

**IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME**

**Steven M. Huffaker, Director**

**Project W-170-R-29**

**Progress Report**



**MOOSE**

Study I, Job 6

July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005

Prepared by:

Jim Hayden ..... Panhandle Region  
Jay Crenshaw, Dave Koehler ..... Clearwater Region  
Jeff Rohlman ..... Southwest Region  
Randy Smith, Regan Berkley ..... Magic Valley Region  
Carl Anderson, Corey Class ..... Southeast Region  
Daryl Meints, Jeff Short ..... Upper Snake Region  
Tom Keegan ..... Salmon Region

Compiled and edited by: Dale Toweill, Wildlife Program Coordinator

July 2005  
Boise, Idaho



Findings in this report are preliminary in nature and not for publication without permission of the Director of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game.

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game adheres to all applicable state and federal laws and regulations related to discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, gender, or handicap. If you feel you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game, or if you desire further information, please write to: Idaho Department of Fish and Game, PO Box 25, Boise, ID 83707; or the Office of Human Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240.

This publication will be made available in alternative formats upon request. Please contact the Idaho Department of Fish and Game for assistance.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEWIDE.....	1
Abstract.....	1
Antlered Moose.....	1
Antlerless Moose .....	1
PANHANDLE REGION .....	3
ABSTRACT.....	3
UNITS 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9.....	3
Management Direction.....	3
Background.....	3
Population Surveys .....	4
Harvest .....	4
Controlled Hunt Odds.....	4
Other Mortalities.....	4
Management Implications.....	5
CLEARWATER REGION .....	10
UNITS 8, 8A, 10, 10A, 12, 14, 15, 16, 16A, 17, 19, 20.....	10
Abstract.....	10
Management Direction.....	10
Population Surveys .....	11
Harvest Characteristics .....	11
Climatic Conditions .....	12
Management Implications.....	12
SOUTHWEST REGION .....	18
UNITS 19A, 20A, 25, 26.....	18
Abstract.....	18
Management Direction.....	18
Background.....	18
Population Surveys .....	19
Harvest Characteristics .....	19
Management Implications.....	19

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

MAGIC VALLEY REGION .....22

    UNITS 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 52, 52A, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 .....22

        Abstract .....22

        Management Direction.....22

        Background.....22

        Population Surveys .....22

        Harvest Characteristics .....23

        Capture and Translocation .....23

        Management Implications.....23

SOUTHEAST REGION .....25

    UNITS 66A, 70, 71, 72, 73, 73A, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78 .....25

        Abstract .....25

        Management Direction.....25

        Background.....25

        Population Surveys .....26

        Harvest Characteristics .....26

        Climatic Conditions .....27

        Habitat Conditions .....27

        Management Implications.....27

    LITERATURE CITED .....27

UPPER SNAKE REGION.....33

    Abstract.....33

    Climatic Conditions .....34

    Depredation, Capture, and Translocation .....34

    UNITS 50, 51, 58, 63, 63A.....34

        Background.....34

        Population Surveys .....34

        Harvest Characteristics .....35

        Habitat Conditions .....35

        Management Implications.....35

    UNITS 59, 59A.....35

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Background.....35

Population Surveys .....36

Harvest Characteristics .....36

Habitat Conditions .....36

Management Implications.....36

UNITS 60, 60A, 61, 62, 62A .....37

    Background.....37

    Population Surveys .....37

    Harvest Characteristics .....38

    Management Implications.....38

UNITS 64, 65, 67 .....38

    Background.....38

    Population Surveys .....39

    Harvest Characteristics .....39

    Habitat Conditions .....39

    Management Implications.....39

UNITS 66, 69 .....40

    Background.....40

    Population Surveys .....40

    Harvest Characteristics .....40

    Habitat Conditions .....41

    Management Implications.....41

SALMON REGION.....47

    UNITS 21, 21A, 29, 30, 30A, 37A .....47

        Abstract.....47

        Climatic Conditions .....47

        Background.....47

        Population Surveys .....48

        Harvest Characteristics .....48

        Habitat Conditions .....48

        Capture and Translocation .....48

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Management Implications.....49  
APPENDIX A.....53

