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#### Abstract

A mathematical model to predict the risk of winterkill at different pool storage levels was developed for Cascade Reservoir to provide a basis for a minimum pool reservation. The work was based on a model where time-to-oxygen depletion is predicted from initial oxygen storage.


Results indicate that the risk of oxygen limitation ranges from 0\% at higher pool volumes ( $475 \times 10^{3}$ acre feet) to approximately $58 \%$ at lower volumes ( $84 \times 10$ acre feet). The risk increases rapidly with initial reduction in storage, but approaches an upper asymptote at the low volumes. A minimum pool reservation of at least $300 \times 10$ acre feet should result in a winterkill risk of less than $10 \%$.

Yellow perch were the most abundant fish species in the reservoir, and comprised 85 and $72 \%$ of the total angler catch in 1980 and 1981, respectively.

Spring releases of rainbow trout catchables provided a higher return to the creel than did fall-released catchables. Fingerling releases of rainbow trout were almost nonexistent in the catch.

Coho salmon fingerlings released in the central and southern portions of the reservoir reflected significantly better survival than did those released at the north end of Cascade Reservoir.
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## RECOMMENDATIONS

## Water Level Management

1. A threat of serious oxygen limitation for fish exists in Cascade Reservoir during the winter period of ice cover and stagnation. The risk is inversely related to pool storage volume. A significant risk (>10\%) exists for any reservoir management program that results in a pool volume of less than 300,000 acre feet during the period from mid-December through 31 March. For that reason, we recommend that a reservoir pool management plan be established for Cascade Reservoir. The plan should incorporate a minimum conservation pool of 300,000 acre feet total storage during the period 15 December through 31 March of each winter.

An 11 year average of monthly pool storage levels indicates the current water management program generally maintains the Cascade Reservoir pool storage above 300,000 acre feet during this period (Fig. 1). The lowest average pool storage calculated for the 15 December-31 March period was about 349, 000 acre feet (Appendix A-3).

In only two of the last 11 years (1977-73, 1979-80), has the winter storage level ( 15 December-31 March) been less than the recommended 300,000 acre feet.

A water management program which calls for maintaining pool storage as close to 300,000 acre feet as possible through the winter period would not only yield an acceptable winterkill risk, but also provide a buffer for high spring inflows, thus reducing the need for releasing water over the spillway during April and May.
2. The yellow perch fishery in Cascade Reservoir is considered one of the best and most popular fisheries in the state. Since the critical spawning period for this species extends from 15 April to 15 May, we recommend no drawdown during this period. A lowering of the water level at this time could result in the exposure and desiccation of eggs deposited along shoreline areas causing greater fluctuations in year-class strength.
3. Releasing water through the radial gates has resulted in losses of coho salmon and other fish species from Cascade Reservoir. To prevent these losses, we suggest that a dead storage buffer be maintained during critical periods capable of absorbing extreme or unusual runoff events to eliminate the need to release water through the radial gates. Since a "no spill" water management policy could be unsuccessful or impractical, we also recommend investigating the feasibility of screening the radial gates.


Figure 1. The calculated 11 year average pool storage level and the recommended storage level for Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.
4. Because of the importance of Cascade Reservoir as a recreational area, and since the potential for a summer fish kill exists, we recommend maintaining the reservoir's summer pool level as high as possible. A water management program which would keep all major boat ramps functional would provide for good fishing access and for other water-based recreational activities.

Management of nutrient sources to the reservoir provide the best means of reducin ${ }^{g}$ the threat of a summer fish kill. A high summer pool could reduce problems by diluting high nutrient concentrations responsible for algal blooms, a key factor contributing to summer fish kills.

## Fishery Management

1. Rainbow Trout
A. Catchable rainbow trout released in the spring in Cascade Reservoir provided a better return to the creel than did those released in the fall. Because of this and the fact that the south end of the reservoir and the area around Sugarloaf Island receive the greatest fishing pressure, we recommend the following stocking program for catchable rainbow trout:
1) Approximately $40 \%$ of the total annual supply of rain-bow destined for Cascade Reservoir should be released at the Cabarton boat ramp, $40 \%$ should be released at the Sugarloaf boat ramp, and the remaining $20 \%$ should be released at Tamarack Falls Bridge. All rainbow should be stocked in the spring.
2) We should utilize a stock of fish that matures at an older age to produce trophy-sized fish.
B. Because rainbow trout released as fingerlings provided essentially no return, we recommend discontinuing fingerling releases of this species except on an experimental basis to test the performance of alternate strains.
C. To enhance the contribution of "wild" rainbow trout to the fishery, we recommend that Cascade Reservoir tributaries be managed to protect spawning stocks of rainbow, but still allow for stream fisheries.

## 2. Coho Salmon

A. Coho salmon released as fingerlings in the south end of the reservoir and at Sugarloaf boat ramp provided the best return to the creel. Therefore, we recommend that of the total annual supply of coho destined for Cascade Reservoir, $50 \%$ be released at the Cabarton boat ramp and $50 \%$ be released at Sugarloaf boat ramp.
B. The coho population in Cascade Reservoir exhibits a high percentage of precocial fish which effectively reduces their life span by one year. We recommend investigating ways to reduce this loss of potential fish biomass. Experiments involving the release of different sizes of fingerlings or heat sterilization of green eggs may provide a solution to this problem.
3. Fall Chinook
A. Fall chinook salmon introductions also have the potential for providing a trophy fish for anglers in Cascade Reservoir. We should evaluate fall chinook growth rates, food habits, and catchability to build a data base for this species.
B. We should continue to evaluate the effect (if any) of fall chinook introductions on the other important fisheries in the reservoir.
4. Perch
A. The yellow perch fishery on Cascade Reservoir is one of the most popular in the state. In an effort to maintain this fishery, we should further investigate perch life history and population dynamics in Cascade Reservoir to shed light on potential management techniques and options for this important consumptive fishery.

## Tributary Management

1. The potential for increased salmonid production exists in several of Cascade Reservoir's major tributaries. There is currently a direct loss of fish to irrigation canals, and elimination of important spawning and rearing habitat by stream dewatering. Additionally, irrigation waste water is a major source of nutrient input to Cascade Reservoir. These conditions could be improved by implementing the " Best Management Practices" described in the Idaho Agricultural Pollution Abatement Plan, 1980 (available from Idaho Department of Health and Welfare).
2. To allow up-and-downstream passage for migrating fish and prevent losses, the Gold Fork diversion should be laddered and the canal inlet screened. The irrigation diversions and canal inlets on Lake Fork Creek and Boulder Creek should be identified and modified to decrease fish losses.

## INTRODUCTION

## Background

When Cascade Dam and Reservoir were completed in the late 1940's, the stored water was primarily reserved for irrigation and future power development. Over the years a very popular recreational and fishing resource developed, and these uses continue to expand. A portion of the stored water has not been allocated for any specific use. The Bureau of Reclamation is currently conducting a multi-purpose study of the Payette River Basin. Cascade Reservoir is the major storage facility in the basin.

Cascade Reservoir is considered to be a culturally eutrophied system. Blooms of blue-green algae are common during late summer, and fish kills have been observed during winter and summer. The extent of the fish kills and their relationship to reservoir draw-down is not completely understood, but they are probably associated with oxygen deficiencies.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate the relationship between pool storage and dissolved oxygen (DO) content in the reservoir. These data will provide information on how pool storage affects fishery habitat, particularly overwinter habitat, and will eventually lead to a "minimum conservation pool" recommendation.

Dissolved oxygen may decline to low levels in Cascade Reservoir during stratification or following periods of high production because of its relatively shallow basin, additional organic load (allocthonous sources), and relatively high productivity. There is some evidence that a "low" winter pool may increase the potential for a winterkill. A reduction in water volume may reduce the ratio of lake volume to bottom area, thereby reducing the quantity of oxygen available for
the decomposition of organic matter in the sediments. Several other factors may also affect the amount of oxygen produced and consumed, including the extent and duration of snow and ice cover, water exchange and dilution, and primary (algal) production.

Other aspects of this study included an evaluation of the salmonid stocking program, fish losses through outlet structures, and the potential for salmonid production in Cascade tributaries.

## Description of the Study Area and Its Fishery

Cascade Reservoir, a Bureau of Reclamation water storage project, is located on the North Fork of the Payette River, tributary of the Snake River, in the mountains of west central Idaho near the town of Cascade (Fig. 2). The reservoir is one of the largest bodies of water
in Idaho when full (Table 1). Cascade is fed by three major tributaries: the North Fork of the Payette River, Gold Fork River, Lake Fork Creek, and many minor tributaries. The average monthly total inflow from these tributaries from 1960 through 1981 ranged from 15,390 acre feet in August, to 206,070 acre feet in June (Appendix A-1).

Cascade Reservoir began to fill in 1948, and reached capacity by 1957 (Casey 1962). Fishing was very good for large rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) and kokanee salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) for the first few years. Northern squawfish (Ptychocheilus oregonensis) soon became a dominant and troublesome species (Casey 1962). Spawning runs of squawfish were eradicated in Cascade Reservoir tributaries with rotenone and squoxin between 1958 and 1974 until their numbers were greatly reduced (Welsh 1975). After squawfish were controlled, the fishery was largely supported by releases of hatchery rainbow trout and coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) (Table 2), and an expanding yellow perch (Perca flavescens population (Welsh 1976).

## OBJECTIVES

1. Determine the minimum reservoir level that will be sufficient to sustain fish life in Cascade Reservoir.
2. Evaluate the hatchery-release program for coho and rainbow trout in Cascade Reservoir in relation to release location, release time, and size at release.


Figure 2. Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

Table 1. Important physical and morphometric data of Cascade Reservoir and Dam, Cascade, Idaho.
Parameter Full pool Dead storage

Reservoir

| Elevation | 1,472 m (4,828 ft) |  | 1,459 m (4,787 ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface area | 11,450 ha (28,300 ac) |  | 2,064 ha (5,100 ac) |  |
| Volume | $875.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | (703,200 ac ft) | $62.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | (50,000 ac ft) |
| Length | 27.4 km (17 mi) |  | 9.4 km (6 mi) |  |
| Max. Width | 6.4 km (4 mi) |  | 4 km ( 2.5 mi ) |  |
| Max. depth | 20 m (66 ft) |  | 7 m (23 ft) |  |
| Mean depth | 7.6 m (25 ft) |  | 3 m (10 ft) |  |

Dam
Height $\quad 32.6 \mathrm{~m} \quad(107 \mathrm{ft})$

Width
top
10.7 m
base
Crest length
239.3 m 192.0 m ( 630 ft )而 (785 ft)

Table 2 Releases of hatchery rainbow trout, brown trout, coho salmon, and kokanee salmon into Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, from 1968 to 1981.

| Year | Rainbow trout |  |  | Brown trout Fingerlings | Coho | salmon Fry | Kokanee Fry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catchables | Fingerlings | Fry |  | Fingerlings |  |  |
| 1968 | 51,500 | 279,000 | - | - | 480,000 | - | - |
| 1969 | 50,000 | - | - | - | 437,000 | - | - |
| 1970 | 50,000 | - | - | - | 365,000 | - | - |
| 1971 | 50,000 | - | - | - | 380,000 | - | 124,000 |
| 1972 | 59,200 | - | - | - | 171,400 | - | 100,800 |
| 1973 | 52,300 | 35,400 | - | - | 228,900 | - | - |
| 1974 | 58,000 | 21,200 | - | - | 465,200 | - | 27,000 |
| 1975 | 49,000 | 63,000 | - | - | 369,800 | - | 139,900 |
| 1976 | 49,700 | 45,600 | - | - | 634,900 | - | - |
| 1977 | 41,800 | 14,900 | 28,800 | - | - | 57,500 | - |
| 1978 | 48,500 | - | 262,900 | - | - | 430,200 | - |
| 1979 | 56,300 | - | 64,800 | - | 425,200 | 104,100 | - |
| $1{ }^{9} 80$ | 80,000 | 143,000 | - | - | 500,000 | - | - |
| 1981 | 81,510 | 45,570 | - | 114,956 | 448,900 | - | 180,944 |

## TECHNIQUES USED

## Minimum Conservation Pool Determination

## Dissolved Oxygen Measurement

Oxygen-temperature profiles were recorded at one $m$ intervals from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir with a YSI model 57 dissolved oxygen/temperature meter. Calibration of the meter was done by Winkler titration. Secchi transparency was recorded with each profile (except those taken through the ice) using a standard 20 cm disk.

The sampling program was initiated in May 1980 after preliminary data were collected in February and March. Sixteen stations were selected to represent the reservoir (Fig. 3). We sampled all stations on a monthly basis during the spring and fall, and biweekly during the summer. One to nine stations were sampled weekly throughout that period. During winter ice cover, six stations were sampled weekly. Surface elevation of the reservoir was noted to the nearest meter at each sampling, and data were recorded by elevation rather than depth. The mean oxygen concentration of each one m strata was calculated from all samples.

## Oxygen Deficits

Morphometric data on Cascade were generated from a U. S. Bureau of Reclamation area-volume table, and contour map of the reservoir (Appendix A-2).

We calculated oxygen content of the hypolimnion on an aerial basis. To do that, the mean oxygen concentration of each strata below an upper hypolimnial limit was weighted by the relative total volume of the strata. Oxygen was expressed on an areal basis by multiplying by the mean thickness of the hypolimnion. The upper limit of the hypolimnion was selected as the elevation which most closely approximated the level of the thermoclinethroughout the period of stagnation. We estimated the areal hypolimnetic oxygen deficit (AHOD) as the rate of decline of hypolimnial oxygen content during stagnation (Hutchinson 1975). The rate of decline was calculated as the slope of a regression of oxygen content against the julian date (Lasenby 1975). The period for the regression analysis was selected as the time during which oxygen content declined continuously (stagnation). The winter oxygen deficit (WOD) was estimated in the same manner as the AHOD, except that the oxygen content was calculated for the entire reservoir rather than just that below the thermocline.


