were 0.29 at the inlet, 0.98 at mid-lake and 0.71 at the dam. The averaged ZQI (.66) for the entire lake suggest that competition for food is unlikely. Individual readings are presented in Table 1. By comparison, results of 2000 and 2001 averaged ZQI values were 0.67 and 0.92 (Figure 1). 2000 and 2002 averaged ZQI results are almost identical where 2001 results are higher. We will continue to monitor the zooplankton community to see whether a trend in zooplankton growth can be determined.

#### **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measurements**

Dissolved oxygen and temperature recordings taken on March 6, 2002 demonstrated similar profiles to those collected in the last 10 years. These profiles indicate the lake to be stratified for dissolved oxygen. As in the recent past, oxygen levels hold above 5 ppm for upper two meters of the lake and then decline with depth. In the winter, the lake was stratified for oxygen but not for temperature (Tables 2, 3 and 4.).

Table1. Zooplankton ratio (ZPR), and zooplankton quality index (ZQI) from Teuscher, 1999.

ZQI > 0.60	Competition for food unlikely stock fingerlings from 150 to 300 per
	acre
0.60 > ZQI >	Competition for food may be occurring stock fingerlings from 75 to
0.10	150 per acre
ZQI < 0.10	Forage resources are limiting stock less than 75 fingerlings per acre
	or catchables



Williams Lake Zooplankton (ZQI) Results 2000-2002

Figure 1. Zooplankton (ZQI) Results from 2000 and 2002.

	3, 2002.	
Lake depth (m)	Water Temperature (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)
0	1.0	9.8
1		8.0
2	3.0	6.0
3		4.2
4	3.0	3.7
5		3.4
6		3.2
7	3.0	3.0
8		1.9
9		0.5
10	3.0	0.4

Table 2.Dissolved oxygen and water temperature measurements for Williams Lake at<br/>Inlet. March 6, 2002.

Table 3.	Dissolved oxygen and water temperature measurements for Williams Lake at
	Boat Dock, March 6, 2002.

Lake depth (m)	Water Temperature (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)
0	0	8.2
1	1.5	6.1
2	1.5	5.2
3	2.5	4.5
4	3.0	4.0
5	3.0	3.6
6	3.0	3.5
7	3.0	3.2
8	3.0	2.1

Table 4.	Dissolved oxygen and water temperature measurements for Williams Lake at
	Zmax*, March 6, 2002.

Lake depth (m)	Water Temperature (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)
0	3.0	8.2
1		8.0
2	2.9	5.0
3		3.8
4	2.9	3.6
5		3.4
6		3.4
7	2.9	2.8
8		1.0
9		0.4
10		0.2
20	4.0	0.15

\* Zmax is the deepest location in body of water.

#### Inlet/Outlet Flows and Temperature Measurements

The outlet flow consists of a stream formed by spring flow at the base of a landslide deposit nearly 0.4 km away and 120 m (400 ft) below the lake surface (Barnes et al. 1994). The outlet flow ranged from 0.77 to 3.64 cfs (Table 5). The outlet flow measurement taken on May 11, 2002 (0.77 cfs) was repeated on May 12 due to inconsistent flow readings and equipment difficulties. The May 12 outlet flow measurement was 1.34 cfs. The outlet water temperature ranged from 10.3°C to 12.3°C (Table 6 and Figure2). The minimum and maximum outlet temperatures occurred on April 24 and May 19, 2002 (Figure 2). The outlet flow and temperature data remained relatively uniform throughout the study period. This uniformity in measurements can be contributed to how the water percolates through the dam and that the ground moderates the temperature of the water moving through it.

The inlet water temperature ranged from -0.1°C to 14.5°C (Table 6 and Figure 3). The minimum and maximum inlet temperatures occurred on April 20 and July 11, 2002 (Figure 3). The inlet flow ranged from 1.45 to 2.41 cfs (Table 5).

The IDEQ, using their LakeWatch program to calculate Burns and Carlson TSI values, has determined that no change has occurred in the lakes trophic level from 1992 to 2002. These results could indicate a stabilization of the trophic state of Williams Lake. Further collaboration with DEQ in monitoring of the lakes chlorophyll a, secchi depth, total phosphorous, and total nitrogen is suggested to determine whether further degradation of the trophic state occurs.

The long-term goal for Williams Lake is to determine if any strategies can be employed to make improvements in water quality. Options under consideration include hypolimnetic withdrawal, solar powered aerators and monitoring water quality parameters to track potential improvements post septic system upgrades. The Department is currently collaborating with the Idaho Department of Water Resources, Idaho State University and private landowners to develop a long-term strategy to improve the water quality in Williams Lake.

#### Spawning and releasing rainbow trout

Fertilized eggs from 21 females and 21 males were incubated at a facility downstream of the lake. The fry were then released in the lake. A cooperator (Ken John) tended the eggs until "button up". Approximately 40,000 fry were released on July 5, 2002. The cooperator described the project as a success in that fry "were all over the lake". This effort was written up in the Williams lake homeowners newsletter as a positive collaboration effort with the Department to maintain a recreational fishery in the lake.

Table 5.	Williams L	_ake inlet	and outlet	flow mea	asurements.

Flow Station	Sampling Date	Wetted Width (ft)	Average Depth (ft)	Average Velocity (ft/sec)	Flow (cfs)
Inlet	05/06/02	10.8	0.29	0.69	2.16
Inlet	07/21/02	10	0.32	0.75	2.41
Inlet	09/16/02	8.5	0.21	0.81	1.45
Outlet*	05/11/02	5	0.28	0.55	.77
Outlet	07/21/02	9	0.39	1.03	3.64
Outlet	09/16/02	9	0.32	0.8	2.30

\* Flow measurements inaccurate due to inconsistent readings and equipment difficulties. Outlet flow measurements were re-taken on May 12. May 12 flow was 1.34 cfs.

Table 6.	Comparative minimum, maximum, and average water temperatures (° C) recorded at two locations in Williams Lal	ke
	during 2002.	

Temperature Station	Total Days Recorded	Instantaneous Maximum	Instantaneous Minimum	Mean Daily Maximum	Mean Daily Average	Mean Daily Minimum	Maximum Daily Average	Maximum 7-Day Maximum	Maximum 7-Day Average	Minimum 7-Day Minimum
Inlet 1	178	14.4	0.0	10.0	8.5	6.9	12.8	13.9	12.4	1.0
Inlet 2	178	14.5	-0.1	9.9	8.1	6.6	12.5	13.9	12.1	.60
Outlet 1	177	12.3	10.5	11.6	11.3	11.0	11.5	12.0	11.5	10.6
Outlet 2	177	12.0	10.3	11.5	11.1	10.9	11.4	11.8	11.3	10.5



Figure 2. Average, maximum, and daily temperatures recorded for William's Lake outlet from April 18 to October 11, 2002.



Figure 3. Average, maximum, and daily temperatures recorded for William's Lake inlet from April 17 to October 11, 2002.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Continue collaboration with interested parties to develop a long term strategy for improving water quality in Williams Lake.
- 2. Consultation with a limnological specialist to review hypolimnetic withdrawal as a potential management option.
- 3. Monitor oxygen and temperatures at specified locations and depths.
- 4. Continue trapping, spawning and stocking fry as a local involvement program.
## LITERATURE CITED

- Barnes, C., M. Sytsma, H. Gibbons , C. Fromm, 1994. Williams Lake Phase 1 Restoration Study. KCM, Inc. Seattle, Washington. 3-2pp.
- Herron, T. January 2003. Personal Communications. Department of Environmental Quality. Idaho Falls, Idaho.
- Teuscher, M. D. 1999. Hatchery trout evaluations. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-73-R-21, Annual Progress Report, Boise.

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State of: Idaho

Project I: <u>Surveys and Inventories</u>

Job: <u>7-c<sup>1</sup></u>

 Program:
 Fishery Management F-71-R-27

 Subproject I-H:
 Salmon Region

 Title:
 Rivers and Stream Investigations

 Wild Trout Redd Counts and Stream

 Surveys
 Surveys

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

## ABSTRACT

#### Wild Trout Population Surveys

During summer 2002, Idaho Department of Fish Game (Department), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), US Forest Service (USFS) and Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) sampled 76 tributary streams of the upper Salmon River basin to determine fish species composition, relative abundance and size distribution. Rainbow trout *Onchorynchus mykiss* were found in 71% of the tributary streams surveyed and had total lengths ranging from 30 to 300 mm. Westslope cutthroat trout *O. clarkii lewisi* were found in 56% of the tributary streams surveyed and had total lengths ranging from 55 to 330 mm. Bull trout *Salvelinus confluentus* were found in 29% of the streams surveyed and had total lengths ranging from 48 to 332 mm. Hybrid rainbow/cutthroat trout were found in 12% of the tributary streams surveyed with total lengths ranging from 75 to 230 mm. The following salmonids were found in less than 15% of the surveyed streams: Brook trout *S. fontinalis* 9% (total lengths ranging from 37 to 251 mm) and juvenile Chinook salmon *O. tshawytscha* 13%. Non-game fish were found in 58% of the streams sampled.