**LIST OF TABLES**

**PANHANDLE REGION**

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Panhandle Region, 1981-present. ....6  
Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by Game Management Unit, Panhandle Region,  
1994-present.....7  
Table 3. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Panhandle Region, 1992-  
present. ....9

**CLEARWATER REGION**

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Clearwater Region, 1990-present.....13  
Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Clearwater Region, 1995-present.....14  
Table 3. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Clearwater Region, 1995-  
present. ....17

**SOUTHWEST REGION**

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Southwest Region, 1995-present. ....20  
Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Southwest Region, 1995-present.....21

**MAGIC VALLEY REGION**

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Magic Valley Region, 1999-  
present. ....24  
Table 2. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Magic Valley Region,  
1986-present.....24

**SOUTHEAST REGION**

Table 1. Total observed moose by sex/age class and model estimates of moose from aerial  
surveys, Southeast Region, 1991-present. ....28  
Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Southeast Region, 1983-present.....29

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Table 3. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Southeast Region, 1995-present.....30  
Table 4. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Southeast Region, 1992-present.....32

**UPPER SNAKE REGION**

Table 1. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Upper Snake Region, 1982-present.....42  
Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Upper Snake Region, 1990-present. ....43  
Table 3. Moose harvest and drawing odds by analysis area, Upper Snake Region, 1995-present.....44  
Table 4. Aerial survey of moose, Hunt Area 62, Upper Snake Region.....46  
Table 5. Aerial survey of moose, Hunt Areas 60, 60A, 61, 62, Upper Snake Region. ....46

**SALMON REGION**

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Salmon Region, 1990-present.....50  
Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Salmon Region, 1994-present. ....51  
Table 3. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Salmon Region, 1982-present.....52  
Table 4. Moose translocation, Salmon Region, 1993-present. ....52

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>1-7</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>1</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>5</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**STATEWIDE**

**Abstract**

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game offered 94 controlled hunts with 1,004 permits for antlered moose and an additional 30 controlled hunts with 231 permits for antlerless (female or young male) moose in 2004 (Appendix A).

**Antlered Moose**

There were 5,134 first-choice applicants for the 1,004 permits offered in 2004 compared with 4,980 for 1,004 permits in 2003 and 4,817 first-choice applications for 1,003 permits in 2002. The overall success rate for applicants in 2004 was 20% compared with 20% in 2003 and 21% in 2002. Only 7% (353) of the applications were received from non-residents (6% or 306 non-resident applications were received in 2003, up from 297 in 2002). Thirteen permits were filled as second-choice hunts, and 12 permits were unfilled in the drawing and were sold in a second, leftover-permit drawing. In the past, leftover permits were sold on a first-come, first-served basis. In order to make sure everyone had equal opportunity, a second drawing was added beginning in 2004. Non-resident hunters drew 6% (57) of the permits compared with 5% (55) in 2003 (non-resident hunters were eligible for up to 100 permits).

A total of 776 antlered moose were harvested in 2004 for a 77% hunter success rate. The hunter success rate was 75% (764 moose harvested) in 2003 and 76% (761 moose harvested) in 2002. Fifty-seven non-resident hunters harvested 46 antlered moose (81% hunter success) in 2004. The mean antler spread was 36.6 inches.

**Antlerless Moose**

In 2004, there were 203 first-choice applications for the 231 permits available compared with only 163 in 2003. As in 2003, 1 permit was received by a non-resident hunter (3 applications were received from non-residents). In 2002, there were 118 applications for 147 permits. Forty-nine permits were filled as second-choice hunts in 2004, and 52 permits were unfilled in the drawing and were sold in the second, leftover-permit drawing.

There were 157 antlerless moose harvested in 2003 for a 77% harvest rate. In 2003, hunters harvested 169 moose for a 73% success rate, while in 2002, hunters harvested 98 antlerless moose for a 67% harvest rate.

In an effort to restore interest in antlerless permits among hunters, the Commission approved a change in rule, allowing hunters to harvest both an antlered and an antlerless moose in Idaho beginning in 2005.