Figure 3. The location of 16 dissolved oxygen and temperature sampling stations (A-H), creel census and gill netting Areas I, II and III in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

## Development of the Model

We used an oxygen modeling approach similar to that of Barica and Mathias (1979) to predict winterkill potential as a function of pool volume and initial oxygen storage in Cascade Reservoir. Initial oxygen volume, $T_{j},\left(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ was estimated as a direct function of pool volume by:


Where: $\quad 0_{i}=\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean oxygen concentration } \\ & \text { of strata }\end{aligned}$ of strata;
$S_{i}=$ Volume of strata $i ;$
$\begin{aligned} A_{j}= & \text { Surface area of the reservoir } \\ & \text { at a given pool volume } j .\end{aligned}$
We partitioned the oxygen deficit into component parts of water column demand and sediment demand, and also included estimates of oxygen input from tributary inflow and output due to outflow through the dam. Because water column consumption, oxygen inflow, and outflow, when calculated on an areal basis, vary as a function of pool volume, it was necessary to estimate these parameters for each possible reservoir elevation. The model of reservoir oxygen consumption was represented as:

$$
C_{j}=S+W_{j}+0 F_{j}-I F_{j}-P
$$

Where: $\quad C_{j}=$ Total areal oxygen consumption ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}$ ) at reservoir elevation $j$;
$S=A r e a l$ sediment oxygen consumption;
$W_{j}=$ Areal water column consumption at reservoir elevations j;
$O F_{j}=$ Areal oxygen consumption due to reservoir outflow at reservoir elevation j;
$I F_{j}=\begin{gathered}\text { Areal oxygen input due to tributary inflow } \\ \text { at reservoir elevation } \mathbf{j} \text {; }\end{gathered}$
$P=0 x y g e n$ input due to primary production.
The time to total oxygen depletion was calculated as:

$$
\frac{T_{j}}{C_{j}}=\text { days. }
$$

Because fish kills would undoubtedly occur at levels of oxygen depletion above complete anoxia, we also calculated the time necessary for oxygen content to drop to a level $T_{L}$ which we considered limiting for salmonids

$$
\frac{T_{i}-T_{L}}{C_{i}}=\text { days. }
$$

An oxygen concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ is considered as the lower limit of suitable conditions for salmonids. We therefore assumed that oxygen concentrations of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ would represent a condition of serious stress with potential for significant damage to the populations. Since salmonids were generally found in the upper strata, we assumed that a normal oxygen stratification with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ near the surface, declining to $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ near the bottom, would represent seriouslylimiting conditions. The limiting oxygen concentration ( $T_{L}$ ) was calculated for each reservoir elevation based on those assumptions.

Significant production of oxygen can occur under the ice due to photosynthesis. With little snow cover, oxygen content in nearsurface strata may actually remain at supersaturated levels. With any significant amount of photosynthesis, it is unlikely that seriously-limiting conditions of oxygen limitation would occur. Approximately 10 cm of snow on the ice can eliminate photosynthesis (Barica and Mathias 1979). We, therefore, assumed that severe oxygen limitation at a given pool volume would occur only when winter conditions resulted in a 10 cm or greater snow cover on the reservoir for a period of time equal to or greater than the time necessary for the reservoir oxygen level to drop to anoxia or limiting conditions. Thirty-one years of snow depth records from the BOR weather station located near the dam were used to generate a winter-severity index. The index was calculated as the frequency of occurrence of years when a minimum snow depth ( 10 cm on the reservoir) persisted for a given period of time. We regressed our 1982 measurements of snow depth on the reservoir on 1982 measurements of snow at the station to determine a threshold value for the station measurement that would represent a 10 cm threshold on the reservoir.

## Parameter Estimates

Water Column Consumption
Water column demand was estimated by BOD analysis. We collected triplicate water samples at three representative depths (near surface, mid, and near bottom). Samples were incubated for 20 days in 300 ml BOD bottles at a temperature similar to that at the point of collection. During winter sampling, all samples were incubated at 2 to 4 C . Initial and final oxygen concentration was measured with the YSI męter and a BOD bottle probe. Estimates of oxygen consumption ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day) were averaged for all three depths to provide a mean of the water column. Areal oxygen consumption for a given pool elevation were estimated as:

$$
W_{\mathrm{j}}=\text { Consumption }\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \text { day }\right) \cdot \text { Mean depth at reservoir el evation } \mathrm{j} .
$$

## Tributary Oxygen Inflow

Inflow to the reservoir was calculated on a monthly basis during the winter (December-April) from 20 years of data on reservoir pool volume and measured outflow as:

```
Inflow = outflow - loss in reservoir storage; or
Inflow \(=\) outflow + gain in reservoir storage.
```

Oxygen content of the tributaries was monitored periodically. Average oxygen concentration ( 0 ), inflow, and reservoir surface area were used to calculate oxygen addition on an areal basis ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day) as:

$$
\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{j}}=\frac{\text { Inflow } \cdot \overline{0}}{\text { Area at reservoir elevation } \mathrm{j}}
$$

## Oxygen Outflow

Oxygen consumption due to the reservoir outflow was calculated as:

$$
0 F_{j}=\frac{A \cdot B}{\text { Area at reservoir elevation } j}
$$

Where: $A=$ Mean flow through dam.
$B=$ Mean oxygen concentration of the water column in the forebay area.

Sediment Oxygen Demand
In 1980, we attempted to estimate sediment oxygen consumption empirically by incubating sediment cores and measuring the oxygen decline of overlying water. The results were extremely variable and essentially unuseable for the model (Horner and Rimean 1981). As an alternative, we estimated sediment oxygen demand on the basis of the total oxygen deficit, measurements of water column demand, and estimates of tributary input and outflow through the dam during the period of winter stagnation in 1982 as:

$$
S=C_{j}-W_{j}-O F_{j}+I F_{j}
$$

Sediment oxygen demand should not vary with pool volume, and was therefore held constant in the model.

## Salmonid Stocking Program Evaluation Fish

Marking

Rainbow and coho fingerlings were marked with three different colors of fluorescent grit (Tables 3 and 4) in 1980 and 1981, and released at three locations in Cascade Reservoir (Fig. 2) to evaluate different release locations. The fingerlings were dipped out of a raceway onto a screen, and the grit was applied with a highpressure spray gun. Mortality was very low, and mark retention good. The grit mark was generally detectable with the unaided eye in the fins or eyes of the fish, and was checked with a blacklight whenever practical.

We marked two groups of catchable rainbow with ventral fin clips (Tables 3 and 4) in 1980 and 1981 to evaluate the size at release (catchable versus fingerling) and spring (right ventral clip) versus fall (left ventral clip) release. The abundance and distribution of all marked groups was evaluated by creel census and gill netting.

## Fish Recapture

A creel census was conducted from May 1980 through November 1981 ( Horner and Rieman 1981). Gill and fyke nets were fished during June, August, and October of 1980, and July and August of 1981 (Horner and Rieman 1981).

## Total Harvest Estimate

## Creel Census

From December 1981 through April 1982, we conducted a creel census to estimate total angler effort and total harvest of various fish species during a given time interval. The total time period was stratified into nine 2 -week intervals. We selected at random one Saturday, one Sunday, two weekdays, and all holidays for angler counts during each two-week interval. Anglers were counted at three randomly-selected time periods during each census day, and interviewed for catch and catch rates in-between counts, and whenever possible during noncount days. We determined the total hours fished by multiplying the average number of anglers per day, times the number of daylight hours, times the number of days in a two-week interval (i.e., ten weekdays and four weekend days). Total harvest was estimated for each species by multiplying catch rates by total hours fished.

Table 3. Marked rainbow trout and coho salmon released into Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, 1980.

| Species | Size (cm) <br> Mean Range | Release <br> Date | Number <br> Released | Mark | Release <br> Location | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4. Marked rainbow trout and coho salmon released into Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, 1981.


## FINDINGS

## Limnological Description of the Reservoir

During the period May 1980 through March 1982, reservoir elevation varied from $1,467 \mathrm{~m}$ to $1,472 \mathrm{~m}$ (full pool). The lowest elevations were generally observed during early spring (March) prior to runoff, and again in the fall (October) at the end of the irrigation season. Full pool was reached in early June 1980, and in early May 1981. The reservoir was ice-free from mid-April until the end of November in 1980, and from the end of March until mid-December 1981. Because of the heavier snow pack and persistence of cold temperatures during the spring of 1982, ice remained on the reservoir until early May.

Maximum mean surface DO (12-14 mg/1) was observed under the ice in February and March of 1980 and 1981 (Fig. 4). Levels were down somewhat (10$11 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ) during the winter of 1982 . Dissolved oxygen was nearly uniform from surface to bottom during spring mixing (April-May) when the water column was homothermos. Generally by mid-May, DO levels began declining with depth, with mean surface measurements of $8-9 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and mean bottom measurements of 5-8 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{i}$. Vertical DO profiles were strongly clinograde by early July of both years, and persisted through early October 1980, and mid-September 1981. During stagnation, mean DO values near $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ were recorded near the bottom from July through September, and again in February and March. Dissolved oxygen was again uniform from surface to bottom during October of both years (Fig. 4).

Cascade Reservoir was homothermos in early May 1980 and early April 1981, with surface and bottom temperatures varying from 8-10 C in 1980 and a temperature of 4 C in 1981 (Fig. 5). Surface warming typically began about mid-May, but due to unstable spring weather patterns in the Cascade area, mixing occurred periodically during late May and June. Thermal stratification was evident from late June through early September in 1980, and from early June through midSeptember in 1981 (Fig. 5). Maximum mean summer temperatures ranged from 20-23 C at the surface, and 12-14 C near the bottom. During mid-July, the surface of the metalimnion was about 5-6 m below the reservoir surface and dropped to about 8 m below the surface by mid-August. Metalimnion thickness was about 5-6 m in mid-July, and 3-4 min mid-August. Homothermy was again observed in late September and early October, but the temperature of maximum density (4 C) did not occur until mid-to-late November (Fig. 5).


Figura 4. Seasonal cycle of Rean dissolved oxygen (my/1) at Cascade
indicate period of ice cover.


Figure 5. Seasonal cycle of mean water temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) at Cascade Reservoir,
May 1980 through March 1982. Blackened areas indicate period of
ice cover.

Water transparency (as measured by a Secchi disc) was higher in 1981 than 1980. Mean monthly Secchi measurements ranged from 1.6 m to 3.8 m in 1980, and from 1.7 m to 5.9 m in 1981 (Fig. 6). With the exception of June, Secchi readings were consistently higher during the summer and fall in 1981. Lowest water transparency was generally observed in April and May, while higher readings were recorded from June through August. Measurements also indicated that water transparency was usually greater throughout the summer from Sugarloaf boat ramp south.

## Oxygen Content of the Reservoir

Oxygen content and stratification varied seasonally. Typically, oxygen content was greatest during periods of mixing in spring and late fall. Stagnation occurred during July, August, and part of September, and again under ice cover (Fig. 4). The hypolimnion was essentially anoxic during August of both years.

Oxygen content of the hypolimnion declined dramatically during summers of both 1980 and 1981 (Fig. 7), though the level of depletion was more pronounced in the earlier year. The hypolimnetic oxygen deficit for the June-July period estimated by linear regression was $.66 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=.92\right)$ in 1980 , and $.41 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=.81\right)$ in 1981.

The oxygen content varied considerably under the ice during both years. In 1981, there was not a consistent snow cover on the ice. Primary production maintained near surface oxygen at super-saturated levels throughout the winter. Oxygen content of the pool fluctuated during December, January, and early February, and then declined through early March, following a period of snow cover (Fig. 8). The oxygen deficit estimated by regression was $.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}$. During 1982, snow cover on the reservoir exceeded 10 cm from mid-January through mid-February. During that period, oxygen concentrations dropped throughout the water column. Oxygen content of the total pool declined dramatically during the heavy snow cover, but increased following a period of rain, and loss of most of the snow (Fig. 8). The oxygen deficit for that period estimated by regression, was $.48 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m} 2 /$ day, with an $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ of .96 .

## Parameter Estimates for the Model Initial

Oxygen Content

During the two years of study, the reservoir froze over in early December 1980, and late December 1981. Mean oxygen concentration was 10.7 and $10.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ at the first sample following ice cover in 1980 and 1981, respectively. We therefore assumed a mean oxygen concentration


Figure 6. Mean monthly Secchi disc measurements from Cascade Reservoir, May through November 1980 and April through November 1981.


Figure 7. Oxygen content of the hypolimnion during 1980 and 1931 in Cascade Reservoir. The length of each line indicates those points included in the regression.


Figure 8. Oxygen content of Cascade Reservoir during winter ice cover ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and snow depth (cm) in 1981 and 1982. The length of the regression line indicates those points included in the regression.
of the water column for the model of $10.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Initial areal oxygen content was calculated for reservoir elevations of 1,469 to $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level (Table 5).

Water Column Demand
Consumption of oxygen within the water column, as estimated by the BOD method, was high through the summer, but declined during late fall, and was low during the winter (Fig. 9). The greatest seasonal variation in oxygen demand was observed from samples taken midway in the water column and near the bottom. Mean oxygen demand for the entire water column ranged from . 01 to $.06 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} /$ day (Table 6). For three samples taken during ice cover (April 1981, January-February 1982), mean water column demand ranged from . 01 to $.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} /$ day. For the model, we assumed a value of $.016 \mathrm{mg} / 1 / \mathrm{day}$ (observed during heavy snow cover in February 1982) to be representative and used that for calculation of available water column demand for each reservoir elevation (Table 7).

Inflow
Oxygen concentrations measured in the tributaries during the winter in 1982 ranged from 9.7 to $10.1 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, with an average of 9.8 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$. Average inflow in water volume ranged from $\frac{1}{3} .4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day ( 1,165 acre feet/day) in December, to $1.82 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ /day ( 1,476 acre feet/day) in February. During the winter of 1982, the estimated oxygen input to the reservoir was $0.18 \mathrm{~g} 0^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day. The estimated average winter inflow for a 20 year period was $1.59 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day ( 1,289 acre feet/day). Using that value, the mean oxygen input for each reservoir el evation ranged from $0.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day at an elevation of $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$, to $0.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day at an elevation of $1,469 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 8).

Outflow
Oxygen outflow for the winter period of stagnation in 1982 was estimated as $0.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day.

Because oxygen outflow is dependent upon oxygen content in the water column, it will decline during stagnation. For the model, we calculated a mean outflow rate for the first 30 days and the remaining period of stagnation. Estimated oxygen outflgw for the first 30 days of winter stagnation ranged from $0.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day at a reservoir of $1,469 \mathrm{~m}$ to $0.37 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day at $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 9).

Table 5. Estimated initial oxygen content ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) following ice cover for Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.
Reservoir Elevation (m) Oxygen Content ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

1469
1468 67.5

1467
61.0

1466
56.4

1465
51.0

1464
45.5

1463
40.8

1462
36.4
$1461 \quad 32.2$


Figure 9. Estimated water column demand (mg/l) in the top strata, mid-strata, and near bottom in Cascade Reservoir, April 1981 to April 1982.