## **Big Springs Creek Rainbow Trout**

Project personnel conducted rainbow trout spawning ground surveys on Big Springs Creek (tributary to the Lemhi River) and the Upper Lemhi River to monitor trends in numbers of redds which may reflect benefits of fishing rule changes and habitat improvement projects sponsored by the Upper Salmon Basin Watershed Project. We counted a total of 287 redds on April 22, 2003, which is a decrease from previous years (556 in 2002, 283 in 2001 and 306 in 2000). One reach, the Beleyer ranch (Lemhi River) did demonstrate a significant increase.

#### Bear Valley Creek Bull Trout

On September 4, 2002, regional staff surveyed a meadow reach of Bear Valley Creek to determine the number of bull trout redds. Twenty-six bull trout redds and one chinook redd were observed.

# East Fork Hayden Creek Bull Trout

On September 17, 2002, project staff surveyed a meadow reach of the East Fork of Hayden Creek and counted 33 bull trout redds.

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager

#### INTRODUCTION

#### Wild Trout Population Surveys

During the summer of 2002, the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (Department) and the Salmon and Challis Resource Areas of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), US Forest Service (USFS), and the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) cooperatively inventoried fish communities in tributary streams of the upper Salmon River basin. Accurate and current information is needed to effectively manage fish stocks, particularly since several endangered fish species (bull trout *Salvelinus confluentus*, chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, sockeye salmon *O. nerka*, and steelhead trout *O. mykiss*) are known to inhabit the upper Salmon River basin.

#### **Big Springs Creek Rainbow trout**

In 1994 the Department initiated resident rainbow trout redd count surveys on Big Springs Creek (BSC), a tributary to the Upper Lemhi River near Leadore. We established three transect areas in 1997 to monitor long-term resident rainbow trout population trends; two on BSC and one on the Upper Lemhi River. The two sites on BSC include the portion of the creek that flows through the Karl Tyler Ranch and the Darwin Neibaur Ranch. The Upper Lemhi River site includes the section that flows through the Merrill Beyeler Ranch. The redd counts are usually conducted during the last week of April or the first week of May. These efforts are performed to track trends in numbers of redds observed. Theoretically, regulation changes, habitat projects and tributary reconnects should be reflected with increased spawning activity.

#### Bear Valley Creek Bull trout

2002 marked the first year for monitoring bull trout redd counts in Bear Valley Creek. Bear Valley Creek is a tributary of Hayden Creek which is a high quality bull trout rearing and spawning tributary to the Lemhi River. Bear Valley Creek has a relatively low gradient meadow formed by a landslide. This meadow has a reputation for bull trout spawning and is located about 3.2 km upstream from the confluence of Bear Valley Creek with Hayden Creek.

#### East Fork Hayden Creek Bull trout

2002 is the first year for monitoring bull trout redds in the East Fork Hayden Creek. East Fork Hayden Creek is a tributary to Hayden Creek in the Lemhi River drainage. East Fork of Hayden Creek has bull trout spawning in a meadow 5 km upstream from the confluence with Hayden Creek.

#### OBJECTIVE

- 1. Monitor fish populations in streams to determine species, size, and density information.
- 2. Evaluate the effects of harvest restrictions and habitat improvement efforts on resident rainbow trout population responses in the Upper Lemhi River and Big Springs Creek.
- 3. Monitor the number of trout redds in Bear Valley Creek and East Fork Hayden Creek to provide baseline information relative to bull trout recovery.

#### STUDY AREA AND METHODS

#### Wild Trout Population Studies

Between May 9 and September 25, 2002, 76 tributary streams of the upper Salmon River basin were surveyed for fish composition, relative abundance, and size distribution. Stream characteristics (temperature, gradient, altitude, and area sampled) were also recorded (Appendix A). Drainage information and map coordinates are found in Appendix B. Overall findings from Wimpey, Morgan, Challis and Bohannon creeks are presented in this report however more detailed information can be found in Murphy and Yanke 2003<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>.

In the summer of 2002, fish presence and abundance was documented by utilization of backpack electrofishing methodologies. Site locations were selected to encompass a complete sampling coverage of fish communities within a drainage. Site locations in some instances had to be based on adequate access and permission from landowners.

Streams were sampled by electrofishing with use of a Smith Root SR-15 backpack shocking unit. Samplers attempted to catch all sizes of game and non-game fish in transects ranging in size from 80.0 to 722 m in length while moving upstream. A given transect was sampled one or two times or until a 50% reduction in fish numbers was realized. Captured fish were measured (total length TL) to the nearest millimeter, placed in holding pens, and monitored for recovery until all passes were completed. Non-game fish were enumerated only. Once electrofishing was completed, fish were returned to the general area where they were captured.

Density estimates (fish sampled per 100 m<sup>2</sup>) were calculated by use of Microfish population software (Van Deventer & Platts, 1989). When consecutive passes did not achieve the appropriate reduction (50%), no population estimate for that stream was calculated.

#### **Big Springs Creek**

In 1994 the Department initiated informal resident rainbow trout redd count surveys on Big Springs Creek (BSC), a tributary to the upper Lemhi River near Leadore, Idaho. We established three transect areas in 1997 to monitor long-term resident rainbow trout population trends; two on BSC and one on the upper Lemhi River. The two sites on BSC include the portion of the creek that flows through the Karl Tyler Ranch and the Darwin Neibaur Ranch. The upper Lemhi River site includes the section that flows through the Merrill Beyeler Ranch. The redd counts are usually conducted during the last week of April or the first week of May using visual, on the ground, count methods. We conducted resident rainbow trout redd counts on April 22, 2003 (Table 1).

#### Bear Valley Creek Bull Trout

Area reconnaissance indicated bull trout spawning the first week of September. Redd counts were conducted during the first week of September using visual, on the ground, count methods. We conducted fluvial bull trout redd counts on September 4, 2002.

Date	Lemhi River	BSC Neibaur	BSC Tyler	Total
	Beyeler Ranch <sup>a</sup>	Ranch	Ranch <sup>b</sup>	
4/26/94	-	-	-	40 <sup>c</sup>
5/3/95	-	57	-	57
5/3/96	7	32	-	39
4/21-5/3/97	8	44	45	97
5/3/98	18	93	124	235
4/29/99	29	39	71	139
4/20/00	23	160	123	306
4/5/01	2	95	186	283
4/25/02	3	360	193	556
4/22/03	56	128	103	287

Number of resident rainbow trout redds counted in Big Springs Creek (BSC) and Table 1. Lemhi River, 1994 through 2003.

 <sup>a</sup> Habitat improvement project implemented spring 1995.
 <sup>b</sup> Habitat improvement project implemented spring 1998.
 <sup>c</sup> Incidental count taken during a Lemhi Model Watershed Project habitat survey, includes all of Big Spring Creek.

UTM coordinates for the start and end of the redd count transect on Bear Valley Creek are: 12 0282998 Easting, 4961730 Northing and 12 0282169 Easting, 4962479 Northing, respectively. The transect for Bear Valley consists of c-channel habitat. To access the site park vehicle in pull out below first cattle guard. Walk East down to stream where c-channel starts and b-channel ends. There are two large boulders on left side of transect, facing upstream, marking the start of the transect. Transect ends at first beaver dam. The beaver dam has been partially washed out however, a large pool remains.

#### East Fork Hayden Creek Bull Trout

The redd counts are conducted during the third week September using visual, on the ground, count methods. We conducted fluvial bull trout redd counts on September 17, 2002.

UTM coordinates for the start and end of the redd count transect on East Fork of Hayden Creek are: 12 288683 Easting, 4955925 Northing and 12 289197 Easting, 4955050 Northing respectively. The transect for the East Fork consists of c-channel type. Follow East Fork of Hayden Creek road to the bridge which is the start of the transect. Transect ends up stream at large uprooted tree. The end is very difficult to find and photos are needed to determine the correct ending point. In the future the transect could be extended in both directions if needed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Wild Trout Population Studies

Population estimates for all species of salmonids were calculated based on total sample size (n) of all salmonids per tributary stream (Table 2). Salmonids were found in 75 out of 76 streams surveyed (Table 2). Rainbow trout was the predominant species encountered during our investigations and was found in 71% of the tributary streams surveyed. Rainbow trout had total lengths ranging from 30 to 300 mm. Haynes and Moose creeks had the highest densities of rainbow trout (Table 2). Westslope cutthroat trout were found in 56% of the tributary streams surveyed and had total lengths ranging from 55 to 330 mm. The highest densities of westslope cutthroat trout occurred in Wagonhammer and Pierce creeks (Table 2). Bull trout were found in 29% of the streams surveyed and had total lengths ranging from 48 to 332 mm. Twin and Fourth of July creeks had the highest densities of bull trout (Table 2). The following salmonids were found in less than 15% of the surveyed streams: hybrid rainbow/cutthroat trout were found in 12% of the tributary streams surveyed (total lengths ranging from 75 to 230 mm), brook trout were found in 9% of the tributary streams surveyed (total lengths ranging from 37 to 251 mm). Highest densities of brook trout were found in East Fork of Bohannon Creek and Dahlonega Creek. Juvenile Chinook salmon were found in 13% of the tributary streams surveyed. Indian and Moose creeks had the highest densities of juvenile chinook (Table 2). Fish were not found in the surveyed transect of Big Hat Creek.