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>1</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>1</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**PANHANDLE REGION**

**Abstract**

In 2004, 9 of 188 bulls harvested exceeded 50 inches in antler spread (4.8%) while in 2003, 7 of 185 bulls exceeded 50 inches (3.7%). Success rates averaged 81% from 1994-2003 and were 86% in 2004. The average antler spread for harvested bull moose ( $n=188$ ) was 38.0 inches. There were 25 unregulated moose mortalities reported during 2004.

**Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9**

**Management Direction**

1. Develop an index to moose population trends that does not rely solely on aerial surveys.
2. Place enforcement emphasis on known problem areas of illegal moose kills. Publicize moose poaching arrests and the statewide reward system (Citizens Against Poaching) in the media.
3. Develop a program for warning deer and elk hunters that moose are in an area to reduce accidental kills of moose.
4. Continue to examine present controlled hunt boundaries to include areas not now open to hunting and to distribute moose hunters more evenly. Coordinate moose management and permit levels along the Idaho/Washington border with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
5. Continue collecting information on moose distribution and mortality from Department and other agency personnel and the hunting public.

**Background**

For many years, it was believed that Panhandle Region provided little suitable moose habitat and that populations would remain relatively low. Open areas and extensive riparian areas that typify

moose habitat are not widespread in the Region. Rather, moose often utilize closed-canopy timber with interspersed shrub fields and creek bottoms. Presently, these populations are steadily expanding where timber harvesting and fire have created early-seral shrub fields.

Historically, moose have been managed in Idaho for rapid population increases. Seasons have been set on a controlled-hunt basis with conservative permit levels and limited antlerless harvest.

### **Population Surveys**

No surveys were conducted for moose during the study period.

### **Harvest**

In Panhandle Region, moose hunting is now authorized in Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 9 with an 86-day season for bull moose and a 40-day season for antlerless moose (Appendix A). Twelve controlled hunts were authorized in Panhandle Region in 2004 with a total of 235 permits. Two hundred two permit holders completed the mandatory report stating that they were successful in harvesting a moose for a success rate of 86% (Table 1). All units have shown an increase in permits over the past 10 years, with Unit 1 having the largest number of permits (Table 2).

### **Controlled Hunt Odds**

Most areas of Idaho have permits available for a variety of big game species. By forcing a choice between moose and other big game permits, the Department has been successful in substantially improving drawing odds across most of the state. In the Panhandle, the only big game species managed under a permit system is moose, making drawing odds poor for moose.

Interest in moose hunting in Panhandle Region has been high since moose hunting began. The increase in moose permits offered since 2001 has been greater than the increase in applicants, resulting in an improvement in the odds of drawing a permit. In 2004, the combined odds of drawing a moose permit were 1 in 9, representing an improvement from previous years when drawing odds were in the mid-teens.

### **Other Mortalities**

Enforcement records of moose illegal mortalities were added to the existing database of moose mortalities for prior years. During the past 8 years, 25 to 64 moose mortalities have been detected each year, in addition to controlled hunt harvest (Table 3). The bulk of these were illegal kills with road-kills contributing significantly. During this reporting period, 25 moose mortalities were documented in Panhandle Region in addition to controlled hunts, 12 of which were illegal kills. The Coeur d'Alene Indian Tribe regulates moose harvest on ceded lands under agreement with the State of Idaho. In coordination with state goals, the tribe planned to increase tribal harvest to 10 bull moose on ceded lands starting in 2002. Final tribal harvest is unknown at this time, but is estimated to be 10 animals based on prior success rates. Tribal harvest remains a negligible impact to moose herd dynamics in the Panhandle.

## **Management Implications**

Recent aerial surveys allowed permit numbers to be increased from 123 in 2000 to 236 for the 2004 season. The number of applicants remained approximately the same, so drawing odds improved substantially, with 1 in 9 applicants drawing a permit. Success rates in 2004 (87%) was slightly increased compared to an average of 81% in previous years (1993-2002). The effort required to harvest a moose was relatively unchanged from previous years. Nine of the 188 bulls checked were measured at 50 inches or greater maximum antler spread, which represents an increase from the previous year. Large bulls are still available, with 28 bulls over 45 inches in size, similar to the 29 bulls reported in 2003.