Table 6. Oxygen demand of three points in the water column (mg/1/day) estimated by BOD samples for Cascade Reservoir in 1981 and 1982.

| Date | $4 / 2 / 81$ | $5 / 17 / 81$ | $6 / 20 / 81$ | $7 / 15 / 81$ | $9 / 11 / 81$ | $10 / 5 / 81$ | $10 / 27 / 81$ | $12 / 31 / 81$ | $1 / 19 / 82$ | $2 / 15 / 82$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Near Surface <br> (mean of 3) | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.0 |
| Mid-Depth <br> (mean of 3) | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| Near Bottom <br> (mean of 3) | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| $\approx$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

X

Table 7. Estimated water column oxygen demand during ice cover on Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

| Reservoir Elevation | $(\mathrm{m})$ | Oxygen Demand $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1469 | 0.11 |  |
| 1468 | 0.10 |  |
| 1467 | 0.09 |  |
| 1466 | 0.08 |  |
| 1465 | 0.08 |  |
| 1464 | 0.07 |  |
| 1463 | 0.06 |  |
| 1462 | 0.06 |  |
| 1461 | 0.05 |  |

Table 8. Estimated mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ oxygen input due to tributary inflow on Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

| Reservoir Elevation | $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1469 | Oxygen $\ln \mathrm{put}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}\right)$ |
| 1468 | 0.18 |
| 1467 | 0.17 |
| 1466 | 0.21 |
| 1465 | 0.23 |
| 1464 | 0.25 |
| 1463 | 0.28 |
| 1462 | 0.32 |
| 1461 | 0.37 |

a Calculated using a mean inflow of $159 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ 3/day from December-March, and a mean oxygen concentration of $9.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

Table 9. Estimated mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ oxygen outflow for the first 30 days and after 30 days of winter stagnation on Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

| Reservoir Elevation | Outflow First 30 Days <br> $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}$ | Outflow After 30 Days <br> $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1469 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| 1468 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| 1467 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| 1466 | 0.19 | 0.16 |
| 1465 | 0.21 | 0.17 |
| 1464 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| 1463 | 0.28 | 0.23 |
| 1462 | 0.30 | 0.25 |
| 1461 | 0.37 | 0.30 |

a Calculated as a mean oxygen concentration of the entire water column in the forebay area.

# Sediment Oxygen Demand 

Sediment oxygen demand estimated from total oxygen demand, water column demand, and inflow and outflow estimates for the period of winter stagnation in 1982 was $0.40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}$. Sediment demand should not vary with pool volume and was, therefore, assumed to be stable for all reservoir elevations in the model.

Model Output
Using the preceeding parameter estimates, the model was used to estimate the number of days of winter stagnation (adequate ice and snow to eliminate primary production) necessary for the reservoir to approach a completely anoxic condition. The estimated times ranged from 154 days at a reservoir elevation of $1,469 \mathrm{~m}$ to 92 days at an elevation of $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 10). We also used the model to calculate the time necessary under stagnation to reach conditions that would be considered seriously limiting for salmonids. Calculated oxygen content under these conditions ranged from $158 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ at an elevation of $1,469 \mathrm{~m}$, to $80 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ at an elevation of $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 11). The estimated time to reach these conditions under stagnation ranged from 119 days at a reservoir elevation of $1,469 \mathrm{~m}$, to 68 days at an elevation of $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 10).

## Winter Severity

Snow depth on the reservoir was recorded 11 times during the 1982 period of ice cover. If a single point is eliminated, a strong correlation exists $(r=.81)$ between reservoir snow depths and BOR snow gauge depths (Fig.10). A linear regression fit to the data had a slope of 0.4 , indicating that snow depth at the BOR gauge was generally 2.5 times that on the reservoir. When the regression line was forced through the origin, a slope of 0.33 was obtained (gauge depth $=$ three times reservoir depth). To calculate the severity index, we assumed the three-fold difference. We, therefore, assumed a threshold snow depth of 30 cm at the BOR gauge to be indicative of stagnation conditions ( 10 cm snow, and no primary production) on the reservoir. From 31 years of snow records at the BOR gauge ( NOAA 1950-1981), the frequency of stagnation conditions ranged from $80 \%$ for a period of 20 days, to $0 \%$ for a period of 120 days (Fig. 11). In other words, $80 \%$ of the years of record had 30 cm or more snow on the ground for at least 20 days, $0 \%$ of the years of record for 120 days.

Table 10. Estimated time (days) to reach anoxia and oxygen limiting conditions for salmonids during winter stagnation in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

| Reservoir elevation (m) | Days to anoxia | Days to limiting <br> conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1469 | 154 | 119 |
| 1468 | 151 | 117 |
| 1467 | 139 | 105 |
| 1466 | 134 | 100 |
| 1465 | 125 | 93 |
| 1464 | 113 | 81 |
| 1463 | 109 | 78 |
| 1462 | 100 | 71 |
| 1461 | 92 | 68 |

Table 11. Estimated oxygen content ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) resulting in limiting conditions for salmonids in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Reservoir elevation (m) Oxygen content $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

1469
1468
15.4

1467
15.0

1466
14.5

1465
13.8

1464
12.6

1463
11.0

1462
10.0

1461
8.0
a Assumes normal stratification with maximum oxygen concentration of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ near the surface.


Figure 10. Reservoir snow depth (cm) versus the BOR snow guage readings (cm) during 1982. Arrow indicates outlying point eliminated from regression.


Figure 11. Winter severity index (frequency of 30 cm or more of snow for a continuous period of time) for Cascade Reservoir (line fitted by inspection). (Data from Climatological Data-Idaho, NationalOceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Center, Ashville, N. C.).

## Winterkill Risk and Pool Elevation

By combining the winter severity data and output from the oxygen model, it is possible to estimate the frequency or risk of reaching anoxic or oxygen "limiting" conditions on the reservoir for a given pool elevation. By interpolating from Figure 12, we estimate that winter stagnation conditions should occur (did occur in the last 31 years) long enough for the reservoir to become totally anoxic at a pool elevation of $1,461 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{30} \mathrm{\%}$ of the time (Fig. 12). Anoxia should not occur at elevations of $1,465 \mathrm{~m}$ and higher. Oxygen-limiting conditions should occur $57 \%$ of the time at an elevation of $1,461 \mathrm{~m}$, but only $1 \%$ of the time at $1,468 \mathrm{~m}$.

Salmonid Stocking Program

## Creel Census and Netting

Numbers and species of fish caught and catch rates are expressed in Appendix C-1 through C-21. Generally, yellow perch were harvested in greater abundance than any other fish specie in the reservoir. Perch comprised 85 and $72 \%$ of the total angler catch during 1980 and 1981, respectively, and 53\% of the total net catch (Tables 12 and 13). Coho and rainbow were harvested in respectively fewer numbers.

## Release Location

Coho released at the Cabarton and Cascade City boat ramps had the best apparent survival of the six groups of coho fingerlings released during 1980 and 1981 (Tables 14 and 15). Of 894 recaptured grit-marked coho released in 1980, $36.8 \%$ were fish released at the Cabarton and Cascade City boat ramps, while the remaining $34.1 \%$ and $29.1 \%$ were fish released at Sugarloaf boat ramp and Tamarack Falls Bridge, respectively. Similarly, coho released at the Cabarton boat ramp in 1981 comprised $46.3 \%$ of grit-marked fish returned to the creel (Table 16). Fish released at Sugarloaf boat ramp and Tamarack Falls Bridge comprised $32.6 \%$ and $21.1 \%$, respectively, of the angler catch. So few grit-marked rainbow fingerlings were observed that an evaluation of stocking location is not practical (Table 16).

## Size at Release

Rainbow trout released as catchables in 1980 and 1981 provided the largest percentage of the total rainbow harvest ' (53.0\%), whereas rainbows released as fingerlings were almost nonexistent in both the angler harvest and net catches (1.3\%) (Table 16). An additional $36.9 \%$ of the rainbow harvest from


Table 12. The percentage of various fish species caught by anglers in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, May 1980 to November 1981.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & (1980) \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of species caught by anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rainbow | Coho | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker |
| May | 18.6 | 23.2 | 39.2 | 2.7 | 15.2 | 1.1 |
| June | 12.6 | 1.9 | 76.5 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| July | 4.0 | 1.1 | 90.9 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| August | 1.5 | 1.0 | 95.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| September | 15.7 | 4.0 | 74.3 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| October | 29.8 | 13.1 | 53.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| November | 95.7 | 3.1 | 1.2 | - | - | - |
| December | 4.8 | 65.9 | 29.3 | - | - | - |
| Mean a | 7.2 | 4.1 | 84.6 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| Month (1981) | Rainbow | Coho | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker |
| January | 5.0 | 43.6 | 51.2 | - | 0.2 | - |
| February | 9.6 | 27.5 | 61.2 | - | 1.7 | - |
| March | 4.4 | 7.0 | 86.9 | 0.3 | 1.4 | - |
| April | 47.7 | 3.4 | 29.2 | 5.7 | 10.6 | 3.4 |
| May | 12.1 | 38.2 | 37.5 | 3.6 | 7.8 | 0.8 |
| June | 6.3 | 17.6 | 73.1 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| July | 3.2 | 14.8 | 78.5 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| August | 2.4 | 8.3 | 84.1 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| September | 6.3 | 9.9 | 81.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| October | 48.0 | 31.0 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 3.0 | - |
| 'November | 76.5 | 14.4 | 7.6 | - | - | 1.5 |
| -an | 6.6 | 17.0 | 72.2 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 0.5 |

Table 13. The percentage of various fish species caught in. gill and fyke nets in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, in June, August, and October 1980, and January and August 1981.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Rainbow | Coho | Kokanee | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker |
| June | 0.7 | 4.3 |  | 68.0 | 7.8 | 11.4 | 7.8 |
| August | 1.2 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 48.8 | 10.3 | 24.2 | 10.8 |
| October | 7.3 | 13.3 | 4.0 | 21.2 | 24.5 | 17.4 | 12.3 |
| January | 6.8 | 24.3 | 14.9 | 27.0 | - | 27.0 | - |
| August | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 60.8 | 10.0 | 15.3 | 9.8 |
|  | 1.6 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 53.0 | 11.3 | 17.8 | 9.9 |

a Means calculated from overall catch, not monthly percentages.

Table 14. The number of fluorescent grit marked coho salmon (released in 1980) observed in angler creels and gill nets from Cascade Reservoir, June 1980 to April 1982.

|  | Tamarack Falls | Sugarloaf Boat Ramp | City/Cabarton Boat Ramp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Color | Green | Red | Yellow |
| Number released | 133,100 | 112,600 | 122,850 |
| Weighting factor | 1.18 | 1.00 | 1.09 |
| Angler catch | 248 | 244 | 288 |
| Net catch | 35 | 37 | 42 |
| Grand total | 283 | 281 | 330 |
| Weighted value | 240 | 281 | 303 |
| Percentage | 29.1 | 34.1 | 36.8 |
| $X^{2}$ value |  | 7.470 (significant at $\mathrm{p}=$ | 0.05) |

Table 15. The number of fluorescent grit marked coho salmon (released in 1981) observed in angler creels and gill nets from Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, June 1981 to April 1982.

|  | Tamarack <br> Falls | Sugarloaf <br> Boat ramp | Cabarton <br> Boat |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Color | Green | Red | Yellow |
| Number released | 115,600 | 115,200 | 118,800 |
| Weighting factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| Angler catch | 23 | 33 | 62 |
| Net catch | 8 | 15 | 8 |
| Grand total | 31 | 48 | 70 |
| Weighted value | 31 | 48 | 68 |
| Percentage | 21.1 | 32.6 | 46.3 |
| x value |  | 14.008 (significant at $p=0.05)$ |  |

Table 16 The number of various types of rainbow trout (released in 1980 and 1981) observed in angler creels and gill nets from Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, May 1980 to April 1982. (RV-spring release; LV-fall release; no dorsal-catchables released in prior years; bent ray-catchables or fingerlings released in prior years; good dorsal-native or fingerling release origin.)


May 1980 to April 1982 was comprised of unmarked fish which were probably released as catchables in prior years (Table 16), as most appeared to have hatchery-deformed dorsal fins (Fig. 13). Unmarked rainbow with "good" dorsal fins which were either native or of fingerling release origin comprised approximately $9 \%$ of the rainbow harvest.

## Spring Versus Fall Release

Catchable rainbow released in the spring provided better catches and catch rates than fall-released catchables (Table 17). After 20 months residence in Cascade Reservoir, 672 spring-released catchables (1980) were returned to the creel, whereas only 275 fall-released fish were taken in the same amount of time. Similarly, after eight months in the reservoir, 203 rainbow from spring 1981 releases were harvested, compared to only 98 fall-released fish (Table 17).

## Total Harvest Estimate

During the winter ice fishery, 26 December 1981 through 23 April 1982, anglers fished an estimated 29,223 hours in Area I and 10,604 hours in Area III (Appendix B-1 and B-2). Angler use was higher in the southern end of the reservoir at 8,590 fishermen compared to 3,182 anglers in the northern end. Very few anglers fish in Area II during the ice fishery.

Yellow perch were harvested in greater numbers than any other fish species in the reservoir (Table 18). From December through January, most perch were harvested in the northern end of the reservoir. After January, however, greater numbers of perch were taken in the southern end of the reservoir. Angler effort was consistently higher in Area I (Appendix B-1 and B-2), and catch rates for perch ranged from 0.03-0.91 fish/hr in Area I, and 0.62-1.89 fish/hr in Area III. An estimated 29,186 perch were harvested from the reservoir during this period, $59 \%$ of which were from Area I.

Rainbow trout were caught in consistently greater numbers from Area I of Cascade Reservoir. Rainbow caught from mid-March through April (Intervals 7-9) constituted $68 \%$ of the fish caught in Area I, and $58 \%$ of the total estimated number of rainbow harvested from the entire reservoir during this period (Table 18). Catch rates in Area I ranged from 0.06-0.31 fish/hr, and from 0.02-0.16 fish/hr in Area III (Appendix B-1 and B-2). A total of 4,662 rainbow were harvested during the census period, $85 \%$ of which came from Area I (Table 8).

## NO DORSAL



BENT RAY


## GOOD DORSAL



Figure 13. The appearance of dorsal fins on rainbow trout classified as "no dorsal", "bent ray", or "good dorsal."

Table 17. Catch and catch rate (fish/hour) of fin clipped catchable rainbow trout released in April and May and September during 1980 and 1981 in Cascade Reservoir.