Non-game species found included: dace (Various species), longnose dace *Rhinichthys cataractae*, sculpin *Cottus sp.*, mottled sculpin *C. bairdi*, mountain whitefish *Prosopium williamsoni* and redside shiner *Richardsonius balteatus* (Table 3). Non-game species were found in 58% of tributaries surveyed. Sculpin species were sampled in 44 of the 76 tributaries. The highest densities of sculpins were found in Kenney Creek and Challis Creek. Other non-game species found in less than 5% of tributaries are: dace species (4%), mountain whitefish (3%), redside shiner (3%), and sucker species (1%).

		Fish		Species Composition						on
		Sampled	Pop. Estimate	Fish/			ope		peen	
Stream	Transect	(n)	(95% CI)	100m2	СТ	RB	BLT	RBXCT	EBT	CHINOOK
Agency	М	10	**	3.3		90	10			
Anderson	М	20	20(20-22)	11.0	100					
Basin	L	12	**	3.0		75				25
Basin	М	14	**	2.8		79				21
Bear Basin	L	2	**	0.9		100				
Beaver	L	10	**	5.0		60			40	
Big Deer	L	5	**	2.0		80				20
Big Eightmile	L	5	**	1.3		40	40	20		
Big Timber	L	7	**	3.5	100					
Big Timber	L	3	**	0.8	100					
Big Timber	Μ	18	**	3.2		94	6			
Bohannon	L	16	**	3.4		88			13	
Bohannon	L	4	**	2.2		100				
Bohannon	L	21	**	5.0		100				
Bohannon	L	45	**	9.7		87			13	
Bohannon	L	10	**	3.1		100				
Bohannon	L	19	**	9.3		12				
Bohannon	L	5	**	1.9		5			7	
Bohannon	L	24	33(24-55)	7.8		23				
Bohannon	Μ	1	**	0.4		1			1	
Bohannon	Μ	7	**	1.7		6				
Bohannon	U	11	**	2.8			11		1	
Bohannon	U	2	**	0.4		50	50			
Bohannon	U	1	**	0.3		100				
Boulder	М	9	9(9-10)	2.7			100			
Carmen	М	131	143(131-156)	18.7		95				5
Challis	L	56	**	9.3		86				14
Challis	L	34	**	5.7		79				21
Challis	М	12	**	2.3		100				
Challis	М	35	**	5.4		97		3		
Challis	М	4	**	1.2		100				
Challis	U	6	**	4.3	17		33		50	
Colson	L	39	41(39-46)	12.2	44	56				
Colson	L	34	37(34-44)	14.0	100					
Colson	L	18	19(18-24)	6.6	100					
Corn	L	48	49(48-52)	12.4		100				
Corral	М	5	**	1.7	20			80		
Dahlonega	L	20	20(20-22)	4.7	20	55			25	
Darling	М	35	**	26.5	94	6				
Deep	L	37	41(37-50)	19.9	100					
Dump	L	54	54(54-55)			31				69

Table 2.Combined salmonids population estimates, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and<br/>species composition for selected streams of the Upper Salmon River Basin in 2003<br/>(L= lower reach, M= middle reach, and U= upper reach).

Table	2.	continued
10010		001101000

		Fish			Species Composition					
		Sampled	Pop. Estimate	Fish/						
Stream	Transect	(n)	(95% CI)	100m2	СТ	RB	BLT	RBXCT	EBT	CHINOOK
Dump	L	13	**			92				8
Dump	L	50	50(50-52)			46				54
Dump	L	19	19(19-20)			11				89
East Basin	L	9	**	4.5		100				
East Fork Bohannon	L	44	66(44-106)	15.4		55	2		43	
East Fork Bohannon	М	5	**	1.5		100				
East Fork Pierce	L	13	13(13-15)	11.2	100					
East Fork Spring	L	14	**	14.9	14	86				
East Fork Spring	L	19	20(19-25)	7.6	100					
Flk	-	5	**	13		60			40	
Fourth of July	M	1	**	0.5				100		
Fourth of July	M	33	35(33-41)	6.6	24		76	100		
Fourth of July	U	22	22(22-23)	4.8	- ·		100			
Freeman	I	63	65(63-70)	11.6	5	95	100			
Hammerean	1	15	15(15-17)	77	100	00				
Hammerean	1	12	13(12-19)	53	100					
Hammerean	M	12	10(12 10) 1(1-6)	2.0	100					
Hat	M		**	2.2	100	100				
Hat	N/	35	**	0.5		100				
Hat	N/	30	160(32-003)	9.5 6.0		100				
	IVI N/I	JZ 1	**	2.0		100				
		4	**	2.0		100				
Haynes		10	**	4.4		100				
Haynes		40 70	04/79 114)	10.0		100				
Haynes		10	94(70-114) **	20.7		100				
Handes		11	**	7.0		02	7			
		15	**	7.5		93	1			
		2		0.7		100	22			
		20 45	20(20-30)	3.3		00 7	22			
Horse	0	15	15(15-16)	4.2		1	93			
Horse	0	7	7(7-9)	3.7		100	100			
Hugnes	L	28	29(28-33)	5.5	4	100				20
Indian	L	118	119(118-122)	19.5	1	60		00		39
Iron Jahanna Qulah		3	40(40,40)	1.0	400	67		33		
Johnson Guich	IVI	16	16(16-19)	14.0	100	400				
Jordan		1	**	2.3		100				
Kenney	IVI	8	**	1.9		100		400		
KINNIKINIC	IVI	5		5.0	400			100		
Little Sheep	L	22	22(22-24)	15.9	100					
McDevitt	L	28	**	9.4		100				
MIII	L 	9	**	2.8		100				
Mill	M	25	**	6.5	12	44				
Moose	L	124	131(124-141)	27.3	3	66	_			31
Moose	L	35	36(35-40)	12.0	66		34			

		Fish		Species Composition Fish/ 100m2 CT RB BLT RBXCT EBT CHINOC							
		Sampled	Pop. Estimate	Fish/							
Stream	Transect	(n)	(95% CI)	100m2	СТ	RB	BLT	RBXCT	EBT	CHINOOK	
Moose	М	34	34(35-38)	13.2	100						
Morgan	L	15	**	1.4		53				47	
Morgan	L	45	**	8.2		87				23	
Morgan	L	1	**	0.2		100					
Morgan	L	13	**	2.0		77				23	
Morgan	М	20	**	6.3		100					
Morgan	М	13	13(13-15)	2.5		100					
Morgan	М	4	**	0.9		100					
Morgan	М	34	37(34-44)	7.4		100					
Morgan	М	3	**	0.6		100					
Morgan	М	16	**	3.2		100					
Morgan	М	7	**	2.0		100					
Morgan	М	8	**	1.1		100					
Morgan	М	36	39(36-46)	7.1	3	97					
Morgan	М	18	**	3.1		100					
Morgan	М	2	**	2.9		100					
Nez Perce	L	12	13(12-19)	5.4	100						
North Fork Salmon	U	25	25(25-26)	7.1	100						
Owl	L	17	17(17-19)	6.6		65	6			29	
Owl	L	12	**	5.0		83				17	
Pierce	М	68	72(68-79)	27.6	40	60					
Pierce	U	54	54(54-55)	27.3	100						
Pine	L	13		13.0		100					
Pine	L	34	36(34-42)	11.7	3	94	3				
Pine	L	28	28(28-30)	7.2	43	57	29				
Pine	М	21	21(21-23)	6.3	71						
Sage	U	13	13(13-14)	12.7	100						
Salzer	L	45	47(45-52)	18.6	100						
Sandy	М	19	**	9.7	100						
Sheep	L	2	**	1.0	50			50			
Sheep	L	11	11(11-12)	2.0	55	27	18				
Slate	L	10	**	5.0		90	10				
Slate	L	9	**	4.5		100					
Smiley	М	2	**	1.0					100		
Smithy	L	8	**	4.1	75	25					
Smithy	М	4	4(4-6)	1.4	100						
South Fork Williams	L	9	**	9.0		11		89			
Spring	L	10	10(10-12)	3.1		100					
Spring	М	11	**	10.0		91				9	
Spring	М	18	18(18-19)	7.1	100						
Squaw	L	7	**	3.0		100					
Squaw	L	24	24(24-26)	9.4	50	46	4				
Squaw	L	30	31(30-35)	9.9	13	53	34				