This year's data reflects the fourth year of a more aggressive moose management program. During 2001, hunt areas were combined into larger hunts, and permit levels were increased substantially, including conservative cow moose hunts in Units 1 (2003) and 2. This system allowed much higher hunter participation with an associated improvement in drawing odds (1 permit per 14 applicants in 2000 vs. 1 permit per 9 applicants in 2004). Our expectation was that mean bull age and bull moose density would decrease somewhat during the next few years as easily accessed areas were hunted more intensively than in the past. The increase in the number of large bulls (>50 inches spread) and the improvement in success rates from 2002 do not indicate that expected bull ages or densities have changed significantly at this time. We will continue to closely monitor population and harvest information for indications of changes to regional moose numbers.

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Panhandle Region, 1981-present.

Year	Permits	Harvest			Hunter success (%)	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
		M	F	Total			
1981	11	7	0	7	64	701	1:63.7
1982	11	11	0	11	100	599	1:54.5
1983	15	14	0	14	93	712	1:47.5
1984	15	14	0	14	93	721	1:48.1
1985	28	21	0	21	75	907	1:32.4
1986	28	23	0	23	82	750	1:26.8
1987	28	24	0	24	86	653	1:23.3
1988	40	34	0	34	85	597	1:14.9
1989	40	35	0	35	88	725	1:18.1
1990	42	38	0	38	90	849	1:20.2
1991	51	45	0	45	88	1,024	1:20.1
1992	51	44	0	44	86	1,071	1:21.0
1993	83	69	0	69	83	1,361	1:16.4
1994	83	63	0	63	76	1,430	1:17.2
1995	100	84	0	84	84	1,529	1:15.3
1996	100	74	0	74	74	1,516	1:15.2
1997	103	85	0	85	83	1,837	1:17.8
1998	103	91	0	91	88	1,623	1:15.8
1999	123	100	0	100	81	2,001	1:16.3
2000	123	106	0	106	86	1,765	1:14.3
2001	220	176	5	181	82	1,799	1:8.2
2002	220	156	5	161	73	1,703	1:7.7
2003	235	189	17	206	88	1,858	1:7.9
2004	236	188	14	202	86	2,088	1:8.8
2005	285	226	26	253	88	2,536	1:8.9

Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by Game Management Unit, Panhandle Region, 1994-present.

Unit	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
1	1994	59	45	0	76	8.1	1,026	1:17.4
	1995	74	63	0	85	11.3	1,106	1:14.9
	1996	74	56	0	76	7.9	1,081	1:14.6
	1997	74	64	0	86	10.2	1,109	1:15.0
	1998	74	67	0	91	8.4	1,050	1:14.2
	1999	88	68	0	77	12.1	1,324	1:15.0
	2000	88	75	0	85	8.6	812	1:9.2
	2001	155	120	0	77	8.6	828	1:5.3
	2002	155	103	0	66	9.2	1,065	1:6.9
	2003	170	135	14	88	9.3	1,165	1:6.9
	2004	171	131	10	82	7.2	1,185	1:6.9
	2005	170	145	18	96	8.9	1,220	1:7.2
2	1994	4	3	0	75	2.3	120	1:30.0
	1995	5	5	0	100	4.8	116	1:23.2
	1996	5	5	0	100	5.0	129	1:25.8
	1997	10	9	0	90	9.0	230	1:23.0
	1998	10	10	0	100	14.0	225	1:22.5
	1999	10	10	0	100	9.6	298	1:29.8
	2000	10	10	0	100	6.4	162	1:16.2
	2001	25	20	5	100	7.1	211	1:8.4
	2002	25	20	5	100	4.4	205	1:8.2
	2003	25	20	4	96	8.2	208	1:8.3
	2004	25	17	4	84	5.5	287	1:11.5
	2005	35	25	8	94	6.0	309	1:12.4
3 & 4	1994	4	4	0	100	7.3	60	1:15.0
	1995	4	3	0	75	9.3	57	1:14.3
	1996	4	4	0	100	10.0	86	1:21.5
	1997	4	2	0	50	2.7	104	1:26.0
	1998	4	3	0	75	9.1	87	1:21.8
3	1999	5	4	0	80	4.3	29	1:5.8
	2000	5	4	0	80	11.3	27	1:5.4
	2001	5	5	0	100	7.2	35	1:7.0
	2002	5	5	0	100	10.8	49	1:9.8
	2003	5	4	0	80	8.5	44	1:8.8
	2004	5	5	0	100	6.8	66	1:13.2
4	2005	10	11 <sup>b</sup>	0	100	4.9	83	1:8.3
	1999	5	4	0	80	8.0	110	1:22.0
	2000	5	5	0	100	9.5	68	1:13.6
	2001	10	9	0	90	12.0	108	1:10.8
	2002	10	7	0	70	10.0	122	1:12.2
	2003	10	8	0	80	14.6	133	1:13.3
	2004	10	8	0	80	9.9	175	1:17.5
6	2005	15	15	0	100	4.0	229	1:15.3
	1994	4	4	0	100	2.5	101	1:25.3
	1995	5	5	0	100	10.3	156	1:31.2
	1996	5	5	0	100	7.8	124	1:24.8