Table 18. Total estimated catch and percentage of rainbow trout, coho salmon, and yellow perch caught by anglers in Cascade Reservoir, December through April 1982.

| Census Period | Total Estimated Catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rainbow |  | Coho |  | Perch |  |
|  | Area 1 | Area III | Areal | Area III | Area | Area III |
| (1) Dec. 26-Jan. 1 | 105 | 101 | 584 | 14 | 26 | 1011 |
| (2) Jan. 2-Jan. 15 | 223 | 8 | 790 | 0 | 258 | 414 |
| (3) Jan.16-Jan. 29 | 356 | 307 | 518 | 27 | 2461 | 4585 |
| (4) Jan.30-Feb. 12 | 280 | 75 | 235 | 15 | 1398 | 910 |
| (5) Feb.13-Feb. 26 | 118 | 13 | 213 | 2 | 765 | 261 |
| (6) Feb.27-Mar. 12 | 172 | 23 | 193 | 0 | 1630 | 1221 |
| (7) Mar.13-Mar. 26 | 855 | 116 | 656 | 7 | 3657 | 1793 |
| (8) Mar.27-Apr. 9 | 503 | - 3 | 107 | 0 | 1216 | - |
| (9) Apr.10-Apr. 23 | 1364 | 40 | 778 | 0 | 5798 | 1782 |
| Total | 3976 | 686 | 4074 | 65 | 17209 | 11977 |
| Percentage | 10.5 | 1.8 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 45.3 | 31.5 |

Coho salmon were harvested in lowest numbers compared to perch and rainbow (Table 18). Of the estimated 4,139 coho harvested, $98 \%$ were taken from Area I in the southern end of the reservoir. Catch rates for coho ranged from 0.06-0.61 fish/hr in Area I and 0.004-0.02 fish/hr in Area III. Catch rates were highest from December through January (Intervals 1-3) and during March and April (Intervals 7 and 9 , respectively). The majority of coho taken were Age 0+ fish.

## DISCUSSION

## Oxygen Content of the Reservoir

There is a large volume of literature examining oxygen deficits and their measurement. A considerable effort has gone into developing the ability to predict oxygen consumption for different lakes and reservoirs (Hutchinson 1957, Mathias and Barica 1980, Charlton 1930, Hargrave 1972, Cornett and Rigler 1979, Lasenby 1975, Welch et al 1976, Welch 1974, Cornett and Rigler 1980). The oxygen deficit has generally been estimated on an areal basis and has been shown to be related to many factors, including temperature, phosphorous retention, hypolimnetic thickness, mean depth, and basin morphometry. The oxygen deficit does not vary consistently as a function of these factors, though it is generally much higher in productive lakes than unproductive ones. It does appear that within a single body of water, the winter oxygen deficit may be fairly consistent from one year to the next (Barica and Mathias 1979). It is reasonable to assume then, that once the deficit has been estimated, it can be used for accurately estimating future oxygen conditions with a water body.

Cascade Reservoir exhibited a pronounced oxygen deficit during both summer and winter periods of stagnation. Estimates of the summer oxygen deficit (AHOD) of $.66 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day and $.41 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day are well within the range of those reported for eutrophic waters (Hutchinson 1957, Mathias and Barica 1980), but the true oxygen demand may be even higher. The summer estimates based on regression of hypolimnetic oxygen content over time may acutally underestimate the actual oxygen demand in the reservoir. Cascade did not stratify strongly and unstable periods of mixing were common throughout the summer. It is likely that entrainment of oxygen into the hypolimnion occurred throughout the summer, masking actual oxygen consumption. Estimates of oxygen deficits over short periods of stratification were often much greater and the actual summer demand might more closely approximate 1. $0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day (Horner and Rieman 1982).

The estimates of winter oxygen demand differed dramatically in 1981 (0.25 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day $)$ and 1982 ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day $)$. During 1981, there was little snow cover on the reservoir, and significant oxygen production probably occurred under the ice throughout the winter. We consistently observed supersaturation of oxygen just under the ice during winter sampling in 1981. During 1982, there was a much greater snow cover on the reservoir. Measured snow depths exceeded the 10 cm threshold that should eliminate any oxygen production due to photosynthesis ( Barica and Mathias 1979) for approximately one month. A consistent oxygen deficit of $.48 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day was observed throughout that period, and is probably an accurate estimate of the actual winter oxygen demand in Cascade Reservoir.

Development of the Model
Barica and Mathias (1979) used winter oxygen deficits to predict winterkill risk in lakes. Their model used oxygen content at freeze-up ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ), and the estimated oxygen deficit ( $902 / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ day ) to predict the number of days to completely anoxic conditions:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{g} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \text { day }}=\text { days }
$$

Initially, our model was similar, incorporating only reservoir volume and the oxygen deficit to predict the time to anoxic conditions (Horner and Rieman 1982). We originally assumed that sediment oxygen demand was the only significant component of the winter oxygen deficit. However, our data indicates that water column oxygen consumption may contribute up to $22 \%$ of the total oxygen demand and that net oxygen input due to tributary inflow may reduce the deficit by as much as $10 \%$. Because of the significant contribution of both tributary inflow and water column demand, it was necessary to incorporate both into the model. Recent work ( Charlton 1980, Cornett and Rigler 1979, Mathias and Barica 1980, Welch et al 1976) has shown that oxygen deficits calculated on an areal basis (as ours) may vary with mean depth of the water body. The inclusion of water column demand ( which normally was considered insignificant) may explain that phenonmenon. Since the water column demand calculated on an areal basis declines with mean depth of the reservoir, and since we are considering a fluctuating rather than a stable body of water, it must be included.

Barica and Mathias (1979) also did not incorporate winter conditions in their model, using it only as a tool for prediction of relative winterkill potential. In the Cascade area, winter severity can vary considerably. As an example, snow and ice conditions in 1981 were not adequate to result in elimination of primary production and measurement of the oxygen deficit to quantify the actual risk of a winterkill. On Cascade, it was necessary to incorporate a winter severity index to predict the frequency of winterkill conditions. Barica and Mathias (1979) indicated that 10 cm of snow was adequate to eliminate oxygen production and result in stagnation. Our 1982 data (Fig. 7)
show that the maximum oxygen deficit occurred only during the period when snow depth on the reservoir exceeded 10 cm , confirming their observations. Snow conditions at the BOR weather station did not coincide with our measurements of snow on the reservoir, but the two did show a stong positive correlation. The data from the weather station can be used to estimate past snow conditions on the reservoir. Assuming that climatic conditions during the last 31 years can be used to estimate the frequency of given winter conditions at the station, the data should also provide a useful indication of the frequency of stagnation conditions on Cascade Reservoir.

Initially, we assumed that total anoxia was necessary for winterkill. Obviously, some oxygen limitation may occur at higher concentrations. Percids and ictalurids have been reported to survive oxygen concentrations as low as $0.7 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ (Moss and Scott 1961). Other authors (Burdick et al 1957, Moore 1942) report DO levels in the range of 0.7 to $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ to be lethal for yellow perch. Minimum oxygen requirements for salmonids are generally considered to be $5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, and anything below saturation may cause some adverse effects on growth, reproductive activity, or other physiological functions (Wedemeyer et al 1976). It is likely, then, that significant damage may occur to the Cascade fish community at DO levels well above anoxia. It is difficult to predict the actual level where severe limitation may occur, particularly since vulnerability may vary by species. Since oxygen depletion tends to develop from the bottom of the reservoir, the ability of fish to move to oxygenated waters and their actual oxygen requirements will dictate the critical level of oxygen depeltion. During our summer sampling, we saw an obvious avoidance of anoxic waters by perch, the fish moving inshore as oxygen in deep water was depleted. Salmonids were generally found higher in the water column where the greatest oxygen concentrations exist. During winter stagnation, it is likely that all species would be found in the upper strata of the water column where maximum oxygen concentrations would be found. For that reason, we estimated the final minimum oxygen content of the reservoir to be that level where near-surface oxygen concentrations would definitely be considered limiting. For salmonids we assumed that to be $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Since other species are more tolerant, the prediction of "oxygen limiting" conditions by the model should be considered in reference to the salmonid populations.


#### Abstract

Winterkill Risk

The final product of the modeling is the development of an estimated risk for oxygen limitation at different winter storage levels, with the objective of recommending a minimum conservation pool. Our analysis indicates that a significant risk (> 12\% chance) may occur when the reservoir is held below a pool level of $1,466 \mathrm{~m}(290,000$ acre feet) during the period of winter stagnation. The analysist assumes that the reservoir elevation is stable and remains at that level for an extended period of time. For example, at an elevation of $1,466 \mathrm{~m}$, it would require between 90 and 100 days for the reservoir to reach limiting conditions. The BOR does not have a standard operation procedure for maintenance of the winter pool level, and pool elevation has rarely been held stable during the period of winter stagnation. In light of fairly erratic changes in reservoir volume during this period, a minimum pool reservation alone is not too meaningful since the reservoir might be drawn below an elevation of 1,466, but not for a period of time approaching that necessary for oxygen limitation. Any recommendation of a minimum conservation pool should also incorporate a time restriction. Figure 12 incorporates the estimates of risk and the time necessary to reach oxygen limitation at given pool elevations (and volumes). For example, there is a $40 \%$ risk of oxygen limitations at an elevation of $1,464 \mathrm{~m}$ (192,000 acre feet). The lower graph shows that it would require approximately 80 days to reach those conditions. An appropriate recommendation is that during winter stagnation ( December-March), the reservoir not be allowed to drop below $1,464 \mathrm{~m}$ for a period of time approaching 80 days. Similarly, to reduce the risk below $12 \%$, the reservoir should not be allowed to drop below an elevation of $1,466 \mathrm{~m}$ (290,000 acre feet) for a period approaching 90-100 days.


## Summerkill Risk

The development of a summer oxygen deficit during the period of thermal stratification did not appear to pose a serious potential for summerkill on Cascade in 1980 or 1981. A substantial amount of habitat was eliminated from use by anoxic and low oxygen conditions, but it appeared that all species were able to respond to declinging oxygen by moving to areas of higher concentration. Salmonid habitat was further restricted by warm surface temperatures. Although surface temperatures did occasionally reach 24-25 C (often considered lethal to salmonids [ Scott and Crossman 1973]), the extent and duration of these conditions was not enough to cause a kill of salmonids during the summer of 1980 or 1981. The potential for summerkill due to normal stagnation could be accentuated by a low summer pool and/or a long, hot summer.

The serious potential for summerkill in Cascade Reservoir lies in the apparent high productivity and potential for massive algal blooms. The collapse of algal blooms can result in a massive oxygen demand and complete oxygen depletion in a very short period of time (Barica 1975, Papst et al 1980). It appears that a period of thermal instability (mixing) coincidental with a bloom collapse is necessary to create a summerkill situation (Papst et al 1980). We did not observe conditions of this nature in Cascade during 1980 or 1981, but it is obvious that each of the prerequisites necessary to develop those conditions exists within the reservoir. Reported nutrient levels, particularly phosphorous, are high in Cascade (Clark and Wroten 1976, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation 1975), and large algal blooms have occurred in some years. Our observations of thermal stratification also show the reservoir to be relatively unstable. Occasionally, the conditions for a massive algae bloom and its collapse and mixing will occur simultaneously. Summer fish kills have been observed. The potential for more frequent and massive summer fish kills is also present. Increased nutrient loading will increase the frequency of algal blooms. A high summer pool could reduce problems by diluting nutrient concentrations and providing a high initial oxygen volume, but the real problem lies in excessive nutrient loading. Management of nutrient sources to the reservoir provide the best means of reducing the threat of summer losses.

## Cascade Reservoir Fishery

Cascade's fishery at present is mostly supported by a self-sustaining yellow perch population and hatchery releases of rain-bow trout and coho salmon. Limnological conditions of the reservoir favor the continuation of yellow perch and nongame species and make salmonid survival marginal at times.

Coho released at Cabarton and the Cascade City boat ramps showed the best apparent survival of the six groups of coho fingerlings released during 1980 and 1981. Reasons for the better survival are likely food and/or predator related. The southern end of the reservoir is typically 1-2 C warmer than the north end, and may support a greater zooplankton population. Also, the squawfish population in the south end (based upon catch rates) is lowest of any other area of the reservoir during May and June when coho fingerlings are released.

The rainbow trout fishery appears to be almost totally supported by releases of catchable-size fish. Virtually no rainbow released as fingerlings are recovered. The poor survival of fingerling rainbow compared to similar-sized coho fingerlings released at similar times and places suggests some behavioral difference between rainbow and coho fingerlings. Catchable rainbows released in the spring provided better catches and catch rates than fall-released catchables. Spring-released fish have the advantage of adjusting from a hatchery environment to the lacustrine environment during a period of mild water temperatures and an abundant food supply prior to the onset of harsh winter conditions.

An estimated 12,000 anglers fished 40,000 hours on Cascade Reservoir during the 1981-82 winter ice fishery. Yellow perch were harvested in greater numbers than any other fish species. A seasonal horizontal displacement was observed with yellow perch, rainbow trout, and coho salmon. Generally, all three species were harvested in greater numbers in the south end of Cascade Reservoir.
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APPENDIX