#### Table 2 continued

		Fish			Species Composition						
		Sampled	Pop. Estimate	Fish/							
Stream	Transect	(n)	(95% CI)	100m2	СТ	RB	BLT	RBXCT	EBT	CHINOOK	
Squaw	М	6	**	2.0		33	17	50			
Squaw	М	6	**	1.5		100					
Squaw	М	28	28(28-30)	8.2	79		21				
Stein	L	2	**	2.2	100						
Stein	М	2	**	2.0	100						
Threemile	L	24	24(24-26)	10.3	21	13	67				
Threemile	L	19	20(19-25)	8.6	100						
Threemile	М	3	**	1.5	100						
Tower	L	24	**	24.0		100					
Twin	L	31	32(31-36)	7.6	10		90				
Van Horn	М	5	**	2.1	60	40					
Van Horn	U	29	**	11.1	76	7	17				
Vine	L	28	28(28-30)	17.7	100						
Vine	М	28	28(28-30)	13.1	100						
Wagonhammer	L	19	19(19-20)	13.6	100						
Wagonhammer	М	40	40(40-41)	31.3	100						
Wagonhammer	М	22	22(22-24)	16.4	100						
Wagonhammer	М	89	90(89-93)	37.4	100						
Wagonhammer	М	57	58(57-61)	35.6	100						
Wagonhammer	U	7	7(7-8)	4.2	100						
West Fork Anderson	L	23	23(23-24)	9.9	100						
West Fork Hughes	L	9	9(9-12)	3.6	56	44					
West Fork Morgan	L	7	**	1.7		100					
West Fork Morgan	L	17	20(17-29)	5.0		100					
West Fork Morgan	L	7	**	1.6		100					
West Fork Morgan	М	18	**	4.1	72	28					
West Fork Nez Perce	L	7	10(10-13)	4.9	100						
West Fork Wimpey	L	25	**	15.0	96	4					
Wheat	L	10	10(10-11)	11.9		100					
Wimpey	L	1	**	0.8	100						
Wimpey	L	14	14(14-16)	3.9		100					
Wimpey	L	42	**	14.9		100					
Wimpey	L	22	**	8.1		100					
Wimpey	L	19	**	7.4		100					
Wimpey	L	18	**	7.4		100					
Wimpey	М	2	**	1.3	50	50					
Wimpey	М	21	**	22.8		100					
Wimpey	М	40	41(40-45)	12.2	2	98					
Wimpey	U	4	**	1.1	25	75					
Woods	L	24	25(24-29)	9.4		79	21				
Yellowjacket	М	12	**	2.7		100					

#### Table 2 continued

		Fish		Species Composition						
		Sampled	Fish/				<u> </u>			
Stream	Transect	(n)	100m2	SCU	DAC	SUC	MTW	MSC	RSS	LND
Agency	М	15	15.0	100						
Agency	М	5	1.7	100						
Anderson	М	38	20.9	100						
Basin	L	9	3.0	100						
Basin	М	7	1.8	100						
Beaver	L	9	4.5	100						
Big Eightmile	L	9	2.3	100						
Big Timber	L	4	2.0	100						
Big Timber	L	13	3.3	100						
Big Timber	М	43	7.8	88	2		9			
Bohannon	L	2	1.1		100					
Bohannon	L	43	10.3					100		
Bohannon	L	47	10.1					100		
Bohannon	L	14	6.9	100						
Bohannon	L	68	22.1	100						
Bohannon	М	11	4.0	100						
Bohannon	М	35	8.6	100						
Bohannon	U	2	0.6	100						
Challis	L	82	16.0	99		1				
Challis	L	105	22.2	3	6	90			1	
Challis	М	76	14.8	100						
Challis	М	195	30.4	100						
Challis	М	35	10.4	100						
Corral	М	9	3.0	100						
Corral	М	1	1.1	100						
Dahlonega	L	11	2.6	100						
East Basin	L	2	1.0	100						
East Fork Bohannon	L	99	34.6	100						
Eighteenmile	L	9	4.5	100						
Elk	L	4	1.0	75			25			
Freeman	L	40	7.4	100						
Hawley	М	8	4.0	100						
Haynes	L	11	4.8	100						
Haynes	L	85	30.6	100						
Haynes	L	119	39.1	100						
Haynes	М	105	72.4	100						
Hoodoo	L	1	0.5	100						
Hoodoo	L	8	2.7	100						
Hughes	L	21	4.1	100						
Iron	L	8	2.7	100						
Jordan	L	2	0.7	100						

Table 3.Combined non-game fish population estimates, and species composition for<br/>selected streams of the Upper Salmon River Basin in 2003 (L= lower reach, M=<br/>middle reach, and U= upper reach).

		Fish		Species Composition						
		Sampled	Fish/							
Stream	Transect	(n)	100m2	SCU	DAC	SUC	MTW	MSC	RSS	LND
Kenney	М	260	62.8	100						
McDevitt	L	1	0.3					100		
Mill	L	16	4.9	100						
Mill	М	60	15.6	100						
Morgan	L	13	2.3	100						
Morgan	L	36	7.6	100						
Morgan	L	13	2.4	15						85
Morgan	L	44	8.8	89					4	7
Morgan	М	19	3.6	100						
Morgan	М	10	2.3	100						
Morgan	М	11	2.4	100						
Morgan	М	24	4.7	100						
Morgan	М	26	5.3	100						
Morgan	М	12	3.5	100						
Morgan	М	54	7.5	100						
Morgan	М	64	12.6	100						
Morgan	М	26	4.5	100						
Morgan	М	1	1.3	100						
Nez Perce	L	20	9.0	100						
North Fork Salmon	U	16	4.5	100						
Owl	L	2	1.0	100						
Pierce	М	50	20.3	100						
Pierce	U	15	7.6	100						
Sheep	L	4	2.0	100						
Sheep	L	20	3.6	100						
Smiley	М	12	6.0	100						
Squaw	М	9	3.0	100						
Squaw	М	5	1.3	100						
Stein	L	1	1.1	100						
Threemile	L	27	11.6	100						
Threemile	L	6	2.7	100						
Threemile	Μ	9	4.4	100						
Tower	L	4	4.0	100						
Twin	L	20	4.9	100						
Van Horn	М	12	5.0	100						
West Fork Anderson	L	13	5.6	100						
West Fork Hughes	L	10	4.0	100						
West Fork Morgan	L	20	5.0	100						
West Fork Morgan	L	38	11.2	100						
West Fork Morgan	Μ	4	0.9	100						
West Fork Nez Perce	L	7	4.9	100						
West Fork Wimpey	L	26	15.6	100						

# Table 3. continued

## Table 3. continued

		Fish				Specie	es Comp	osition		
	:	Sampled	Fish/							
Stream	Transect	(n)	100m2	SCU	DAC	SUC	MTW	MSC	RSS	LND
Wimpey	L	189	52.8	100						
Wimpey	L	55	19.5	98			2			
Wimpey	L	50	18.4	30			58			12
Wimpey	L	129	50.4	100						
Wimpey	L	5	2.1	80			20			
Wimpey	М	169	51.5	100						
Yellowjacket	М	2	0.5	100						

SCU = sculpin, DAC = dace, SUC = suckers, MTW = mountain whitefish, MSC = mottled sculpin, RSS=redside shiner, LND=longnose dace More detailed information on stream sites is located in Appendices A. and B. Appendix A lists stream sites surveyed, dates of sampling, and transect measurements. Appendix B lists streams surveyed, primary drainage, secondary drainage, UTM zone, and UTM coordinates.

### **Big Springs Creek**

We observed a total of 287 redds on Big Springs Creek (BSC) and upper Lemhi River. One hundred and twenty-eight redds were counted on the Neibaur Ranch while 103 were observed on the Tyler Ranch. Fifty-six redds were counted on the Beyeler Ranch (upper Lemhi River) (Figure 1). While the total number of redds observed in 2003 was less than what we observed last year (556; Table 1). the number of redds counted on the Beyeler Ranch (upper Lemhi River) in 2003 (56) was fifty-three more than 2002 (Curet et al. 2001).

The Neibaur Ranch reach had a fencing project of 2.5 km completed during February and March of 2003. Variable numbers of redds on the Neibaur Ranch may be a ramification of lack of stability in part due to habitat degradation. This should improve over time as the effects of the new fencing project are realized. The BSC transect within Tyler Ranch boundaries, with an exclusionary fencing project completed in 1998, contained fewer redds in 2003 than the previous year. We believe the general increase over time and improving stability of the habitat will result in continued upward trends in redd numbers in the future. The upper Lemhi Beyeler Ranch reach is currently under a reasonable riparian pasture management program and the fifty-six redds were a historic high. Reviewing redd counts over time suggests there may be alternate year spawning occurring. Of note, this year the steelhead run was earlier than normal to area hatcheries and we suspect the peak for rainbow trout redds was missed and some amount of redd degradation may have resulted in lower counts for the Neibaur and Tyler reaches. These sites will continue to be monitored and trends evaluated in the rainbow trout population in future years.

Habitat changes will be monitored over the next 10 years to document improvements in the riparian areas. Snorkel data will be reviewed to determine if a change in size structure and species composition has occurred.

