

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

Steven M. Huffaker, Director

Project W-170-R-26

Progress Report



GAME HARVEST SURVEY

Study I, Job 10

July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002

Prepared By:

Lou Nelson
Wildlife Staff Biologist

September 2002
Boise, Idaho

Findings in this report are preliminary in nature and not for publication without permission of the Director of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game.

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game adheres to all applicable state and federal laws and regulations related to discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, gender, or handicap. If you feel you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game, or if you desire further information, please write to: Idaho Department of Fish and Game, PO Box 25, Boise, ID 83707; or the Office of Human Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240.

This publication will be made available in alternative formats upon request. Please contact the Idaho Department of Fish and Game for assistance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION	1
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	2
APPENDIX A.....	3

LIST OF TABLES

Appendix Table 1. Statewide estimates of harvest, number of hunters, and activity for 2001.....	5
Appendix Table 2. Big game harvest history, 1935-present.....	6

PROGRESS REPORT SURVEYS AND INVENTORY

STATE:	<u>Idaho</u>	JOB TITLE:	<u>Game Harvest Survey</u>
PROJECT:	<u>W-170-R-26</u>		
SUBPROJECT:	<u>8</u>	STUDY NAME:	<u>Big Game Population Trends,</u>
STUDY:	<u>I</u>		<u>Utilization, and Associated</u>
JOB:	<u>10</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
PERIOD COVERED:	<u>July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002</u>		

ABSTRACT

The deer, elk, and antelope surveys were changed from a telephone survey to a harvest report submitted only by hunters who harvested an animal. A random survey of individuals who did not submit a harvest report was conducted in February 2002. The survey of non-reporters enabled the estimation of a factor to adjust the reported figures for non-reporting bias. The final figures are estimates of hunter activity and harvest based on adjustments to the reported values. Increasing costs of conducting the telephone harvest survey and budget limitations resulted in moose, mountain goats, and bighorn sheep being eliminated from this harvest survey program in 1996. Black bears and mountain lions were eliminated from the telephone survey program in 1997 to maximize information collected on harvest of deer, elk, and antelope.

Following elimination of moose, bighorn sheep, and mountain goats from the telephone survey in 1996, and black bear and mountain lion in 1997, minimum harvest for these species has been estimated from mandatory harvest check information.

INTRODUCTION

Prior to 1998, a telephone survey was conducted following each deer (mule and white-tailed deer), elk, and pronghorn hunting season. Beginning in 1998, the telephone surveys for deer and elk were changed to a mandatory harvest report. Beginning in 2001, the telephone survey for pronghorn was changed to a mandatory harvest report and all hunters were required to file a report regardless of whether they harvested a deer, elk, or pronghorn. Hunters reported the number of days hunted, by weapon and game management unit, whether they harvested an animal, and if so, the date, game management unit, weapon used, sex, and antler points (deer and elk) or horn length (pronghorn). Results were tabulated for general, controlled, depredation, and landowner appreciation hunts, by game management units, and by elk zones.

Systems Consultants processed the harvest reports for deer, elk and antelope hunters and the raw data was provided to the Department for analysis. Modifying the reported figures by a non-reporting factor developed the final harvest figures (Appendix A).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This survey was partially supported by Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration (W-170-R) Statewide Big Game Harvest Survey. The 2001 harvest survey was processed by Systems Consultants, Inc., Fallon, Nevada, under contract with the Idaho Department of Fish and Game.

APPENDIX A

Summary of
2001
Big Game
Harvest Estimates



Species	Estimated		
	Hunters	Harvest	Days hunted
Deer	136,200	53,000	793,700
Elk	77,700	19,500	580,100
Antelope	2,400	1,350	8,000
Black Bear		1,887	
Mountain Lion		628	
Moose		918	
Bighorn Sheep		48	
Mountain Goat		48	

Idaho Department of Fish and Game
600 South Walnut Street
P.O. Box 25
Boise, Idaho 83707

Appendix Table 1. Statewide estimates of harvest, number of hunters, and activity for 2001.

Species	Season	Hunters	Harvest	Success (%)	Days hunted
Deer	Any weapon	82,000	39,700	48	471,700
	Archery	10,000	1,800	18	75,700
	Muzzleloader	1,600	500	31	6,300
	Controlled	15,200	9,100	60	75,600
	Total	108,800	51,100	47	629,300
Elk	Any weapon	40,100	8,450	21	232,900
	Archery	13,800	2,150	16	116,100
	Muzzleloader	5,000	800	16	20,300
	Controlled	18,800	7,100	38	107,900
	Total	77,700	18,500	24	477,200
Antelope	Archery	800	250	31	3,400
	Controlled	1,600	1,100	69	4,600
	Total	2,400	1,350	56	8,000

Appendix Table 2. Big game harvest history, 1935-present.