Table 2. Continued.

Unit	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
7	1997	5	4	0	80	7.0	175	1:35.0
	1998	5	5	0	100	12.0	181	1:36.2
	1999	5	5	0	100	11.8	154	1:38.0
	2000	5	4	0	80	8.3	121	1:24.2
	2001	10	7	0	70	11.0	132	1:13.2
	2002	10	8	0	80	4.1	147	1:14.7
	2003	10	10	0	100	9.2	185	1:18.5
	2004	10	8	0	80	9.9	233	1:23.3
	2005	15	14	0	93	6.4	275	1:18.3
	1994	8	4	0	50	14.5	87	1:10.9
	1995	8	4	0	50	11.9	68	1:8.5
	1996	8	2	0	25	2.5	46	1:5.8
	1997	5	4	0	80	9.0	60	1:12.0
	1998	5	1	0	20	17.7	48	1:9.6
	1999	5	4	0	80	6.5	56	1:11.2
	2000	5	3	0	60	8.8	34	1:6.8
	2001	10	10	0	100	11.8	108	1:10.8
	2002	10	10	0	100	9.4	57	1:5.7
	2003	10	9	0	90	5.0	83	1:8.3
	2004	10	8	0	80	4.1	86	1:8.6
2005	10	8	0	80	4.7	112	1:11.2	
9	1994	4	3	0	75	7.8	40	1:10.0
	1995	4	4	0	100	6.7	26	1:6.5
	1996	4	2	0	50	5.0	50	1:12.5
	1997	5	2	0	40	9.5	44	1:8.8
	1998	5	5	0	100	10.6	32	1:6.4
	1999	5	5	0	100	7.4	30	1:6.0
	2000	5	5	0	100	9.2	41	1:8.2
	2001	5	5	0	100	8.0	61	1:12.2
	2002	5	5	0	100	10.0	40	1:8.0
	2003	5	5	0	100	10.8	40	1:8.0
2004	5	5	0	100	8.0	56	1:11.2	
2005	10	9	0	90	5.8	54	1:5.4	

<sup>a</sup> Prior to 1996, data are from a telephone survey of all hunters. Beginning in 1996, data are from mandatory check of successful hunters only.

Table 3. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Panhandle Region, 1992-present.

Year	Mortality agent						Total
	Native American harvest	Illegal kill	Road kill	Natural	Train kill	Other	
1992	0	7	3	1	2		13
1993	1	3	1	1	1		7
1994	2	14	7	1	1	5	30
1995	2	42	5	3	0	12	64
1996	4	16	16	3	10	5	54
1997	2	12	9	3	4	2	32
1998	2	35	5	4	0	2	48
1999	2	24	20	4	1	3	54
2000	2	16	15	1	3	1	38
2001	9	22	8	0	0	3	42
2002	10 <sup>a</sup>	15	20	0	0	0	45
2003	10 <sup>a</sup>	20	1	0	0	1	32
2004	10 <sup>a</sup>	12	2	1	0	0	25

<sup>a</sup> Estimate. The Coeur d'Alene Indian Tribe issued 10 bull moose permits on ceded lands during 2002-2004. Final tribal harvest not available for 2002-present.