#### Bear Valley Creek Bull Trout

On September 4, 2002 Idaho Department of Fish and Game performed bull trout redd counts on Bear Valley Creek. A new transect was established for future redd counts. Photographs, written description, and G.P.S. coordinates were recorded for both start and finish of transects.

Twenty-six bull trout redds were observed. The majority of redds were still in progress having both the female and male present. One chinook redd was observed with a two ocean female still attending the site. The population of bull trout, which uses Bear Valley Creek for spawning, appears to be a fluvial population. The estimated size of the bull trout ranged from 10 inches to 25 inches. Anglers reported catching bull trout fish up to 27 inches long in Bear Valley Creek. These fish may be spending part of their lives in the Main stem Salmon and Lemhi Rivers, then, run up Hayden Creek to the meadow on Bear Valley Creek to spawn.



Upper Lemhi River Resident Rainbow Trout Spawning Ground Surveys 1994-2003

Figure 1. - Upper Lemhi Resident Rainbow Trout Spawning Ground Surveys 1994 – 2003.

## East Fork Hayden Creek Bull Trout

On September 17, 2002 the Department performed bull trout redd counts on the East Fork of Hayden Creek. A new transect was established for future redd counts. Photographs, written description, and GPS coordinates were recorded for both start and finish of transects.

We observed 33 bull trout redds in East Fork Hayden Creek. This population appears to be a resident population with individuals being significantly smaller than the Bear Valley Creek population. Fish observed were estimated to be eight to fourteen inches in length.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Curet, Tom, Bob Esselman, and Kimberly Andrews 2001. Regional Fishery Investigations, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, F-71-R-25 Job Performance Report, Boise.
- Murphy, Patrick and Jeff Yanke, 2003<sup>a</sup>. Draft. Wimpey Creek Fisheries Report, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Anadromous Screen Program, Salmon, Idaho.
- Murphy, Patrick and Jeff Yanke, 2003<sup>b</sup>. Draft. Morgan Creek Fisheries Report, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Anadromous Screen Program, Salmon, Idaho.
- Murphy, Patrick and Jeff Yanke, 2003<sup>c</sup>. Draft. Challis Creek Fisheries Report, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Anadromous Screen Program, Salmon, Idaho.
- Murphy, Patrick and Jeff Yanke, 2003<sup>d</sup>. Draft. Bohannon Creek Fisheries Report, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Anadromous Screen Program, Salmon, Idaho.

APPENDICES

				Motor	Transact	Transact	Transact
			Channel	temperature	length	mean	area
Stream	Transect	Sample date	type	(°C)	(m)	width (m)	(m)
Adams	L	6/21/2002	С	22	47.4	5.7	270
Adams	U	6/21/2002	С	19.5	74	4.8	355
Agency	М	9/18/2002		11.8	100	1	100
Agency	М	9/23/2002			100	3	300
Alder	Alder Creek	7/25/2002	С	16	58.8	6.1	359
Anderson	М	6/4/2002	В	7	100	1.82	182
Basin	L	9/23/2002		12.6	100	3	300
Basin	М	9/23/2002		8.3	100	4	400
Beagle	М	7/17/2002		14.4	134	2.87	385
Bear Basin	L	7/2/2002		11	100	2.2	220
Beaver	L	9/23/2002		10.7	100	2	200
Big Deer	L	8/25/2002		15.9	100	2	200
Big Eightmile	L	9/18/2002		8.9	100	4	400
Big Hat	U	7/13/2002		15	100	2.6	260
Big Springs	L	7/24/2002	С	15	103.5	5.26	544
Big Springs	М	7/24/2002	С	15	61	5.18	316
Big Springs	U	7/24/2002	С	15	50.3	2.65	133
Big Timber	L	9/18/2002		12.8	100	4	400
Big Timber	L	9/25/2002		10.1	100	2	200
Big Timber	М	5/31/2002		9	100	5.54	554
Big Timber	М	9/11/2002	В	7.8	100	3.66	366
Big Timber	М	9/12/2002	В		100	4.27	427
Big Timber	М	9/12/2002	В	8.1	100	4.27	427
Big Timber	М	9/12/2002	В	10.6	100	4.27	427
Big Timber	М	9/12/2002	С	10.6	150	7.62	1143
Big Timber	U	9/11/2002	В	5.8	200	1.83	366
Big Timber Creek Fk	L	9/11/2002		5	50	0.762	38.1
Birdseye	L	8/1/2002	С	12.2	91.8	1.79	164
Blackbird	L	9/25/2002		9.9	100	2	200
Blackeagle	L	7/19/2002		12.2	55.8	2.3	128
Bohannon	L	6/7/2002		10	100	3.22	322
Bohannon	L	6/7/2002		11	110	3.8	418
Bohannon	L	6/10/2002		11	100	1.8	180
Bohannon	L	6/11/2002		6	100	4.66	466
Bohannon	L	6/11/2002		10	100	3.08	308
Bohannon	L	6/12/2002		8	100	4.46	446
Bohannon	L	6/17/2002		13	100	2.7	270
Bohannon	L	7/25/2002		11	100	2.04	204
Bohannon	М	6/13/2002		8	100	4.06	406
Bohannon	М	6/17/2002		10	90	3.04	273.6
Bohannon	U	6/12/2002		7	100	1.2	120
Bohannon	U	6/12/2002		14	100	3.1	310
Bohannon	U	6/17/2002		8	110	6.22	684

# Appendix A. Site characteristics of streams surveyed in the Upper Salmon River Basin during the summer of 2002

Water Transect Tra	ansect Transect
Channel temperature length m	nean area
Stream I ransect Sample date type (°C) (m) widt	<u>lth (m) (m)</u>
Bohannon U 7/25/2002 10 100 3	3.94 394
Boulder M 7/3/2002 9.5 100 3	3.36 336
Buckhorn L 7/23/2002 A 16.7 45.2 1	1.19 54
Cabin L 9/11/2002 B 5 100 1	1.52 152
Cabin M 5/22/2002 B 100 4.	.942 494
Camas U 8/8/2002 B 9.4 215.2 2	2.93 631
Camp L 7/25/2002 A 10 56.4 2	2.55 144
Carmen M 7/31/2002 16 100 6	o.64 664
Challis L 9/13/2002 14 100 4	4.74 474
Challis L 9/13/2002 15 100 5	5.14 514
Challis M 7/15/2002 18 100 3	3.36 336
Challis M 9/12/2002 12 110 5	5.84 642
Challis         M         9/12/2002         16.5         100         5	5.12 512
Challis U 7/15/2002 10 100 1	1.38 138
Clear L 7/3/2002 100 6	698 698
Climb L 9/11/2002 5.6 400 1	1.07 428
Colson L 8/5/2002 9 100 2	2.74 274
Colson L 8/5/2002 13 100 3.1	.1932 319
Colson L 8/12/2002 11 100 2	2.42 242
Colson M 8/5/2002 8 50	0 0
Corn L 7/16/2002 12.5 100 3	3.88 388
Corral M 7/11/2002 21 100 3	3.02 302
Corral M 7/11/2002 23 100 0	0.92 92
Dahlonega L 6/23/2002 B 9.2 100 4	4.24 424
Darling M 7/12/2002 19 100 1	1.32 132
Deep L 7/31/2002 B 9 100 1	1.86 186
Dump L 5/16/2002 7 100 1	1.76 176
Dump L 5/16/2002 7 100 2	2.44 244
Dump L 8/21/2002 12 100 1	1.66 166
Dump L 8/21/2002 12 110 2	2.06 227
East Basin L 9/23/2002 12.1 100	2 200
East Fork Bohannon L 7/24/2002 12 100 2	2.86 286
East Fork Bohannon M 6/13/2002 6 100 3	3.3 330
East Fork Bohannon U 7/25/2002 8 100 3	3.04 304
East Fork Pierce L 7/11/2002 100 1	1.16 116
East Fork Spring L 7/8/2002 12 100 2	2.5 250
East Fork Spring L 7/8/2002 B 12 50 1	1.88 94
Eighteenmile L 9/18/2002 7.6 100	2 200
Elk L 9/23/2002 8.9 100	4 400
Falls M 9/11/2002 6.1 100 3	3.05 305
Flume L 8/2/2002 B 9.4 130.6 1	1.88 246
Fly L 8/8/2002 A 8.9 78.8 2	2.69 212
Fourth of July M 7/25/2002 8 100	5 500
Fourth of July M 9/23/2002 8.4 100	2 200