Appendix A-1. Total inflow into Cascade Reservoir (acre feet) for water years 1960 through 1981. Underlined values indicate monthily minimum and maximum levels for the 2l-year period.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { (Oct.1-Sept. 30) } \end{gathered}$ | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80-81 | 16,730 | 34,620 | 72,900 | 44,940 | 52,150 | 48,960 | 81,050 | 161,950 | 151,290 | 21,010 | 12,690 | 21,610 | 719,690 |
| 79-80 | 19,830 | 20,320 | 21,580 | 43,680 | 33,830 | 36,960 | 109,860 | 257,670 | 152,300 | 45,740 | 13,600 | 43,400 | 798,770 |
| 78-79 | 27,570 | 26,100 | 21,890 | 18,770 | 19,420 | 36,080 | 60,370 | 155,950 | 76,380 | 18,700 | 23,500 | 10,800 | 495,530 |
| 77-78 | 19,140 | 26,020 | 60,660 | 37,310 | 31,930 | 59,600 | 138,860 | 187,900 | 230,800 | 37,650 | 27,800 | 31,290 | 933,960 |
| 76-77 | 23,710 | 13,860 | 12,430 | 14,000 | 10,650 | 14,260 | 29,570 | 34,340 | 26,820 | 13,840 | 20,390 | 14,580 | 229,950 |
| 75-76 | 52,470 | 45,940 | 61,000 | 44,070 | 31,520 | 30,200 | 106,930 | 246,260 | 159,230 | 49,270 | 37,000 | 28,700 | 802,590 |
| 74-75 | 18,730 | 17,930 | 21,530 | 24,200 | 23,920 | 32,690 | 45,330 | 137,890 | 253,660 | 106,240 | 34,400 | 28,200 | 749,770 |
| 73-74 | 24,410 | 96,630 | 61,430 | 111,630 | 203,500 | 91,000 | 130,600 | 187,820 | 411,600 | 115,400 | 14,900 | 31,390 | 1,480,310 |
| 72-73 | 16,390 | 23,020 | 37,960 | -34,640 | 18,920 | 30,950 | 41,040 | 130,110 | 79,320 | 3,130 | 900 | 16,100 | 437,980 |
| 71-72 | 14,920 | 20,790 | 28,260 | 35,410 | 35,540 | 87,100 | 76,450 | 188,250 | 304,980 | 23,940 | 15,700 | 17,290 | 853,630 |
| 70-71 | 28,020 | 48,570 | 51,390 | 51,780 | 37,100 | 40,000 | 126,200 | 286,410 | 343,000 | 75,270 | 12,250 | 21,530 | 1,121,520 |
| 69-70 | 20,850 | 15,410 | 28,180 | 61,760 | 33,000 | 37,870 | 56,020 | 192,190 | 324,500 | 44,520 | 15,300 | 25,060 | 354,660 |
| 68-69 | 26,600 | 42,320 | 35,230 | 50,240 | 23,840 | 20,000 | 142,230 | 265,400 | 162,480 | 22,650 | 6,200 | 19,700 | 816,890 |
| $\cdots$ ¢ 67-68 | 37,950 | 27,540 | 26,280 | 24,440 | 40,340 | 52,270 | 52,250 | 130,790 | 146,470 | 4,790 | 13,470 | 21,300 | 578,390 |
| 66-67 | 16,900 | 26,140 | 22,130 | 29,470 | 24,270 | 36,550 | 62,590 | 164,440 | 298,950 | 54,480 | 9,900 | 15,000 | 751,910 |
| 65-66 | 26,810 | 26,050 | 20,420 | 30,180 | 15,490 | 31,310 | 83,770 | 146,940 | 81,950 | 7,100 | 2,500 | 4,700 | 482,220 |
| 64-65 | 24,470 | 24,790 | 97,430 | 59,570 | 32,200 | 28,600 | 117,100 | 233,370 | 292,800 | 47,430 | 31,190 | 22,600 | 1,011,550 |
| 63-64 | 25,740 | 33,600 | 20,650 | 24,960 | 18,290 | 25,610 | 64,200 | 146,600 | 289,400 | 43,390 | 7,600 | 23,900 | 723,940 |
| 62-63 | 89,640 | 72,470 | 55,760 | 30,080 | 76,740 | 45,900 | 64,610 | 190,500 | 176,700 | 15,650 | 3,000 | 13,400 | 834,450 |
| 61-62 | 12,700 | 19,390 | 20,220 | 16,410 | 22,920 | 24,980 | 122,340 | 177,380 | 182,960 | $14,160$ | 9,700 | $8,460$ | $631,620$ |
| 60-61 | 15,140 | 30,070 | 18,070 | 11,800 | 43,560 | 45,080 | 55,730 | 167,420 | 176,960 | $\ldots$ | 10,800 | 16,200 | 590,830 |
| Hean | 26,630 | 32,930 | 37,880 | 38,060 | 33,200 | 40,750 | 84,390 | 180,460 | 206,070 | 39,250 | 15,390 | 20,750 | 761,630 |
| SD | 16,940 | 19,880 | 22,590 | 22,100 | 40,260 | 19,300 | 35,260 | 56,460 | 101,850 | 33,250 | 10,270 | 8,880 | 264,990 |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 12,700 \\ \text { to } \\ 89,600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,860 \\ \text { to } \\ 96,630 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,430 \\ \text { to } \\ 97,430 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,800 \\ \text { to } \\ 111,630 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,650 \\ \text { to } \\ 203,500 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 29,570 \\ \text { to } \\ 142,230 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,340 \\ \text { to } \\ 286,410 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,820 \\ \text { to } \\ 411,600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { to } \\ 115,400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 900 \\ \text { to } \\ 37,000 \end{gathered}$ | 4,700 to 43,400 | $\begin{gathered} 228,950 \\ \text { to } \\ 1,480,310 \end{gathered}$ |

Appendix A-2. Morphometric characteristic of Cascade Reservoir, Idaho.

| $\frac{\text { Reservoir Elevation }}{\mathrm{ft}}$ |  | Area |  | Volume |  | Strata volume |  | Area |  | $\frac{\text { Volume }}{\text { Area }}(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Acres | Hectares | Acre <br> ft | $\mathrm{ml}^{3} \times 10^{6}$ | $m 3 \times 10^{6}$ | Relative Volumes/Strata | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \text { Between } \\ & \text { Strata } \end{aligned}$ | Relative 8ottom Area/strata |  |
| 4,831.4 | 1,473 | 28,280 | 11,445 | 801,142 | 988.29 |  |  |  |  | 8.63 |
| 4,828.2 | 1,472 | 26,650 | 10,785 | 711,040 | 877.14 | 111.15 | 158.8 | 660 | 4.29 | 8.13 |
| 4,824.9 | 1,471 | 25,000 | 10,118 | 626,321 | 772.63 | 104.51 | 149.3 | 667 | 4.33 | 7.63 |
| 4,821.6 | 1,470 | 23, 590 | 9,308 | 547,584 | 675.50 | 97.13 | 138.8 | 810 | 5.26 | 7.26 |
| 4,819.3 | 1,469 | 21,180 | 8,572 | 475,113 | 586.10 | 89.40 | 127.7 | 736 | 4.78 | 6.84 |
| 4,815.0 | 1,468 | 19,370 | 7,839 | 408,596 | 504.05 | 82.06 | 117.2 | 733 | 4.76 | 6.43 |
| 4,811.8 | 1,467 | 18,000 | 7,285 | 347,296 | 428.43 | 75.62 | 108.0 | 554 | 3.60 | 5.88 |
| 4,808.5 | 1,466 | 16,500 | 6,678 | 290,702 | 358.61 | 69.82 | 99.7 | 607 | 3.94 | 5.37 |
| 4,805.2 | 1,465 | 15,000 | 6,071 | 239,028 | 294.87 | 63.75 | 91.1 | 607 | 3.94 | 4.86 |
| 4,801.9 | 1,464 | 13,500 | 5,464 | 192,275 | 237.19 | 57.68 | 82.4 | 607 | 3.94 | 4.34 |
| 4,798.6 | 1,463 | 11,800 | 4,776 | 150,770 | 185.99 | 51.20 | 73.1 | 688 | 4.47 | 3.89 |
| 4,795.4 | 1,462 | 10,100 | 4,088 | 114,843 | 141.67 | 44.32 | 63.3 | 688 | 4.47 | 3.47 |
| 4,792.1 | 1,461 | 8,400 | 3,400 | 84,492 | 104.23 | 37.44 | 53.5 | 688 | 4.47 | 3.07 |
| 4,788.8 | 1,460 | 6,600 | 2,671 | 59,895 | 73.88 | 30.36 | 43.4 | 729 | 4.73 | 2.77 |
| 4,785.5 | 1,459 | 5,100 | 2,064 | 40,693 | 50.20 | 23.68 | 33.8 | 607 | 3.94 | 2.43 |
| 4,782.2 | 1,458 | 3,850 | 1,558 | 26,013 | 32.09 | 18.11 | 25.9 | 506 | 3.29 | 2.06 |
| 4,779.0 | 1,457 | 2,800 | 1,133 | 14,984 | 18.49 | 13.61 | 19.4 | 425 | 2.76 | 1.63 |
| 4,775.7 | 1,456 | 1,800 | 729 | 7,437 | 9.18 | 9.31 | 13.3 | 404 | 2.62 | 1.26 |
| 4,772.4 | 1,455 | 1,000 | 406 | 2,837 | 3.50 | 5.68 | 8.1 | 323 | 2.10 | 0.86 |
| 4,769 | 1,454 | 380 | 154 | 567 | 0.70 | 2.80 | 4.0 | 252 | 1.64 | 0.45 |
| 4,766 | 1,453 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.70 | 1.0 | 154 | 1.00 | 0.00 |

Appendix A-3. The average, maximum, and minimum monthly water storage levels (acre feet) in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, for water years 1972 through 1982.


Appendix A-3 (Continued).

| Water year (10ct-30 Sep) | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71-72 Ave | 466,026 | 451,287 | 421,810 | 360,252. | 308,652 | 263,794 | 220,007 | 283,306 | 569,427 | 625,619 | 527,463 | 444,323 |
| Max | 488,600 | 454,300 | 444,500 | 339,900 | 333,100 | 291,300 | 224,200 | 392,300 | 639,000 | 642,900 | 530,300 | 480,400 |
| Min | 448,200 | 445,800 | 391,900 | 335,200 | 290,200 | 227,300 | 216,100 | 218,200 | 405,000 | 583,300 | 483,400 | 506,800 |
| Grand Average | 350,970 | 368,627 | 378,194 | 379,324 | 367,182 | 348,984 | 345,135 | 416,604 | 552,705 | 584,258 | 509,778 | 427,748 |
| Average Maximum | 385,873 | 379,672 | 396,033 | 397,147 | 384,826 | 372,111 | 373,644 | 486,346 | 601,714 | 604,913 | 558,422 | 471,227 |
| Average Minimum | 327,480 | 356,536 | 333,889 | 364,834 | 351,005 | 328,199 | 326,798 | 363,411 | 484,129 | 555,460 | 474,516 | 391,085 |

Appendix B-1. Catch rates (fish/hour), estimated angler use (numbers of fishermen), estimated angler hours, and total estimated catch of rainbow trout and coho salmon taken by anglers from Area I and Area III of Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, from December 1981 to April 1982.

| Census Period |  |  | Area 111 |  | Rainbow trout |  |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area |  |  |  | Area I |  | Area 111 |  | Area I |  | Area III |  |
|  | Angler Angler |  | Angler Angler |  | Catch Rate | Total Harvest | Catch Rate | Total Harvest | Catch Rate | Total Harvest | Catch Rate | Total Harvest |
|  | Use | Hours | Use | Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 958.5 |  | 628.2 | 0.110 |  | 0.160 |  | 0.609 |  | 0.023 |  |
| (1) Dec.26-Jan. 1 | - |  | - |  |  | 105 |  | 101 |  | 584 |  | 14 |
| (2) Jan.2-Jan. 15 | 963 | 1926.0 | 73 | 219.0 | 0.160 | 223 | 0.037 | 8 | 0.410 | 790 | - | 0 |
| (3) Jan.16-Jan. 29 | 1408 | 6476.0 | 688 | 2977.0 | 0.055 | 356 | 0.103 | 307 | 0.080 | 518 | 0.009 | 27 |
| (4) Jan.30-Feb. 12 | 1626 | 3789.8 | 612 | 1468.8 | 0.074 | 280 | 0.051 | 75 | 0.062 | 235 | 0.010 | 15 |
| (5) Feb.13-Feb. 26 | 382 | 1234.0 | 32 | 186.0 | 0.096 | 118 | 0.071 | 13 | 0.173 | 213 | 0.009 | 2 |
| (6) Feb.27-Mar. 12 | 395 | 1791.0 | 256 | 1196.0 | 0.096 | 172 | 0.019 | 23 | 0.108 | 193 | - | 0 |
| (7) Mar.13-Mar. 26 | 729 | 4623.8 | 613 | 1839.0 | 0.185 | 855 | 0.063 | 116 | 0.142 | 656 | 0.004 | 7 |
| (8) Mar.27-Apr. 9 | 1571 | 1602.6 | 73 | 87.2 | 0.314 | 503 | 0.032 | 3 | 0.067 | 107 | - | 0 |
| (9) Apr.10-Apr. 23 | 1516 | 6821.6 | 835 | 2002.8 | 0.20 | 1364 | 0.020 | 40 | 0.114 | 778 | - | 0 |
| Total | 8590 | 29223.3 | 3182 | 10604.0 | - | 3976 | - | 686 | - | 4074 | - | 65 |
| Mean | 1074 | 3247.0 | 393 | 1178.2 | 0.143 | 442 | 0.062 | 76 | 0.196 | 453 | 0.006 | 7 |

Appendix B-2. Catch rates (fish/hour), estimated angler use (numbers of fishermen), estimated angler hours, and total estimated catch of yellow perch, squawfish, and sucker taken by anglers from Area I and Area III of Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, from December 1901 to April 1982.

| Census Period | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Area }}{\text { Angler }} \\ & \text { Use } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{\text { Angler }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { Angler } \\ & \text { Use } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Angler } \\ \text { Hours } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yellow Perch |  |  |  | Squawfish |  |  |  | Sucker |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area |  | Area III |  | Area I |  | Area III |  | Area I |  | Area III |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Catch Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Harvest } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Catch Rate | Total Harvest | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Harvest } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Total Harvest | Catch Rate H | Total Harvest | Catch Rate | Total Harvest |
| (1) Dec.26-Jan. 1 | - | 958.5 | - | 628.2 | 0.027 | 26 | 1.610 | 1011 | - | - | 0.020 | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| (2) Jan.2-Jan. 15 | 963 | 1926.0 | 73 | 219.0 | 0.134 | 258 | 1.889 | 414 | 0.003 | 6 | 0.037 | 8 | 0.003 | 6 | - | - |
| (3) Jan.16-Jan. 29 | 1408 | 6476.0 | 688 | 2977.0 | 0.380 | 2461 | 1.54 | 4585 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (4) Jan. 30-Feb. 12 | 1626 | 3789.8 | 612 | 1468.8 | 0.369 | 1398 | 0.619 | 910 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (5) Feb.13-Feb. 26 | 382 | 1234.0 | 32 | 186.0 | 0.620 | 765 | 1.404 | 261 | 0.011 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (6) Feb. $27-\mathrm{Mar} .12$ | 395 | 1791.0 | 256 | 1196.0 | 0.910 | 1630 | 1.021 | 1221 | 0.004 | 7 | 0.009 | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| (7) Mar.13-Mar. 26 | 729 | 4623.8 | 613 | 1839.0 | 0.791 | 3657 | 0.975 | 1793 | 0.019 | 38 | 0.008 | 15 | - | - | 0.001 | 2 |
| (8) Mar. 27-Apr. 9 | 1571 | 1602.6 | 73 | 87.2 | 0.759 | 1216 | - | - | 0.007 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (9) Apr.10-Apr. 23 | 1516 | 6821.6 | 835 | 2002.8 | 0.850 | 5798 | 0.890 | 1782 | 0.010 | 68 | 0.050 | 100 | - | - | 0.090 | 180 |
| Total | 8590 | 29223.3 | 3182 | 10604.0 | - | 17209 | - | 11977 | - | 194 | - | 146 | - | 6 | - | 182 |
| Mean | 1074 | 3247.0 | 398 | 1178.2 | 0.538 | 1912 | 1.105 | 1331 | 0.006 | 22 | 0.014 | 16 | 0.0003 | 3 | 0.010 | 20 |

Appendix C-1. Location descriptions for creel census Areas I, II and III on Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, and the description of various marked and unmarked groups of rainbow trout and coho salmon released into Cascade Reservoir during 1980 and 1981. These descriptions apply to all tables in Appendix C.

Area I - From the south end of the reservoir north to a transect running due west from Crown Point to French Creek,

Area II - From the Crown Point/French Creek transect north to a transect running due west from the Sugarloaf Boatramp.