Year	Deer	Elk	Antelope	Black bear	Mtn. lion	Moose	Bighorn sheep	Mtn. goat
1935	7,659	1,821	144	8			1	24
1936	7,800	1,917	124	79			4	81
1937	8,795	2,133		133			6	62
1938	11,597	2,298		49			12	61
1939								
1940			400					
1941								
1942	4,952		700					
1943	11,095	2,398		61				23
1944	13,982	2,874	1,470	118				33
1945	21,263	4,392	650	150				59
1946	26,936	5,435	0	233		26	13	125
1947	18,895	6,549	461	406		24	15	67
1948	21,924	5,944	419			27		
1949	22,285	5,395	383			27		
1950	22,578	7,165	539			50		8
1951	33,250	7,492	1,349			28		21
1952	30,454	8,792	1,520	500		71	13	14
1953	47,200	12,600	1,254	500		91	18	21
1954	51,400	12,451	970	2,600		105	13	27
1955	64,074	15,799	822	2,450		108	22	51
1956	71,862	15,910	919	3,124		134	20	61
1957	62,154	13,568	1,001	3,045		91	29	78
1958	71,013	16,450	821	3,709		77	37	59
1959	70,237	13,865	679	2,367	119	59	59	59
1960	75,213	16,545	701	3,373	83	40	62	114
1961	76,001	16,572	579	2,218	164	46		140
1962	66,645	13,653	549	3,951	98	45		144
1963	63,546	14,542	774	2,444	162	52	49	171
1964	67,379	13,835	839	3,419	127	59	35	161
1965	56,438	14,064	977	2,861	108	51	53	214
1966	64,629	14,631	1,219	3,386	156	55	14	161
1967	66,350	13,397	1,286	2,700	109	50	32	127
1968	78,441	17,064	1,294	2,597	164	53	47	161
1969	67,176	12,415	1,472	3,085	143	74	46	168
1970	77,087	14,146	1,551	3,404	114	81	64	151
1971	54,927	11,009	1,465	3,786	303	86	13	137
1972	47,599	9,324	1,486	3,783	70	88	21	152
1973	54,014	12,374	1,237	1,430	87	96	15	128
1974	42,026	8,712	1,301	1,747	112	112	16	121
1975	40,102	8,981	1,314	2,285	142	93	32	102
1976	25,427	4,135	1,380	2,516	123	94	38	103

Appendix Table 2. Continued.

Year	Deer	Elk	Antelope	Black bear	Mtn. lion	Moose	Bighorn sheep	Mtn. goat
1977	39,834	6,353	1,250	2,173	160	95	27	117
1978	39,879	7,662	1,345	2,300	167	99	38	106
1979	42,549	6,344	1,430	1,718	31	104	42	79
1980	45,988	8,303	1,498	1,619	97	118	32	47
1981	50,580	9,903	1,837	1,918	198	114	46	65
1982	48,670	12,485	2,112	1,584	189	147	64	32
1983	50,600	12,700	2,400	2,100	167	229	60	41
1984	42,600	15,600	2,070	2,100	400	268	70	52
1985	48,950	15,550	2,190	1,700	170	297	79	38
1986	59,800	15,500	2,540	2,150	250	355	79	56
1987	66,400	16,100	2,600	1,950	300	363	77	70
1988	82,200	20,400	2,800	1,900	550	399	76	62
1989	95,200	22,600	3,500	2,100	340	400	98	79
1990	72,100	21,500	3,180	2,300	350	422	92	76
1991	69,100	24,100	2,950	2,100	171	428	97	85
1992	61,200	26,600	3,150	2,800	330	420	106	67
1993	45,600	20,800	2,470	1,260	450	579	80	66
1994	56,900	28,000	1,835	2,250	450	558	78	69
1995	48,400	22,400	1,540	2,040	700	637	57	44
1996 ^a	50,800	25,600	1,460	1,740	635	583	48	48
1997 ^{b,c}	38,600	18,500	1,300	1,538	798	638	61	61
1998	39,000	18,750	1,150	1,973	778	612	63	57
1999	43,300	17,500	1,150	1,819	603	775	50	48
2000	45,200	20,200	1,275	1,855	728	774	50	48
2001	53,000	19,500	1,350	1,887	628	918	48	48

^a Because of budget shortfalls and increasing costs of conducting the telephone harvest survey, moose, bighorn sheep, and mountain goats were eliminated from the telephone survey in 1996. Harvest figures after 1996 result from mandatory harvest check-in records.

^b Harvest estimates after 1996 do not include antelope harvest during the general archery season.

^c Black bear and mountain lions were dropped from the telephone survey program in 1997 because of budget restrictions. After 1996, harvest figures are from records of hunters who complied with the requirement that all successful bear and mountain lion hunters have the skull and hide of their harvested bear or mountain lion checked and tagged by IDFG.

Submitted by:

Lou Nelson

Lou Nelson
Wildlife Staff Biologist

Approved by:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

Dale Toweill


Dale Toweill
Wildlife Program Coordinator
Federal Aid Coordinator

James W. Unsworth

James W. Unsworth, Chief
Bureau of Wildlife

IDAHO

GAME MANAGEMENT UNITS



FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION

The Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program consists of funds from a 10% to 11% manufacturer's excise tax collected from the sale of handguns, sporting rifles, shotguns, ammunition, and archery equipment. The Federal Aid program then allots the funds back to states through a formula based on each state's geographic area and the number of paid hunting license holders in the state. The Idaho Department of Fish and Game uses the funds to help restore, conserve, manage, and enhance wild birds and mammals for the public benefit. These funds are also used to educate hunters to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to be responsible, ethical hunters. Seventy-five percent of the funds for this project are from Federal Aid. The other 25% comes from license-generated funds.