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>2</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>1</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**CLEARWATER REGION**

**Units 8, 8A, 10, 10A, 12, 14, 15, 16, 16A, 17, 19, 20**

**Controlled Hunt Areas 8, 8A, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 10-5, 10-6,  
10A-1, 10A-2, 10A-3, 10A-4, 10A-5, 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, 12-4, 12-5, 12-6,  
14-1, 14-2, 15-1, 15-2, 15-3, 15-4, 16-1, 16-2, 16A-1, 16A-2,  
17-1, 17-2, 17-3, 17-4, 17-5, 19-1, 19-2, 20-1, 20-2, 20-3, 20-4**

**Abstract**

Based upon mandatory harvest report data, Clearwater Region hunters harvested 155 antlered moose in 40 antlered-only controlled hunts and an additional 8 antlerless moose in 2 controlled hunts for antlerless moose in 2004. A total of 270 (262 antlered, 8 antlerless) permits were available across the Region for a total harvest success rate of 60%. Antlered and antlerless success rates were 59% and 100%, respectively. Drawing odds ranged from 1:1.0 (Hunt Areas 10-1, 12-1, 12-4, 16A-2, 17-1, 17-2, 17-3, 19-1, 19-2, 20-2, 20-3, and 20-4) to 1:15.2 (Hunt Area 8A).

**Management Direction**

Moose populations will be allowed to increase in units where habitat conditions will support expansion. Legal harvest will continue primarily for antlered bulls. Antlerless moose hunting opportunity will be continued in those areas where population control measures are considered necessary. Moose harvest will be increased where feasible and decreased where necessary. Known mortalities will be documented and information on numbers and distribution will be obtained from big game mortality report forms and mandatory harvest checks.

Moose populations large enough to support hunts are found in all big game management units in the Region except Units 11, 11A, 13, and 18. Management units are divided into controlled hunts to disperse hunters and to direct harvest to specific areas.

Historically, moose have been hunted with controlled hunts on a bulls-only basis; however, in 1999, 2 antlerless moose hunts (Hunt 8-2 with 4 permits, and Hunt 8A-2 also with 4 permits)

were initiated to increase hunting opportunity, address high cow moose densities, and minimize the potential for moose-automobile collisions in these areas. Hunting season lengths for moose in Clearwater Region were 86 days for antlered moose hunts and 40 days for antlerless hunts (Appendix A). Since 1986, persons applying for moose permits have been prohibited from applying for any other controlled hunt to improve drawing odds. Additionally, unsuccessful permittees must wait 2 years before applying for another controlled moose hunt. Permit levels are based on trends in antler spread of harvested moose and hunter success rates of recent permittees in the respective controlled hunts.

Some moose populations in Clearwater Region are found in climax vegetative cover. Summer feeding habits tend to be nocturnal in open, wet meadows, while diurnal activity is limited to adjacent forested areas. Logging may reduce habitat for these populations. Winter habitat selection favors subalpine fir and pacific yew plant communities. Other populations are adapted to seral plant communities, except in winter. These populations seem to be expanding in areas where extensive habitat manipulation has resulted in seral brush fields. Winter ranges appear to be timbered areas where yew-wood thickets are several hundred years old. Creating openings in these timber stands through logging may impact moose by eliminating these yew-wood thickets. Effects of the recent expansion of wolves on moose populations within the Region is as yet undetermined.

### **Population Surveys**

Moose in Clearwater Region are usually counted incidental to elk surveys. Consequently, many moose are not counted because these surveys are seldom flown at elevations where moose normally winter and because moose tend to prefer dense subalpine fir plant associations for winter habitat where they are less conspicuous. As a result, no comparative population data have been collected on a regular basis on moose throughout the Region.

A sightability survey of moose in Unit 15 was attempted in 2000. Results were unsatisfactory because of overly-large confidence intervals. These results were due to the extreme correction factors applied to animals detected under heavy canopy coverage classes. During model development, only 4 moose were encountered in cover greater than 70%.