Area III - From the Sugarloaf Boatramp transect north.
LV - Left ventral fin clipped catchable rainbow released at Tamarack Falls and Sugarloaf Boatramp in September.

RV - Right ventral fin clipped catchable rainbow released at Tamarack Falls and Lake Fork Creek Bridges in May.

No dorsal - Catchable rainbow released in prior years.
Bent ray - Rainbow released as fingerlings or catchables in prior years. Good dorsal -
Rainbow of either native or fingerling release origin.

Green - Fluorescent grit marked rainbow and coho fingerlings released at Tamarack Falls.
Red - Fluorescent grit marked rainbow and coho fingerlings released at Sugarloaf Boa tramp.
Yellow - Fluorescent grit marked rainbow and coho fingerlings released at City and Cabarton Boatramps.
Unknown mark - Fingerling coho released in 1980 and 1981 with no visible mark. No mark - Coho released as fingerlings in prior years.

Appendix C-2. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, May 1980.

| Area fished | No. of Anglers | Hours fished | Catchable |  | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LV | RV | Green | Red | Yellow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0ther } \\ & \text { Bent } \\ & \text { ray } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { nark } \end{aligned}$ |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 22 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Bank | 165 | 323 | $\cdots$ |  | - | - |  | $=$ | - 2 | $\underline{-}$ | - | $-$ | - | - | 35 |
| Total | 187 | 364 | $=$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | $-$ | --3 | $-$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 38 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 008 |  |  |  |  |  | . 10 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 22.9 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 19 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Bank | 6 | 31 | - |  | - |  | $=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Fish/hr | 25 | 85 |  | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Bank | $\stackrel{99}{103}$ | 243 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | $\underline{21}$ |
| Total | 103 | 249 | - | 42 | - | - | - | - | - - ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | - | $-$ | - | - | $\underline{23}$ |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 17 |  |  |  |  | . 012 | . 004 |  |  |  |  | . 092 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 54.5 |  |  |  |  | 3.9 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  | 29.9 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 45 | 101 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Bank | $\frac{270}{315}$ | 597 |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | 1 | - | $-$ | - | - | 56 |
| Total | 315 | 698 |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | $\because$ | $\square$ | - | 61 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 060 |  |  |  |  | . 009 | . 001 |  |  |  |  | . 087 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 23.2 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 89 | 116 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 009 |  |  |  |  |  | . 009 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other $/$ / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| Bank | 95 | 6 | 16 | 2 | - |
| Total | 95 | 6 | 22 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 26 | . 016 | . 06 | . 005 |  |
| Percentage | 57.3 | 3.6 | 13.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| Bank | 7 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Total | 7 | 1 | 12 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 082 | . 012 | . 14 |  |  |
| Percentage | 35.0 | 5.0 | 60.0 |  |  |
| Area III |  | - |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 1 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| Total | $T$ | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 004 | . 024 | . 004 | - | - |
| Percentage | 1.3 | 7.8 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | 18 | - | - |
| Bank | 103 | 13 | 17 | 2 | - |
| Total | 103 | 13 | 35 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 15 | . 019 | . 050 | . 003 |  |
| Percentage | 39.1 | 4.9 | 13.3 | 0.8 |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 164 | - | 13 | - | 19 WF |
| Fish/hr | 1.41 |  | . 11 |  | . 16 |
| Percentage | 82.8 |  | 6.6 |  | 9.6 |

a/ $W F=$ Whitefish

Appendix C-3. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and parcentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and parcen
and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, June 1530.


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | 0ther ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 867 | 18 | 1 | - | - |
| Bank | 133 | 22 | 25 | 7 | - |
| Total | 1000 | 40 | 26 | 7 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 62 | . 025 | . 016 | . 004 |  |
| Percentage | 86.6 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.6 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 377 | 6 | 7 | - | - |
| Bank | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Total | 381 | 12 | 9 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 54 | . 017 | . 013 | . 003 |  |
| Percentage | 74.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Bank | 61 | 4 | 57 | 9 | - |
| Total | 61 | 4 | 60 | 9 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 13 | . 009 | . 13 | . 020 |  |
| Percentage | 28.2 | 1.8 | 27.7 | 4.1 |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1124 | 24 | 11 | - | - |
| Bank | 198 | 32 | 84 | 18 | - |
| Total | 1322 | 56 | 95 | 18 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 48 | . 020 | . 034 | . 006 |  |
| Percentage | 75.0 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 1.0 |  |
| Spillway | - |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 1281 | 2 | 17 | 26 | 2CT, 61 WF |
| Fish/hr | 1.29 | . 002 | . 017 | . 026 | . $002, .061$ |
| Percentage | 83.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.1, 3.9 |

$\underline{\underline{a} / W F}=$ Whitefish, CT = Cutthroat trout

Appendix C-4. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, July 1980.

| Area fished | No. of Anglers | Hours fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  | Fingerling |  |  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LV | RV | Green | Red | Yellow | No dorsal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bent } \\ & \text { ray } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { mark } \end{aligned}$ |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 443 | 1330 | - | , 20 | - | $\sim$ | - | 4 | 33 | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 12 |
| Bank | 741 | 1483 | - | -12 | $\sim$ | - | - | 2 | 11 | - | - | - | - | $-$ | 14 |
| Total | $\overline{1184}$ | 2813 | - | 32 | - | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | - 6 | 44 | - | - |  | 4 | $-$ | $\underline{26}$ |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | .011 |  |  |  | . 002 | $.016$ |  |  |  | . 002 |  | . 009 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 1.3 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.8 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 542 | 1946 | - | 44 | - | - | - | 8 | 61 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | 32 |
| Bank | 81 | 100 | - | - | - | $\sim$ |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 623 | $\overline{2046}$ | - | 44 | - | - | - | 8 | -61 | - 4 | -2 |  | 2 | - | 32 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 022 |  |  |  | . 004 | . 030 | . 002 |  |  | . 004 |  | . 016 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.3 |  | $\stackrel{1.3}{ }$ |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 133 | 400 | - | 21 | - |  |  | - | 5 | 1 | - | - |  | -' | 2 |
| Bank Total | $\frac{805}{938}$ | $\frac{1267}{1667}$ |  | - $-\frac{42}{63}$ |  |  | $\sim$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 3 | 1 | - | - | - - | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ -4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total Fish/hr | 938 | 1667 |  | 63 .050 | - | - | - | . 0.1 | 8 006 | -3 -003 | 1 | - | -- | $\square$ | $-6$ |
| Percentage |  |  |  | - 5.7 |  |  |  | -001 | .006 0.7 | -003 |  |  | .001 0.1 |  | .005 0.5 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1118 | 3676 | - | 85 | - | - | - | 12 | 99 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | - | 46 |
| Bank | $\frac{1627}{2745}$ | $\frac{2850}{6526}$ | - | 54 | - | $\cdots$ | - | 3 | 14 | -3 | 1 |  | - | - | 18 |
| Total <br> Fish/hr | 2745 | 6526 |  | 139 | - | - | - | 15 | 113 | $-8$ | 3 | $\overline{6}$ | $-6$ | - | 64 |
| Fish/hr Percentage |  |  |  | .021 |  |  |  | . 002 | . 017 | . 001 |  |  | . 002 |  | . 010 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.9 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 682 | 954 | - | 11 | - | - | $\cdots$ - | 3 | 13 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | .012 |  |  |  | . 003 | . 014 | .001 |  |  |  | - | .003 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |

Area fished Perch Bullhead Squawfish Sucker Othera/
$\frac{\text { Area I }}{\text { Boat }}$

Boat
Bank
Total
Fish/hr
Percentage

| 2868 | 13 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 199 | -22 |
| 3067 | 35 |
| 1.09 | .012 |
| 93.7 | 1.1 |


| 9 |
| ---: |
| 42 |
| 51 |
| .018 |
| 1.6 |


| - |
| ---: |
| 4 |
| 4 |
| .007 |
| 0.1 |

$\begin{array}{r}- \\ - \\ \hline-\end{array}$
Area II

| Boa |  | 2264 |  | 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank |  | 44 |  | 21 |
| Total | 2308 | 34 | -10 |  |
| Fish/hr | 1.13 | .017 | 31 |  |
| Percentage | 91.2 | 1.4 | .015 |  |
|  |  |  | 1.2 |  |


| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat | 399 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Bank | 512 | 30 | 77 | 5 | 1 BK |
| Total | 911 | 30 | 81 | 7 | 1BK |
| Fish/hr | . 72 | . 024 | . 064 | . 006 | . 001 |
| Percentage | 81.8 | 2.7 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 |


| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat | 5531 | 24 | 34 | 2 | - |  |
| Bank | 755 | 76 | 129 | 9 | 1 BK |  |
| Total | 6286 | 100 | 163 | 11 | T |  |
| Fish/hr | . 96 | . 015 | . 025 | . 002 | - |  |
| Percentage | 91.0 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.2 | - |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 1428 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 12WF | 2PKS |
| Fish/hr | 1.50 | . 001 | . 019 | . 007 | . 013 | . 002 |
| Percentage | 95.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 |

a/ $B K=$ Brooktrout, WF $=$ Whitefish, PKS $=$ Pumpkinseed Sunfish

Appendix C-5. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, Auqust. 1980.


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1127 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| Bank | 138 | 20 | 16 | 1 | - |
| Total | 1265 | 25 | 18 | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.25 | . 025 | . 013 | . 001 |  |
| Percentage | 95.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 2611 | 7 | 15 | 1 | IPKS |
| Bank | 17 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| Total | 2628 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 1 |
| Fish/hr | 1.87 | . 006 | . 014 | . 001 | . 001 |
| Percentage | 97.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 | - | - |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 233 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Bank | 141 | 10 | 6 | - | - |
| Total | 374 | 10 | 9 | $\square$ | - |
| Fish/hr | . 41 | .011 | . 010 |  |  |
| Percentage | 81.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 3971 | 12 | 20 | 1 | IPKS |
| Bank | 296 | 31 | 26 | 1 | - |
| Total | 4267 | 43 | 46 | 2 | 1 |
| Fish/hr | 1.28 | . 013 | . 014 | . 001 | - |
| Percentage | 95.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - | - |
| Spillway | - |  |  |  | IRSS |
| Bank | 361 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 WF, IPKS |
| Fish/hr | 1.71 |  | . 009 | . 005 | . 005 |
| Percentage | 97.8 |  | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

a/ PKS = Pumpkinseed sunfish, WF = Whitefish, RSS = Redside shiner

Appendix C-6. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, September 1980.

| Area fished | No. of Anglers | Hours fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  | Fingerling |  |  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LV | RV | Green | Red | Yellow | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bent } \\ & \text { ray } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { mark } \end{aligned}$ |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 113 | 342 | 3 | 113 | - | - | - | 3 | 6 | 1 | 8 | - | 9 | 6 | - |
| Bank | 293 | 960 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | 9 | 25 | $-3$ |  |  |  | - | - |
| Total | 406 | 1302 | 4 | 19 | - | - | - | 12 | 31 | 4 | -8 | -- |  | 6 | $-$ |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 003 | . 014 |  |  |  | . 009 | . 024 | . 003 |  |  | . 018 |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  | 1.0 | 4.6 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 7.5 | 1.0 |  |  | 5.6 |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 213 | 604 | - | 17 | - | - | - | 2 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Bank | 45 | 73 | 12 |  | - | - | - | - 1 | - 4 | - |  | -- | - | - | - |
| Total | 258 | 677 | 12 | 17 | - | - | - | -3 | -13 | ] | - 3 |  | $-3$ | - 2 | 5 |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 018 | . 025 |  |  |  | . 004 | . 019 | . 001 |  |  | . 015 |  | . 007 |
| Percentage |  |  | 1.6 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |  | 1.3 |  | 0.6 |
| Area 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 68 | 178 | I | 7 | , | - - | - | A | 9 | 4 | - | - | - | 1. | 8 |
| Bank | 260 | 768 | 51 | $-17$ | $-2$ | - | - | - 4 | $\underline{25}$ | $\underline{9}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | - $\frac{8}{16}$ |
| Total | 328 | 946 | 51 | -24 | ${ }^{2}$ | - | $-$ | 4 | 34 | 13 |  |  | $\sim$ | $-1$ | - 16 |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 054 | . 025 | . 002 |  |  | . 004 | . 036 | . 014 |  |  | . 001 |  | . 017 |
| Percentage |  |  | 14.1 . | 6.6 | 0.6 |  |  | 1.1 | 9.4 | 3.6 |  |  | 0.3 |  | 4.4 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 394 | 1124 | 3 | 37 |  | - | - | 5 | 24 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 13 |
| Bank | 598 | $\frac{1801}{2925}$ | -67 |  |  |  |  |  | - 54 | $\underline{-12}$ |  |  | - | $\underline{-}$ | 8 |
| Total | 992 | 2925 | 70 | 60 | -2 | - | - | 19 | -78 | 18 | -11 |  | ${ }^{12}$ | $\underline{9}$ | 21 |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 024 | . 020 | . 001 |  |  | . 006 | . 027 | . 006 |  |  | . 012 |  | . 007 |
| Percentage |  |  | 4.5 | 3.9 | 0.1 |  |  | 1.2 | 5.0 | 1.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  | 1.4 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank <br> Fish/hr <br> Percentage | 28 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a／}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 229 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 KOK |
| Bank | 48 | － 6 | 3 | 22 | ， |
| Total | 277 | 7 | 12 | 24 | TKOK |
| Fish／hr | ． 21 | ． 005 | ． 009 | ． 018 | ． 001 |
| Percentage | 66.9 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 0.1 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 669 | 6 | 13 | 1 | － |
| Bank | 16 | －2 | 3 | － | － |
| Total | 685 | 8 | 16 | 7 | － |
| Fish／hr | 1.01 | ． 012 | ． 024 | ． 001 |  |
| Percentage | 88.9 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 |  |
| Area III |  | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |
| Boat | 16 | 1 | 7 | － | － |
| Bank | 173 | 9 | 10 | － | － |
| Total | 189 | 10 | 17 | － | － |
| Fish／hr | ． 20 | ． 011 | ． 018 |  |  |
| Percentage | 52.4 | 2.8 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 914 | 8 | 29 | 3 | 1 KOK |
| Bank | $\frac{237}{1151}$ | 17 | 16 | 22 |  |
| Total | 1151 | 25 | 45 | 25 | TKOK |
| Fish／hr | .39 | ． 009 | ． 015 | ． 009 | － |
| Percentage | 74.4 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.6 | － |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | $2 \overline{2}$ | － | 4 | － | － |
| Fish／hr | ． 92 |  | ． 17 |  |  |
| Percentage | 84.6 |  | 15.4 |  |  |

a／KOK＝Kokanee salmon

Appendix C-7. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by boc: $t$ and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir
and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, October 1980 .