_ · ·				Water	Transect	Transect	Transect
-	_	<b>.</b>	Channel	temperature	length	mean	area
Stream	Transect	Sample date	type	(°C)	(m)	width (m)	(m)
Fourth of July	U	7/25/2002		7	100	4.56	456
Freeman	L	7/31/2002		12	100	5.42	542
Garden	L	7/2/2002		11.5	100	2.28	228
Hammerean	L	6/25/2002	В	8	100	2.28	228
Hammerean	L	6/25/2002	В	9.9	100	1.96	196
Hammerean	Μ	6/27/2002	В	7	80	2.3	184
	Hannah	7/05/0000	0	45	00 <del>-</del>		005
Hannah Slough	Slough	7/25/2002	C	15	82.7	8.4	695
Hat	M	7/13/2002		19	80	4.8	384
Hat	M	7/16/2002		15	100	4.66	466
Hat	М	7/16/2002		17	90	4.08	367
Hawley	Μ	9/18/2002		12.8	100	2	200
Haynes	L	6/19/2002		12	100	3.04	304
Haynes	L	6/20/2002		8	100	2.28	228
Haynes	L	6/20/2002		13	100	2.78	278
Haynes	Μ	6/20/2002		13	40	3.625	145
Hoodoo	L	9/23/2002		12.2	100	3	300
Hoodoo	L	9/24/2002		13.1	100	2	200
Hoodoo	Μ	7/19/2002	В	12.2	155	5.89	913
Hoodoo	U	7/24/2002	В	6.7	60.5	2.12	128
Horse	Μ	8/6/2002		14	100	7.26	726
Horse	U	8/6/2002	В	7	100	1.9	190
Horse	U	8/7/2002		7	100	3.6	360
Hot Spring	L	7/25/2002	С	21	40.8	6.22	254
Hot Spring	М	7/25/2002	С	18	93.7	5.72	536
Hot Spring	U	7/25/2002	С	15	57.7	5.78	334
Hughes	L	7/17/2002	В	11	100	5.1	510
Indian	L	8/29/2002	В	10	100	3.7	370
Iron	L	9/23/2002		12.2	100	3	300
J Fell	L	8/8/2002	В	6.1	101.5	3.45	350
Johnson	M	6/27/2002	B	13.5	100	1.14	114
Jordan	1	9/24/2002	_	11.3	100	3	300
Kennev	M	6/25/2002		9	90	46	414
Kinnikinic	M	9/24/2002		86	100	1	100
Lake	1	7/25/2002	Δ	12.8	46 5	3 21	149
Lake	1	9/11/2002	B	12.0	100	1.07	107
Lembi	1	7/15/2002	B	16	75.0	16 58	1258
Lombi		7/15/2002	D	16	121.0	27.0	1230
Lemhi		7/15/2002	D	16	60	14.26	4912
	U	8/21/2002			09 110 F	14.20	904
	L 1	0/21/2002		0.3	112.5	2.37	207
Little Sneep		7/30/2002	В	1		1.30	138
	IVI	7/13/2002	0	19	95.7	5./ð	553 400
	IVI	7/13/2002	C	19	61	7.64	466
Little White Goat	L	8/6/2002	В	12.2	52.8	1.49	79

				Water	Transect	Transect	Transect
_			Channel	temperature	length	mean	area
Stream	Transect	Sample date	type	(°C)	<u>(m)</u>	width (m)	<u>(m)</u>
Little Woodtick	L	7/30/2002	A	11.1	53.6	1.29	69
Lost Springs	L	7/29/2002	В	17.8	161.3	1.25	202
Martindale	L	8/20/2002	A	8.9	43.3	2.33	101
Martindale	L	8/20/2002	AB	9.4	92	1.68	155
McDevitt	L	7/30/2002	С	15	130	2.3	299
Meadow	L	7/25/2002	В	15.6	82.5	1.77	146
Melville	L	8/21/2002	В	9.4	85.6	1.91	163
Mill	L	7/14/2002		16	100	3.26	326
Mill	М	9/12/2002		15	100	3.84	384
Moose	L	8/21/2002	В	12	100	4.54	454
Moose	L	8/28/2002	В	8	100	2.92	292
Moose	М	8/28/2002	В	6	100	2.58	258
Morgan	L	7/3/2002		14	90	6.18	556.2
Morgan	L	7/12/2002		16	100	4.76	476
Morgan	L	7/12/2002		17	100	5	500
Morgan	L	7/15/2002		19	100	5.5	550
Morgan	Μ	7/1/2002		13	100	7.22	722
Morgan	Μ	7/1/2002		14	100	5.12	512
Morgan	Μ	7/1/2002		19	100	5.3	530
Morgan	М	7/1/2002		21	100	4.42	442
Morgan	М	7/2/2002		11	100	5.06	506
Morgan	М	7/2/2002		18	100	5.78	578
Morgan	М	7/3/2002		16	100	4.94	494
Morgan	М	7/10/2002		21	100	3.44	344
Morgan	М	7/11/2002		15	100	4.62	462
Morgan	М	7/11/2002		19	50	6.4	320
Morgan	М	7/14/2002		14	100	0.8	80
Morgan	Μ	7/17/2002			100	0.7	70
Nez Perce	L	6/6/2002		6.4	100	2.22	222
North Fork Salmon	U	7/10/2002		12.5	100	3.52	352
Owl	L	7/16/2002	В	16	100	1.82	182
Owl	L	9/25/2002		16.5	100	2	200
Pierce	М	7/11/2002		11	100	2.46	246
Pierce	U	7/11/2002			100	1.98	198
Pine	L	7/9/2002	В	12.7	100	3.88	388
Pine	L	7/9/2002	В	14	100	2.9	290
Pine	L	9/25/2002		10.3	100	1	100
Pine	М	7/9/2002	В	9	100	3.32	332
Pole	М	8/21/2002	В	5.6	40	2.71	108
Prospect	L	9/11/2002		5	200	2.44	488
Rams	L	8/1/2002	В	7.8	134.9	2	270
Rams Creek Trib		8/1/2002		8.3	62	1.34	83
Rocky	L	8/8/2002	В	7.2	100	1.52	152
Sage	U	7/2/2002		12	100	1.02	102

				Water	Transect	Transect	Transect
•			Channel	temperature	length	mean	area
Stream	Transect	Sample date	type	(°C)	(m)	width (m)	(m)
Salzer	L	7/30/2002	В	10.5	100	2.42	242
Sandy	M	6/21/2002	В		38.71	5.0275	195
Sheep	L	8/1/2002	В	9	100	5.5	550
Sheep	L	8/6/2002	A	7.8	54.1	1.58	85
Sheep	L	9/25/2002		11.2	100	2	200
Sheldon	L	8/7/2002	В	5.6	50	1.65	83
Short	L	9/12/2002		11.1	400	2.5	1000
Shovel	L	7/17/2002		14.4	95	2.83	269
Slate	L	7/26/2002	В	9	36.8	4.44	163
Slate	L	7/26/2002	В	10	34.1	3.78	129
Slate	L	9/24/2002		11.2	100	2	200
Slate	L	9/24/2002		12.7	100	2	200
Smiley	Μ	9/23/2002		9	100	2	200
Smithy	L	6/23/2002	В	8.8	100	1.94	194
Smithy	Μ	6/23/2002	В	10.3	100	2.8	280
South Fork Camas	L	8/7/2002	В	8.9	203.5	3.88	790
South Fork Williams	L	9/25/2002		11	100	1	100
Spider	L	8/8/2002	А	8.9	39.5	2.24	88
Spring	L	7/8/2002	В	11.6	100	3.24	324
Spring	М	7/8/2002	В	10.7	100	2.54	254
Spring	М	9/25/2002		14.4	100	1	100
Squaw	L	8/1/2002	В	8	100	3.04	304
Squaw	L	8/1/2002	В	10	100	2.36	236
Squaw	L	8/29/2002	В	7	100	2.54	254
Squaw	М	8/1/2002	В	8	100	3.4	340
Squaw	М	9/24/2002		6.3	100	3	300
Squaw	М	9/24/2002		9.6	100	4	400
Squirrel	L	9/12/2002		5	600	1.83	1098
Stein	L	7/1/2002	В	10	100	0.92	92
Stein	М	7/1/2002	В	10.7	100	1	100
Threemile	L	6/4/2002	В	8.5	100	2.32	232
Threemile	L	6/13/2002	В	6.4	100	2.22	222
Threemile	М	6/13/2002	В	6	100	2.06	206
Tower	L	9/25/2002		12.1	100	1	100
Trail	L	7/18/2002	А	13.3	88.8	13.3	1181
Trail	L	9/11/2002	В		100	0.46	46
Trail	L	9/11/2002	B		100	2.13	213
Twin	L	8/28/2002	B	6	100	4.1	410
Van Horn	M	7/2/2002	_	14	100	2 42	242
Van Horn	U	6/30/2002		11	100	2.62	262
Vine	I	6/25/2002	R	12 7	100	1.58	158
Vine	M	6/25/2002	R	10	100	2 14	214
Wagonhammer	1	5/13/2002	5	10.8	100	14	140
Wagonhammer	– M	5/9/2002		6	100	1.6	160
		0,0,2002		5			

				Water	Transect	Transect	Transect
-	_	<b>.</b>	Channel	temperature	length	mean	area
Stream	Transect	Sample date	type	(°C)	(m)	width (m)	(m)
Wagonhammer	М	5/9/2002		7.8	100	2.38	238
Wagonhammer	Μ	5/13/2002		5	100	1.34	134
Wagonhammer	М	5/13/2002		6	100	1.28	128
Wagonhammer	U	5/9/2002		1.5	100	1.68	168
West Fork Anderson	L	6/6/2002	В	8.9	100	2.32	232
West Fork Camas	U	8/22/2002	А	7.2	81.5	2.23	182
West Fork Hughes	L	7/17/2002	В	12	100	2.52	252
West Fork Morgan	L	6/26/2002		14	100	4.04	404
West Fork Morgan	L	6/26/2002		17	110	3.88	427
West Fork Morgan	L	6/27/2002		14	100	3.4	340
West Fork Morgan	Μ	6/27/2002		17	100	4.4	440
West Fork Nez Perce	L	6/6/2002		7.7	100	1.44	144
West Fork Wimpey	L	7/29/2002		14	80	2.08	166
West Fork Wimpey	U	7/27/2002		6	80	2.58	206
West Fork Wimpey	U	7/27/2002		11	100	2.44	244
West Fk Yellowjacket	L	7/18/2002	В	9.4	98.6	4.53	447
Wheat	L	7/16/2002	А	15	50	1.68	84
White Goat	L	8/6/2002	В	11.7	58.5	2.41	141
Wimpey	L	7/26/2002		12	80	3.2	256
Wimpey	L	7/26/2002	BC	12	55	4.94	272
Wimpey	L	7/29/2002		10	70	1.84	129
Wimpey	L	7/30/2002		15	100	3.58	358
Wimpey	L	7/30/2002		16	100	2.42	242
Wimpey	L	7/30/2002		16	100	2.82	282
Wimpey	М	7/26/2002		13	100	3.28	328
Wimpey	М	7/31/2002		12	100	1.56	156
Wimpey	М	7/31/2002		16	100	0.92	92
Wimpey	U	6/19/2002		5	100	3.66	366
Woods	L	8/7/2002	В	7	100	2.56	256
Woodtick	L	7/30/2002	А	10.6	53.3	4.3	229
Yellowjacket	L	7/23/2002	В		282	10.45	2947
Yellowjacket	М	7/18/2002	В	12.2	95	4.89	465
Yellowjacket	М	9/24/2002		11.2	110	4	440
Yellowjacket	U	7/24/2002	В	11.7	113.4	3.87	439

•

Stream	SUBBASIN		UTM	
		Zone	Easting	Northing
Adams	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	271726	5005316
Adams	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	271560	5005182
Agency	LEMHI RIVER	12	298537	4980640
Agency	LEMHI RIVER	12	298537	4980640
Alder	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	723461	4938597
Anderson	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	271921	5050043
Basin	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	674219	4903314
Basin	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	672720	4904680
Beagle	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	699770	4985053
Bear Basin	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	683414	5022702
Beaver	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	674330	4862636
Big Deer	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	711020	5005887
Big Eightmile	LEMHI RIVER	12	303454	4951647
Big Hat	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	727751	4966593
Big Springs	PAHSIMEROI RIVER	12	263073	4945223
Big Springs	PAHSIMEROI RIVER	12	268587	4940014
Big Springs	PAHSIMEROI RIVER	12	268936	4939203
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	311631	4947882
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	11	307237	4933150
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	304570	4930307
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	306326	4932283
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	305152	4931153
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	302579	4929083
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	300176	4930247
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	312286	4949757
Big Timber	LEMHI RIVER	12	312286	4949757
Big Timber Creek Fk	LEMHI RIVER	12	301919	4929348
Birdseye	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	706205	4977981
Blackbird	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	715456	4995242
Blackeagle	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	691904	4984881
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	284103	4998943
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	284997	4999332
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	286296	4999299
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	286365	5001132
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	287733	5002965
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	287795	5004798
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	287811	5004820
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	289177	5006620
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	285006	4999342
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	287671	5001110
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	287795	5004798
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	289161	5006610
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	286674	5002097

Appendix B. Upper Salmon River Basin (Idaho) tributary streams surveyed during the summer of 2002.

Appendix B. Cont	tinued			
Stream	SUBBASIN		UTM	
		Zone	Easting	Northing
Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	286975	5002552
Boulder	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	706404	5033874
Buckhorn	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	690778	4977750
Cabin	LEMHI RIVER	12	303329	4929082
Cabin	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	263102	4973422
Camas	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	701559	4950788
Camp	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	689693	4979423
Carmen	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	278261	5016520
Challis	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	721716	4938125
Challis	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	718530	4937872
Challis	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	716202	4937618
Challis	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	713258	4938788
Challis	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	710548	4938907
Challis	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	701715	4930739
Clear	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	707400	5018471
Climb	LEMHI RIVER	12	300049	4930176
Colson	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	693196	5020605
Colson	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	693237	5021661
Colson	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	693318	5022332
Colson	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	698095	5024648
Corn	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	681411	5026152
Corral	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	718777	4962830
Corral	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	719066	4963113
Dahlonega	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	273466	5048606
Darling	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	714755	4943818
Deep	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	268796	5052985
Dump	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	729996	5029322
Dump	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	729996	5029322
Dump	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	730118	5029098
Dump	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	730118	5029098
East Basin	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	671642	4904657
East Fork Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	287740	5002943
East Fork Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	289046	5002921
East Fork Bohannon	LEMHI RIVER	12	290359	5002889
East Fork Pierce	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	270661	5057445
East Fork Spring	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	713537	5032980
East Fork Spring	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	713679	5034951
Eighteenmile	LEMHI RIVER	12	320390	4957246
Elk	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	654318	4905479
Falls	LEMHI RIVER	12	300359	4929068
Flume	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	695343	4965169
Fly	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	698258	4952975
Fourth of July	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	276432	5032797
Fourth of July	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	279135	5033813

Stream	SUBBASIN		UTM	
		Zone	Easting	Northing
Fourth of July	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	679715	4878680
Freeman	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	279927	5017496
Garden	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	703316	5020401
Hammerean	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	269442	5050516
Hammerean	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	267857	5050150
Hammerean	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	267085	5051186
Hannah Slough	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	723322	4931968
Hat	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	733088	4963502
Hat	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	732112	4964883
Hat	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	730665	4966315
Hawley	LEMHI RIVER	12	326123	4947927
Haynes	LEMHI RIVER	12	287674	4987219
Haynes	LEMHI RIVER	12	288421	4988410
Haynes	LEMHI RIVER	12	286230	4986570
Haynes	LEMHI RIVER	12	284976	4986132
Hoodoo	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	690846	4980418
Hoodoo	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	690846	4980418
Hoodoo	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	691795	4984667
Hoodoo	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	691451	4990825
Horse	SALMON-MOUTH TO HORSE CREEK	11	692688	5039705
Horse	SALMON-MOUTH TO HORSE CREEK	11	698764	5041697
Horse	SALMON-MOUTH TO HORSE CREEK	11	700999	5041168
Hot Spring	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	724965	4933168
Hot Spring	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	724499	4933959
Hot Spring	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	724676	4933694
Hughes	NORTH FORK SALMON	11	732179	5039858
Indian	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	721766	5031130
Iron	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	736499	4976450
J Fell	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	701466	4950668
Johnson Gulch	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	268081	5049703
Jordan	YANKEE FORK SALMON	11	680655	4918704
Kenney	LEMHI RIVER	12	294095	4991096
Kinnikinic	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	707152	4908000
Lake	LEMHI RIVER	12	305617	4929977
Lake	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	690009	4980298
Lemhi River	LEMHI RIVER	12	290570	4987436
Lemhi River	LEMHI RIVER	12	290681	4936849
Lemhi River	LEMHI RIVER	12	290666	4986988
Liberty	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	688477	4961044
Little Sheep	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	274461	5042632
Little Spring	LEMHI RIVER	12	301025	4959503
Little Spring	LEMHI RIVER	12	299951	4960628
Little White Goat	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	698588	4957018
Little Woodtick	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	686491	4970892
Lost Springs	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	700662	4968464
Martindale	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	694046	4965191
Martindale	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	692059	4965984

Stream	SUBBASIN		UTM	
		Zone	Easting	Northing
McDevitt	LEMHI RIVER	12	285740	4977579
Meadow	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	698784	4983907
Melville	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	690595	4962594
Mill	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	715545	4936508
Mill	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	714220	4934687
Moose	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	268711	5059721
Moose	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	270377	5061306
Moose	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	728167	5028286
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	724793	4943552
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	724325	4943725
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	722239	4946163
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	721259	4947162
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	720088	4948844
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	719782	4949295
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	719067	4950329
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	719067	4950329
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	716938	4952440
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	716271	4953803
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	716129	4955490
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	716500	4956989
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	717057	4958500
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	717354	4960194
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	717336	4960720
Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	717215	4960792
Nez Perce	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	277956	5049865
North Fork Salmon				
River	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	268142	5060432
Owl	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	700044	5021316
Owl	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	700054	5021389
Pierce	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	269320	5056368
Pierce	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	270580	5057648
Pine	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	711749	5026335
Pine	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	716666	5022820
Pine	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	719836	5020950
Pine	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	711522	5026658
Pole	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	687601	4961513
Prospect	LEMHI RIVER	12	300374	4929662
Rams	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	701031	4972997
Rams Creek Trib	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	701142	4972924
Rocky	LEMHI RIVER	12	305008	4933249
Sage	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	727720	5032614
Salzer	NORTH FORK SALMON	11	729996	5046431
Sandy	LEMHI RIVER	12	295164	4994764
Sheep	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	272775	5042184
Sheep	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	271188	5042375
Sheep	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	699112	4960404