| Area fished | No. of Anglers | Hours fished | Catchable |  | - Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fingerlings |  |  | --0ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LV |  | Green | Red | Yellow | No dorsal | Bent <br> ray | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | Green | Yelow | Unknown mark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Miark } \end{aligned}$ |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 72 | 272 | - | 13 | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 2416 | 14 | 37 | 1 |
| Bank | 282 | 8846 | 13 | -12 | - | - | 5 | 25 -29 | -17 | -6 | 1 - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 354 | $\overline{1118}$ | 13 | $-15$ | - | - | 5 | -29 | -19 | -6 | -25 16 | -14 | 38 | 2 |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 012 | . 013 |  |  | . 004 | . 026 | .017 | . 005 |  | .083 |  | . 002 |
| Percentage |  |  | 4.9 | 5.7 |  |  | 1.9 | 11.0 | 7.2 | 2.3 |  | 35.1 |  | -0.8 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 90 | 307 | 6 | 11 | - | - | - | 6 | 10 | 1 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| Bank | 31 -121 | 141 | 2 | -14 | - | $\sim$ | - | 9 | 9 | 4 | - |  | 6 - | 1 |
| Total | T21 | 448 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 25 | - | $\square$ | $\sim$ | 15 | 19 | $-5$ | -2 - | $-\frac{-}{4}$ | - 6 | $\overline{1}$ |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 018 | . 056 |  |  |  | . 033 | . 042 | . 011 |  | . 031 |  | . 002 |
| Percentage |  |  | 1.9 | 5.8 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.4 | 1.2 |  | 3.3 |  | 0.2 |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 19 | 65 | 2 | 10 | - | , - | - | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Bank | 226 | 583 | 40 | $\underline{19}$ | - | - | - | 4 | - 5 | 11 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Total | 245 | 648 | 42 | $\underline{29}$ | - | - | - | $-5$ | $-9$ | $-11$ | $=-1$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| Fish/hr Percentage |  |  | .065 25.6 | .045 17.7 |  |  |  | . 008 | . 014 | .017 |  | .003 |  | . 005 |
| Percentage |  |  | 25.6 | 17.7 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 5.5 | 6.7 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.8 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 181 | 644 1570 | 8 | 24 | - | - | - | 11 | 16 | 1 | $26 \quad 19$ | 19 | 43 | 3 |
| 8ank | $\frac{539}{720}$ | $\frac{1570}{2214}$ | 55 | -45 | - | - | $-5$ | 38 | 31 | 21 | 1 - | - | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 720 | 2214 | 63 .028 | 69 .031 | - | - | -5 | -49 | 47 | 22 | -27-19 | $\underline{19}$ | 44. | 6 |
| Percentage |  |  | .028 7.3 | .031 8.0 |  |  | .002 0.6 | .022 5.7 | .021 5.5 | .010 2.6 |  | . 049 |  | . 003 |
| Spijlway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank <br> Fish/hr | 56 | 96 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 3 | - - | -1 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr Percentage |  |  | .031 |  |  |  |  | . 010 | . 062 | . 031 |  | .031 | 2 |  |
| Percentage |  |  | 2.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 4.8 | 2.4 |  | 2.4 |  |  |


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 49 | 12 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 68 | 12 | - | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 061 | . 011 |  | . 002 |  |
| Percentage | 25.8 | 4.5 |  | 0.8 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 340 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Bank | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Total | 340 | 2 | T | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 76 | . 004 | . 002 |  |  |
| Percentage | 79.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 3 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| Bank | 50 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Total | 53 | 4 | 6 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 082 | . 006 | . 009 |  |  |
| Percentage | 32.4 | 2.4 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 362 | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| Bank | 99 | 13 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Total | 461 | 18 | 7 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 21 | . 008 | . 003 | . 001 |  |
| Percentage | 53.8 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 108 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.12 |  | . 021 |  |  |
| Percentage | 85.6 |  | 1.6 |  |  |

AppendixC-8. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, November 1980.

| Area fished | No. of Anglers | Hours fished | Catchable |  | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fingerling |  |  |  | 0ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LV | RV | Green | Red | yellow | No dorsal | Bent <br> ray | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | No mark |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 0 | 0 | - | $1-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 142 | 403 | -24 | $\underline{27}$ | $\ldots$ |  |  | $\underline{20}$ | $\ldots 35$ |  | - | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 1 | - |
| Total | 142 | 403 | -24 | 27 | $\square$ | -1 | $\square$ | 20 | -35 | -1 | - | $-$ | - | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 060 | . 067 |  | . 002 |  | . 050 | . 087 | . 002 |  |  |  | . 002 |  |
| Percentage |  |  | 22.0 | 24.8 |  | 0.9 |  | 18.3 | 32.2 | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 9 | 17 | $\underline{-}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |  | - | $\underline{-}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Total | 10 | 25 | $\square$ | - | - | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | 2 | - | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | - | $\square$ |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | , - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 82 | $\underline{173}$ | 13 | 15 | - |  | - | - 3 | -10 | 2 | - | $\ldots$ | - | - | 4 |
| Total | 83 | 175 | 13 | 15 | - | - | $\underline{\square}$ | 3 | -10 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 074 | . 086 |  |  |  | . 017 | . 057 | .011 |  |  |  |  | . 023 |
| Percentage |  |  | 26.5 | 30.6 |  |  |  | 6.1 | 20.4 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  | 8.2 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 2 | 10 | - | , - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 233 | 593 | 37 | -42 | - | 1 | - | -23 | -45 | --3 | - | - | - - | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 235 | 603 | 37 | 42 | - | 1 | - | 25 | 45 | 3 |  |  | - | 1. | 4 |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 061 | . 070 |  | . 002 |  | . 041 | . 075 | . 005 | - |  | 002 |  | 007 |
| Percentage |  |  | 23.1 | 26.3 |  | 0.6 |  | 15.6 | 28.1 | 1.9 |  |  | 0.6 |  | 2.5 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank Fish/hr | 8 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C-8 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bult head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | $\square$ | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | $\underline{2}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Total | ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 011 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | -2 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 003 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.64 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 92 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C-9. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by ice and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, December 1980.

| Area fished | No. of Anglers | No. of poles | Hours fished | Catchable |  | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Fingerling |  |  | Dther |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | LV | RV | Green | Red | Yellow | No dorsal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bent } \\ & \text { ray } \end{aligned}$ | Good dorsal | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { mark } \end{aligned}$ |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 204 | 695 | 473 | + 6 | 7 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 6 | 2 | 42 | 52 | 34 | 215 | 2 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 013 | .015 |  | . 002 | - | . 002 | . 013 | . 004 |  |  | . 725 |  | . 004 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 1.4 | 1.7 |  | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 |  |  | 83.0 |  | 0.5 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 10 | 20 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . 083 | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $.029$ |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 19 | 38 | 47 | $.021$ |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 009 | . 009 |  |  |  |  |  | . 009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| Ice | 233 | 753 | 532 | 7 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 6 | 3 | 42 | 53 | 34 | 215 | 2 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | .013 | . 015 |  | . 002 |  | .002 | .011 | . 016 |  |  | $\text { . } 641$ |  | . 004 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 1.6 | 1.9 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 |  |  | 80.2 |  | 0.5 |
| $\frac{\text { Spillway }}{\text { Bank }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank <br> Fish/hr | 26 | - | 44 | r ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 4 091 | 1 | 1 | $\bigcirc 1$ | 5 | - |
| Percentage |  | - |  | .045 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  | - 2.7 | - 5.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  |


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 44 | - | - | - | 2KOK |
| Fish/hr | . 093 |  |  |  | . 004 |
| Percentage | 10.6 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | -11 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 917 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 91.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Area III 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 2.19 \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | $2.19$ |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 97.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 55 | - | - | - | 2KOK |
| Fish/hr | . 103 |  |  |  | . 004 |
| Percentage | 12.8 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 56 | - | - | - | 1 BK |
| Fish/hr | 1.27 |  |  |  | . 023 |
| Percentage | 76.7 |  |  |  | 1.4 |

a/ KOK = Kokanee salmon, $\mathrm{BK}=$ Brooktrout

Appendix C-10. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by ice and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, January 1981.


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 107 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 250 |  |  | . 002 |  |
| Percentage | 33.9 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 68 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 2.615 |  |  |  | - |
| Percentage | 84.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 60 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.132 |  |  |  | - |
| Percentage | 96.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice |  | - | - |  | - |
| Fish/hr | . 464 |  | - | . 002 | - |
| Percentage | 51.3 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 1 | - | - | 2 |  |
| Fish/hr | 1.0 |  | - | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Percentage | 25 |  |  | 50 | 25 |

Appendix C-11. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by ice and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Cascade, Idaho, February 1981.


| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 20 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | $178$ |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | $180$ |  | $2.7$ |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 17 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.889 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 94.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 110 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 9.167 |  | . 083 |  |  |
| Percentage | 99.1 |  | 0.9 |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 147 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.205 |  | . 030 |  |  |
| Percentage | 61.1 |  | 1.8 |  |  |
| Spillwav. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 30 | - | - | - | 2 CT |
| Fish/hr | 1.5 |  | - |  | . 10 |
| Percentage | 81.1 |  |  |  | 5.4 |

a/ $C T=$ Cutthroat trout

Appendix C-12. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by ice anglers in Cascade Reservoir, Idaho, March 1981.

| Area fished | No. of anglers | No. of poles | Hours fished | Catchable |  | Rainbow Trout |  |  | Other |  |  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Fingerling |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ | Bent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { dorsal } \end{aligned}$ | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { mark } \end{gathered}$ |
| Area I |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 33 | - | 107 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | $?$ | 1 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 037 | . 019 | . 009 | . 019 | - |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | - |
| Area 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 11 | - | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 44 | - | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 026 | . 013 | . 007 | . 013 | - |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | - |

Appendix C-12 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 213 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.990 | . 009 | - | - | - |
| Percentage | 95.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  | * |  |  |  |
| Ice | 83 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 3 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.930 | - | 0.70 | - | - |
| Percentage | 96.5 | - | 3.5 | - | - |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ice | 296 | 1 | $3^{-}$ | - | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.973 | . 007 | . 020 | - | - |
| Percentage | 95.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | - | - |

Appendix C-13. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bark anglers in Cascade Reservoir March 1981.

| Boat | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | 48 | 88 | - | 4 | - 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 48 | 88 | - | 4 | - 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | 046 | . 046 | . 017 |  |  |  |  | . 011 | . 034 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 2.2 | 22.2 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C-13 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker | Othera/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 3 | - | $2^{-}$ | - | - |
| Total | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 034 |  | . 023 |  |  |
| Percentage | 16.7 |  | 11.0 |  |  |

Appendix C-13 (Cont'd).

| Area Fished | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { anqlers } \end{gathered}$ | Hours fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Green | Red | Yellow | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unknown } \\ \text { mark } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { mark } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{0} \\ \text { dorsal } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fingerling |  |  |  | 0ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $81$ | $30$ | $81$ | $\frac{80}{\text { RV }}$ |  | Red |  |  | Yellow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bent } \\ & \text { rays } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Good } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 9 | 33 | - | 1. | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| Bank | 74. | 148 | - | 4 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| Total | 83 | 181 | - | 4 | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 14 | 1 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 022 |  | . 022 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  | . 006 | 0.16 |  |  |  | . 077 | . 006 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 11.4 |  | 11.4 | 8.6 | * |  |  |  | 2.8 | 8.6 |  |  |  | 40.0 | 2.8 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 45 | 64 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  | . 016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C-13 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Total | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 016 |  | . 011 |  |  |
| Percentage | 8.6 |  | 5.7 |  |  |
| Spillway | 291 | - | - | - | 16WF;1 KOK |
| Fish/hr | 4.58 |  |  |  | 0.250 .016 |
| Percentage | 99.6 |  |  |  |  |

a/ WF-Whitefish
KOK-Kokanee

Appendix C-14. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir April 1981.


Appendix C-14 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 21 | - | 12 | 6 | - |
| Total | 22 | - | 12 | 6 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 037 |  | . 020 | . 010 |  |
| Percentage | 24.4 |  | 13.3 | 6.7 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 17 | 15 | 1 | - | - |
| Bank | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Total | 18 | 15 | 4 | $?$ | - |
| Fish/hr | . 123 | . 102 | . 027 | . 014 | - |
| Percentage | 34.6 | 28.8 | 7.7 | 3.8 |  |

Appendix C-14 (Continued). The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, April 1981.

| Area Fished | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { anglers } \end{aligned}$ | Hours <br> fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Green | Red | Yellow | Unknown mark | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { mark } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  |  |  |  | Fingerling |  |  |  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 81 | 80 | 81 | V 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { dorsa } \end{aligned}$ | Green | - 81 | Red | Yellow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bent } \\ & \text { rays } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Good } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 20 | 76 | - | 1. | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 146 | 408 | - | - | - | 58 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 166 | 484 | - | - | - | 62 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  | . 128 | . 012 |  |  |  |  | . 004 | . 004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | 50.8 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  | 1.6 | 30.3 |  |  |  |  |  |

Grand Total

| Boat | 45 | 159 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | 331 | 1,068 | - | 3 | 3 | 87 | 15 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 376 | 1,227 | - | 3 | 3 | 92 | 15 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | 002 | . 002 | . $075{ }^{\prime}$ | . 012 |  |  | . 001 | . 001 | . 005 | . 004 |  | . 002 | . 002 | . 003 | . 001 |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.1 | 34.8 | 5.7 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1.9 |  | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 |

## Spillway

Bank
$34 \quad 47$
Fish/hr
Percentage

Appendix C-14 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area II I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 4 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Bank | 33 | - | 10 | 1 | - |
| Total | 37 | - | 12 | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 076 |  | . 025 | . 002 |  |
| Percentage | 9.8 |  | 9.8 | 0.8 |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 22 | 15 | 3 | - | - |
| Bank | 55 | - | 25 | 9 | - |
| Total | 77 | 15 | 28 | 9 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 063 | . 012 | . 023 | . 007 | - |
| Percentage | 29.2 | 5.7 | 10.6 | 3.4 |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 69 | - | - | 1 | 5 WF |
| Fish/hr | 1.47 |  | - | . 021 | . 106 |
| Percentage | 92.0 |  |  | 1.3 | 6.7 |

a/ WF-whitefish

Appendix C-15. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir, May 1981.


Appendix C-15 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker | Other -1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 6 | 18 | 17 | - | - |
| Bank | 43 | - | 9 | 1 | - |
| $\quad$ Total | 49 | 18 | 26 | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr | .069 | .025 | .037 | .001 |  |
| Percentage | 14.1 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 0.3 |  |

Area II

| Boat | 7 | - | 6 | 1 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Total | 10 | - | 9 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | .057 |  | .051 | $: 011$ |  |
| Percentage | 12.5 | 11.2 | 2.5 |  |  |

Appendix c-15 (Continued). The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, May 1981.