Stream	SUBBASIN		UTM	
		Zone	Easting	Northing
Sheldon	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	694921	4954972
Short	LEMHI RIVER	12	304758	4930648
Shovel	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	699983	4986757
Slate	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	693084	4900416
Slate	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	694246	4902151
Slate	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	692276	4899504
Slate	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	694559	4902877
Smiley	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	676568	4860807
Smithy	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	274111	5048778
Smithy	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	274085	5052913
South Fork Camas	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	694895	4955005
South Fork Williams	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	734529	4994324
Spider	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	699332	4952044
Spring	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	714776	5030602
Spring	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	712135	5033040
Spring	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	713655	5032481
Squaw	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	721561	5030978
Squaw	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	720527	5031415
Squaw	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	719003	5032472
Squaw	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	716768	5037938
Squaw	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	700756	4913571
Squaw	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	699498	4916581
Squirrel	LEMHI RIVER	12	302460	4928874
Stein Gulch	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	271291	5042205
Stein Gulch	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	272066	5038507
Threemile	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	276157	5049124
Threemile	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	276493	5050577
Threemile	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	276229	5053320
Tower	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	274804	5023813
Trail	LEMHI RIVER	12	305556	4929920
Trail	LEMHI RIVER	12	305511	4930271
Trail	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	694949	4982874
Twin	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	268365	5054478
Van Horn	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	715575	4960237
Van Horn	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	715083	4961647
Vine	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	268568	5055006
Vine	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	267735	5056144
Wagonhammer	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	270052	5031232
Wagonhammer	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	270214	5031456
Wagonhammer	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	270479	5031882
Wagonhammer	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	270661	5032146
Wagonhammer	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	271230	5032797
Wagonhammer	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	12	272389	5034971
West Fork Anderson	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	272241	5050958
West Fork Camas	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	689147	4963551

Stream	SUBBASIN		UTM	
		Zone	Easting	Northing
West Fork Hughes	NORTH FORK SALMON	11	731114	5039827
West Fork Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	718352	4950990
West Fork Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	717408	4950966
West Fork Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	716160	4950982
West Fork Morgan	SALMON-NORTH FORK TO HEADWATERS	11	714844	4951812
West Fork Nez Perce	NORTH FORK SALMON	12	277814	5049926
West Fork Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	289280	5000770
West Fork Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	290774	5003745
West Fork Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	292541	5006058
West Fk Yellowjacket	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	697698	4988515
Wheat	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	680915	5026466
White Goat	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	699113	4956824
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	11	343186	4505867
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	286511	4997424
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	286876	4997777
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	287334	4997934
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	288003	4998247
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	288705	4999773
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	289459	5000777
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	289053	4999242
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	287350	4998035
Wimpey	LEMHI RIVER	12	288973	5000166
Woods	SALMON-HORSE CREEK TO NORTH FORK	11	699089	5042347
Woodtick	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	686930	4971490
Yellowjacket	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	691022	4980310
Yellowjacket	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	698071	4988827
Yellowjacket	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	689570	4978665
Yellowjacket	MIDDLE FORK SALMON	11	696378	4993080

#### 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

State of: Idaho

Project II: <u>Technical Guidance</u>

Program: Fishery Management F-71-R-26

Subproject II-H: Salmon Region

Job: <u>7-d</u>

Title: <u>Technical Assistance</u>

Contract Period: July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003

## ABSTRACT

During 2002, project staff provided technical assistance, as time allowed, to private landowners, Irrigation Districts, and all requesting state, federal and tribal agencies. We submitted comments to agencies and private entities concerning outfitter/guide special use permits, inquiries regarding stream habitat conditions on private lands, subdivision impacts, grazing allotments, applications for installation of instream structures, bank stabilization, stabilization and treatment of mine tailings, fish screening, prescribed burns, walk and wade fishing permits, applications for irrigation diversions, permits for discharging materials into streams, consultations concerning Endangered Species Act (ESA) issues, bridge construction, applications for stream restoration projects and water right applications. Department staff also spent considerable time assisting with the development of the Lemhi Habitat Conservation Plan, the Upper Salmon Basin Conservation Plan, and the development of a tributary prioritization plan.

Regional personnel were an integral part of the collaboration effort with the Upper Salmon Basin Model Watershed Project to implement on the ground habitat improvement measures, stream reconnects and fish migration flows. We also conducted on-site inspections of proposed, on-going and completed projects.

Department personnel participated in angler informational meetings, school presentations, multi-agency and private landowner collaborative groups, and the ASK-FISH program. Of the estimated 45,000 anglers that fish in the Salmon Region, approximately 90% live outside the area. Because these anglers are not familiar with the Regions waters, we respond to over 500 requests for basic information on fishing opportunities, techniques, regulations and area specifics. Staff gave supporting testimony on a case involving stocking a private pond without a valid pond permit.

Authors: Tom Curet Region Fishery Manager

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

#### OBJECTIVES

- 1. Assist the Idaho Department of Water Resources (DWR), the Idaho Department of Lands (IDL), the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), and other state, federal, local and private entities in evaluating the effects of habitat manipulation on fish and habitat.
- 2. Recommend procedures that minimize adverse effects on aquatic habitat and fish caused by stream course alterations, and when possible work with all entities to restore functional river systems.
- 3. Provide information on all aspects of fisheries and aquatic habitat as requested.

#### METHODS

We responded to most requests for data, expertise, and recommendations from individuals, government agencies, and corporations. Project staff attended meetings, conducted field inspections, and generated responses as appropriate.

#### RESULTS

During 2002, we responded via letters, e-mail, field inspections, meetings, and reports to requests for technical assistance or comments on water and fishery-related matters (Table 1.).

Table 1.	Responses to request for technical assistance or comments on water and fishery
	related matters.

Entity	Number of Requests
US Army Corps of Engineers	34
Idaho Department of Water Resources	43
Idaho Department of Lands	3
USDA Forest Service	37
Idaho Division of Environmental Quality	21
US Fish and Wildlife Service	35
Office of Species Conservation	20
NOAA Fisheries	34
Shoshone-Bannock Indian Tribes	7
National Marine Fisheries Service	47
US Bureau of Reclamation	5
Private consultants	30
Idaho Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board	3
Mining Companies	4
Department of Transportation	15
Bull Trout Stream Prioritization Plan	13

Table 1. Continued

Entity	Number of Requests
Pond permitting	11
Attorney General's Office	16
Custer County	1
Bureau of Land Management	12
General Public	67
Upper Salmon Basin Model Watershed Project	20
Lemhi Agreement 13	
Private Landowners	21
Adjudication	19
Environmental Protection Agency	11
Law Offices	2
Total	544

Project personnel usually contacted agencies and private landowners by telephone. Commonly, we responded to stream alteration proposals by meeting with the applicant on-site, determining the nature of the situation, and sending written or verbal comments to the appropriate agency. Due to the remoteness of the Salmon Region, we were often the only agency representatives available to conduct on-site inspections.

We responded to numerous inquiries from the public (via telephone, letter, and in person) about when, where, and how to participate in regional fisheries activities, ranging from steelhead angling to alpine lake fishing.

We reported weekly steelhead fishing results on the local radio station, in area newspapers and ASK-FISH throughout the season.

Combined efforts of fisheries staff with affiliated personnel of the Upper Salmon Basin Model Watershed Project pursued possible stream reconnection projects on Hawley, Falls, Little Morgan and Kinnikinic creeks. Department staff also collaborated with this group to acquire water savings through diversion consolidations. One in particular, the Department is working collaboratively with the Upper Salmon Basin Model Watershed Project to pursue the L6-S12 water transfer, which, upon completion, will provide additional flows to the Lemhi River to maintain a proper fish migration corridor.

Because the Salmon Region has no full-time Information and Education personnel, we respond to numerous requests by local schools and the general public for fish and wildlife related programs. During 2002, Salmon Region fisheries personnel held 28 education programs with approximately 1,705 participants. Salmon Region Fish and Game personnel also held 17 wildlife education programs with approximately 1,065 participants.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Technical guidance on issues involving fishery resources in the Salmon Region should be continued to assist in maintaining fishery resources in the Region.
- 2. Because of the number of requests a for technical guidance and the potential funding for improving fishery resources in the Salmon Region, consideration should be given to adding fisheries staff to administer aquatic habitat issues and to assist in the various planning and habitat improvement measures being addressed in the Region.

# Submitted by:

Approved by:

Arnie Brimmer Regional Fishery Biologist

> Steven P. Yundt State Fisheries Manager

Kimberly Andrews Regional Fishery Technician

Bob Esselman Regional Fishery Biologist

Tom Curet Regional Fishery Manager