Appendix C-15 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 150 | - | 9 | 1 | 1 KOK |
| Bank | 84 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 1 BK |
| Total | 234 | 10 | 26 | 3 | IKOK; 1 BK |
| Fish/hr | . 421 | . 018 | . 047 | . 005 | . 002.002 |
| Percentage | 64.6 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 0.30 .3 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Boat | 163 | 18 | 32 | 2 | 1 KOK |
| Bank | 130 | 10 | 29 | 4 | 1 BK |
| Total | 293 | 28 | 61 | 6 | $1 \mathrm{KOK} ; 1 \mathrm{BK}$ |
| Fish/hr | . 204 | . 019 | . 042 | . 004 | . 001 . 001 |
| Percentage | 37.1 | 3.5 | 7.7 | 0.8 | $0.1 \quad 0.1$ |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 12 | - | 7. | - | 1 BK ; 1 WF |
| Fish/hr | . 250 |  | . 146 |  | . 021.021 |
| Percentage | 28.6 |  | 16.7 |  | 2.42 .4 |

a/ KOK-Kokanee; BK-Brook trout; WF-Whitefish.

Appendix $\mathrm{C}-16$. The catch, catch rate (fish/hr) and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank ariglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, June 1981.

| Area Fished | No.of anglers | Hours <br> fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Green }}{8181}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.d } \\ & 3]^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Yellow }}{3130}$ |  | Unknown mark | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { mlark } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  |  |  |  | Fingerling |  |  |  | 0ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 81 | $\frac{V}{30}$ | $81$ | $\frac{8 V}{80}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ro } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ | Green | $81$ | $80$ | Yellow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ient } \\ & \text { Buys } \end{aligned}$ | clorsal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 258 | 694 | - | 13 | 5 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 14 | 2 | - 3 | - 3 | - | 5 | 34 | - |
| Bank | 521 | 1,115 | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 15 | - | -18 | - 33 | - | 37 | 154 | - |
| Total | 779 | 1,809 | - | 4 | 10 | 9 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 29 | 2 | - 21 | - 36 | - | 42 | 183 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 002 | . 006 | . 005 | . 002 |  | 001 |  |  | . 016 | . 001 | . 012 | . 120 |  | . 023 | . 104 |  |
| Percentage | . |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 |  | 1.8 | 8.2 |  |


| ¢8 | Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boat | 219 | 772 | - | 7 | 28 | 13 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | 29 | 5 | - 37 | - 32 | - | 34 | 107 | - |
|  | Bank | 97 | 221 | - | - | $-$ | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - 3 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 3 | - |
|  | Total | 316 | 993 | - | 7 | 28 | 14 | 13 | - | 1 | - | - | 34 | 6 | - 40 | - 36 | - | 34 | 110 | - |
|  | Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 007 | . 028 | . $014{ }^{\text {* }}$ | . 013 |  | . 001 |  |  | . 034 | . 006 | . 040 | . 036 |  | . 034 | .111 |  |
|  | Percentage |  |  |  | 0.7 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 3.5 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 3.8 |  | 3.5 | 11.4 |  |



Appendix C-16 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1,653 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 3KOK;2?RB |
| Bank | 271 | - | 7 | 5 | - |
| Total | 1,924 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 3K0K; 2?RB |
| Fish/hr | 1.06 | . 001 | . 006 | . 007 | .002;.001 |
| Percentage | 83.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1; 0.1 |

Area II

| Boat | 490 | 1 | 8 | - | 5 KOK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Bank | 130 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Total | 620 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 5 KOK |
| Fish/hr | .624 | .001 | .010 | .001 | .005 |
| Percentage | 64.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 |

Area III

| Boat | 161 | 1 | 26 | - | 4 KOK;5?RB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank | 194 | 9 | 46 | 3 | - |
| Total | 355 | 10 | 72 | 3 | 4 KOK;5?RB |
| Fish/hr | .265 | .007 | .054 | .002 | $.003 ; .004$ |
| Percentage | 48.7 | 1.4 | 9.9 | 0.4 | $0.5 ; 0.7$ |

Appendix C-16 (Continued). The catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, June 1981.


Appendix C-16 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 2,304 | 3 | 37 | 8 | 12K0K; 7 ? RB |
| Bank | 595 | 9 | 55 | 9 | - |
| Total | 2,899 | 12 | 92 | 17 | 12K0K;7?RB |
| Fish/hr | . 700 | . 003 | . 022 | . 004 | . 003 ;.002 |
| Percentage | 72.7 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.30 .2 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 326 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 10WF;2RSS |
| Fish/hr | . 682 | . 002 | . 036 | . 002 | . 021 ; 004 |
| Percentage | 45.2 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 ; 0.3 |

a/ KOK-Kokanee; ?RB-Rainbow trout with unknown mark; WF-Whitefish; RSS-Redside shiner.

Appendix C-17. Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percer.iage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, July 1981.

| Area Fished | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No.of } \\ & \text { anglers } \end{aligned}$ | Hours fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Green } \\ & 18180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Red } \\ 3130 \end{array}$ | $\frac{\text { yellow }}{31^{-90}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Unknown } \\ \text { mark } \end{array} \\ & \text { 8! } 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | Ho mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  |  |  |  | Fingerling |  |  |  | 0 ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{LV}$ | RV |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { lio } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ | Green | Red |  | Yellow |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Good } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 80 |  |  | 81 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 353 | 986 | - | ${ }^{1} 2$ | 9 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 1 | - 6 | 18 | 111 | 4 | 31 | - |
| Bank | 266 | 670 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - - | - - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Total | 619 | 1,656 | - | 2 | 10 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 17 | 1 | - 6 | 18 | 111 | 5 | 34 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 001 | . 006 | . 002 | . 001 |  |  |  |  | . 010 | . 001 | . 004 | . 001.00 | .001.007 | . 103. | . 020 |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.00. | 0.09.5 |  |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 411 | 1,235 | - | 8 | 37 | 5 | 10 | - | 2 | - | - | 13 | 9 | 121 | 217 | 231 |  | 130 | - |
| Bank | 127 | 339 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | - | - | - 1 | - | 7 | - |
| Total | 538 | 1,574 | - | 8 | 31 | 6 | 12 | - | 2 | - | - | 22 | 10 | 121 | 217 | 232 |  | 137 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 005 | . 020 | . 004 | . 008 |  | . 001 |  |  | . 014 | . 006.0 | 001.013. | . 001.01 | 3.001.020 | . 006 | 087 |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.70 | 0.11 .4 | 0.11. | 0.12 .1 | 0.7 | 9.2 |  |

Appendix C-17 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1,473 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 1 KOK |
| Bank | 437 | 7 | 20 | 2 | - |
| Total | 1,910 | 10 | 37 | 5 | 1 KOK |
| Fish/hr | 1.15 | . 006 | . 022 | . 003 | . 001 |
| Percentage | 92.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 966 | - | 35 | 3 | 3KOK |
| Bank | 171 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| Total | 1,137 | 2 | 39 | - 3 | 3K0K |
| Fish/hr | . 722 | . 001 | . 025 | . 002 | . 002 |
| Percentage | 76.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Appendix C-17 (Continued). Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, July 1981.


Appendix C-17 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | 0 ther ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat | 1,275 | - | 53 | 7 | 1 KOK |
| Bank | 227 | 23 | 27 | - | - |
| Total | 1,502 | 23 | 30 | 7 | 1 KOK |
| Fish/hr | . 593 | . 009 | . 032 | . 003 | . 000 |
| Percentage | 67.0 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 3,714 | 3 | 105 | 13 | 5 KOK |
| Bank | 835 | 32 | 51 | 2 | - |
| Total | 4,549 | 35 | 156 | 15 | 5 KOK |
| Fish/hr | . 789 | . 006 | . 027 | . 003 | . 001 |
| Percentage | 78.4 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 125 | - | 12 | - | 1 WF; 1 RSS |
| Fish/hr | 1.32 |  | . 126 |  | .010; . 010 |
| Percentage | 88.0 |  | 8.4 |  | $0.7 \quad 0.7$ |

a/ KOK-Kokanee; WF-Whitefish; RSS-Redside shiner.

Appendix C-18. Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spiliway, nugust 1981.


| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat | 92 | 286 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 4 |  | 7 | 7 | - |
| Bank | 11 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 103 | 310 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 9 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  | . 016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 003 | . 006 |  | 013. | 016.0 | 006.0 | . 022 | 029 |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  | . 3 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |  | 0.6 |  | . 30 | 1.1 | 1.4 |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 166 | 512 | - | 1 | 15 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 11 | - |
| Bank | 114 | 218 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - |
| Total | 280 | 730 | - | 1 | 15 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 15 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  | . 001 | . 020 |  | . 001 |  |  |  |  | . 004 | . 004 | . 001. | 001. | 003. | . 001 | . 00 | . 005 | . 020 |  |
| Percentages |  |  |  | 0.2 | 2.9 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 |  | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0. | 0.8 | 2.9 |  |

Appendix C -18 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 200 | - | 1 | - | IKOK;1 BC |
| Bank | 64 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 264 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1KOK; 1 BC |
| Fish/hr | . 715 | . 011 | . 003 | . 003 | .003;.003 |
| Percentage | 76.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.30 .3 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 577 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 KOK |
| - Bank | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 580 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 KOK |
| Fish/hr | 1.87 | . 003 | . 013 |  | . 006 |
| Percentage | 92.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 |  | 0.3 |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 360 | 14 | 35 | 3 | - |
| Bank | 46 | 2 | - | 12 | - |
| Total | 406 | 16 | 35 | 15 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 556 | . 022 | . 048 | . 020 |  |
| Percentage | 77.9 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 2.9 |  |

Appendix C-18 (Continued). Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bant anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, August 1981.


Appendix C-18 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1,137 | 15 | 40 | 3 | 3KOK;1 BC |
| Bank | 113 | 6 | - | 13 | - |
| Total | 1,250 | 21 | 40 | 16 | 3KOK;1 BC |
| Fish/hr | . 887 | . 015 | . 028 | . 011 | .002;.001 |
| Percentage | 84.1 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.20 .1 |

Spillway
Bank 28
Fish/hr 3.5
Percentage 100.0
a/ KOK-Kokanee; BC -Black crappie

Appendix C-19. Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, September 1981.


Appendix C-19 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | 0ther ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 16 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bank | 39 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Total | 55 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr | . 257 |  | . 009 | . 005 |  |
| Percentage | 59.8 |  | 2.2 | 1.1 |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 145 | - | 4 | 1 | - |
| Bank | 77 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Total | 222 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - |
| Fish/hr | 1.96 | . 009 | . 035 | . 009 |  |
| Percentage | 95.7 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 39 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 62 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 470 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 68.9 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C.-19 (Continued). Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by boat and bank anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, September 1981.


Appendix C-19 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker | Othera/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 184 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Bank | 155 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Total | 339 | 1 | 6 | 2 | - |
| Fish/hr | .738 | .002 | .013 | .004 |  |
| Percentage | 81.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 |  |

Spillway

| Bank | 42 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fish/hr | 3.00 | .071 | .071 |
| Percentage | 95.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

Appendix C-20. Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, October 1981.


Appendix C-20 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bullhead | Squawfish | Sucker | Othera/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| Tota 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  | .006 |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |

Area II

| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |

Fish/hr
Percentage
Area III

| Boat | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Bank | 1 | 16 | 1 | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 16 | 2 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | .007 | .058 | .007 |  |  |
| Percentage | 3.8 | 30.8 | 3.8 |  |  |

Appendix C-20 (Continued). Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, October 1981.


Appendix C-20 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bulthead | Squawfish | Sucker | 0ther ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bank | 1 | 16 | 2 | - | - |
| Total | 2 | 16 | 3 | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 004 | . 036 | . 007 |  |  |
| Percentage | 2.0 | 16.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 83 | - | 2 | - | 1 BK |
| Fish/hr | 5.19 |  | . 125 |  | . 062 |
| Percentage | 94.3 |  | 2.3 |  | 1.1 |

a/ BK-Brook trout

Appendix C-21, Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, November 1981.

| Area Fished | No. of anglers | Hours <br> fished | Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Red }}{3130}$ |  |  | Unknown Mark |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { mark } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catchable |  |  |  |  | - Fingering |  |  |  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LV |  | $81 \quad \text { RV }$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { lio } \\ \text { dorsal } \end{gathered}$ | Red |  |  | Yellow |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Good } \\ \text { sorsal } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 81 | 30 |  |  | Green | 81 | 80 | Green <br> 81 80 |  |  |  | Yellow$31 \quad 80$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 8 | 22 | 1 | $\pm$ | 4 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | - - | 1 - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Bank | 82 | 340 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 24 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | - |
| Total | 90 | 362 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 3 | 24 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 6 | - | 11 | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | - |
| Fish/hr. |  |  | . 025 | . 019 | . 044 | . 008 | . 066 |  | 006 |  |  | . 014 | . 016 |  | 003.003 |  | 003.0 | 014 | .016 |  |
| Percentage |  |  | 10.1 | 7.9 | 18.0 | 3.4 | 27.0 |  | 2.2 |  |  | 5.6 | 6.7 |  | 1.11 .1 |  | 1.15 | 5.6 | 6.7 |  |


|  | Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8oat | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Bank | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\vec{\sim}$ | Total | 5 | 10 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Fish/hr |  |  | .100 |  | .100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage |  |  | 50.0 |  | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Area 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 53 | 166 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| Total | 55 | 172 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  | . 012 | . 029 | . 035 | . 006 | . 017 |  |  |  |  | . 029 | . 029 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 029 | - |
| Percentage |  |  | 4.8 | 11.9 | 14.3 | 2.4 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  | 11.9 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  |

Appendix C-21 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr | . 008 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 7 | - | -- | 2 | 1 MAC |
| Total | 7 | - | - | 2 | 1 MAC |
| Fish/hr | . 070 |  |  | . 012 | . 006 |
| Percentage | 16.7 |  |  | 4.8 | 2.4 |

Appendix C-21 (Continued). Catch, catch rate (fish/hr), and percentage of various fish species caught by anglers in Cascade Reservoir and spillway, Noveniber, 1981.


Appendix C-21 (Cont'd).

| Area fished | Perch | Bull head | Squawfish | Sucker | Other ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | 9 | - | - | 2 | 1 MAC |
| Total | 10 | - | - | 2 | 1 MAC |
| Fish/hr | . 018 |  |  | . 004 | . 002 |
| Percentage | 7.5 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Spillway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fish/hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |

a/ MAC-Mackinaw
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