### **Harvest Characteristics**

Harvest levels, hunter success, and hunter days expended for 2004 were determined from mandatory harvest reports (Tables 1 and 2). Hunt areas in Units 12, 15, and 17 were combined and/or renamed in 2001 and 1 new hunt area was added in Unit 10 (10-6) in 2001. Permit numbers were adjusted in the Region to respond to changes in hunter success rates and/or antler spread with a net loss of 22 permits. The 270 moose permits that were available in 2004 resulted in a reported harvest of 155 antlered moose and 8 antlerless moose. Mortality reports from some permittees were unaccounted for and were not used in calculating hunter success. The 2004 cumulative success rate (60%) was slightly higher than the average (58%) for the past 5-year period (1999-2003). Success rates for antlered and antlerless moose were 59% and 100%, respectively. Drawing odds ranged from 1:1.0 (Hunt Areas 10-1, 12-1, 12-4, 16A-2, 17-1, 17-2, 17-3, 19-1, 19-2, 20-2, 20-3, and 20-4) to 1:15.2 (Hunt Area 8A).

Reported moose mortalities due to methods other than legal harvest during controlled hunts have varied considerably by unit (Table 3). In previous years, Unit 15 averaged the highest number of reported mortalities in the Region outside of scheduled hunts, followed by Units 10A and 12. In 2004, Unit 8 led the Region with 4 reported mortalities. Unit 10A followed with 3 reported moose which were taken illegally. It is likely that the level of mortality is considerably higher than reported for Clearwater Region, particularly with respect to the 'Native American harvest' and 'Illegal kill' categories.

### **Climatic Conditions**

Clearwater Region experienced below normal snow pack during winter 2004-2005. Clearwater River Basin was 17% of average of snow water (Oct-Jun), with total precipitation average at 75%. Meanwhile, Salmon River Basin averaged 30% of snow water also with a total precipitation average at 75% for the same time period. Snowfall was sporadic throughout winter in the Region, but most accumulation at lower elevations did not persist. This allowed big game populations to forage and move easily and probably had a positive effect on big game over-winter survival. Spring conditions consisted of heavy intermittent rain showers which allowed for good growth of big game food sources.

### **Management Implications**

Permit levels will continue to be allocated based on trends in antler spread of harvested moose and hunter success rates of recent permittees. Numbers of permits may be increased or decreased as dictated by harvest data. Permit numbers were decreased by 22 in Clearwater Region in 2001 and by an additional 20 permits in 2005.

All areas need more intensive work to determine population levels, trends, and habitat selection and use. Some moose populations appear to be increasing and seem to respond favorably to extensive habitat alteration by silvicultural practices. However, other populations may be displaced or eliminated because they cannot adapt to habitat changes, particularly where yew-wood thickets are eliminated through logging and where increased road densities make moose more vulnerable to illegal and Native American harvest.

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Clearwater Region, 1990-present.

Year	Permits	Harvest			Hunter success (%)	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
		M	F	Total			
1990	167	118	0	118	71	1,156	1:6.9
1991	176	134	0	134	76	1,201	1:6.8
1992	176	132	0	132	75	1,221	1:6.9
1993	201	159	0	159	79	1,211	1:6.0
1994	201	133	0	133	66	1,115	1:5.5
1995	263	177	0	177	67	1,501	1:5.7
1996	263	162	0	162	62	1,288	1:4.9
1997	263	157	0	157	60	1,579	1:6.0
1998	263	153	0	153	58	1,250	1:4.8
1999	292	180	8	188	64	1,540	1:5.3
2000	292	177	7	184	63	961	1:3.3
2001	270	141	7	148	55	931	1:3.4
2002	270	152	8	160	59	803	1:3.0
2003	270	156	7	163	60	858	1:3.2
2004	270	155	8	163	60	901	1:3.3

Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Clearwater Region, 1995-present.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
8	1995	4	3	0	75	12.8	55	1:13.8
	1996	4	3	0	75	15.3	41	1:10.3
	1997	4	3	0	75	7.0	41	1:10.3
	1998	4	4	0	100	17.6	44	1:11.0
	1999	10	6	4	100	8.7	61	1:6.1
	2000	10	5	3	80	5.1	34	1:3.4
	2001	10	5	3	80	7.1	35	1:3.5
	2002	10	6	4	100	5.4	52	1:5.2
	2003	10	6	3	90	5.4	48	1:4.8
	2004	10	6	4	100	4.2	54	1:5.4
8A	1995	4	4	0	100	15.5	58	1:14.5
	1996	4	3	0	75	7.8	65	1:16.3
	1997	4	2	0	50	9.5	84	1:21.0
	1998	4	4	0	100	5.5	93	1:23.3
	1999	10	6	4	100	5.2	154	1:5.4
	2000	10	6	4	100	3.5	76	1:7.6
	2001	10	5	4	90	4.1	104	1:10.4
	2002	10	5	4	90	4.6	93	1:9.3
	2003	10	6	3	90	11.3	113	1:11.3
	2004	10	6	4	100	6.8	105	1:10.5
10	1995	23	14	0	61	7.6	114	1:5.0
	1996	23	16	0	70	7.3	124	1:5.4
	1997	23	16	0	70	8.4	134	1:5.8
	1998	23	14	0	61	6.7	151	1:6.6
	1999	23	16	0	70	11.1	149	1:6.5
	2000	23	13	0	57	4.0	112	1:4.9
	2001	28	17	0	61	6.4	91	1:3.3
	2002	28	14	0	50	9.3	86	1:3.1
	2003	28	20	0	71	6.4	82	1:2.9
	2004	28	21	0	75	3.9	105	1:3.8
10A	1995	23	21	0	91	8.3	184	1:8.0
	1996	23	19	0	83	9.9	155	1:6.7
	1997	23	20	0	87	13.2	201	1:8.7
	1998	23	14	0	61	9.8	151	1:6.6
	1999	34	21	0	62	8.7	194	1:5.7
	2000	34	29	0	85	11.9	134	1:3.9
	2001	32	28	0	88	6.8	116	1:3.6
	2002	32	26	0	81	7.9	130	1:4.1
	2003	32	27	0	84	8.9	140	1:4.4
	2004	32	25	0	78	9.4	145	1:4.5
12	1995	64	37	0	58	5.9	258	1:4.0
	1996	64	33	0	52	5.2	201	1:3.1
	1997 <sup>b</sup>	64	29	0	45	5.0	258	1:4.0
	1998 <sup>c</sup>	64	27	0	42	5.6	172	1:2.7
	1999 <sup>c</sup>	61	29	0	48	6.0	191	1:3.1
	2000 <sup>c</sup>	61	31	0	51	6.3	119	1:2.0
	2001	45	16	0	36	3.0	70	1:1.6

Table 2. Continued.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds	
			M	F					
14	2002	45	24	0	53	4.5	58	1:1.3	
	2003	45	27	0	58	6.7	75	1:1.7	
	2004	45	22	0	49	5.6	87	1:1.9	
	1995	10	10	0	100	6.6	111	1:11.1	
	1996	10	10	0	100	5.7	113	1:11.3	
	1997	10	9	0	90	3.9	161	1:16.1	
	1998	10	8	0	80	6.0	124	1:12.4	
	1999	10	9	0	90	7.9	157	1:15.7	
	2000	10	9	0	90	4.5	100	1:10.0	
	2001	13	11	0	85	3.5	124	1:9.5	
	2002	13	11	0	85	5.3	120	1:9.2	
	2003	13	11	0	85	4.6	121	1:9.3	
15	2004	13	11	0	85	8.2	114	1:8.8	
	1995	51	44	0	86	7.8	408	1:8.0	
	1996	51	43	0	84	7.1	337	1:6.6	
	1997	51	37	0	73	6.8	346	1:6.8	
	1998	51	44	0	86	8.7	287	1:5.6	
	1999	60	50	0	83	7.5	386	1:6.4	
	2000	60	44	0	73	8.2	212	1:3.5	
	2001	60	34	0	57	8.9	256	1:4.3	
	2002	60	35	0	58	8.5	176	1:2.9	
	2003	60	35	0	58	11.2	173	1:2.9	
	2004	60	37	0	62	7.1	186	1:3.1	
	16	1995	14	12	0	86	3.8	90	1:6.4
1996		14	9	0	64	5.4	65	1:4.6	
1997		14	10	0	71	10.2	94	1:6.7	
1998		14	11	0	79	6.3	79	1:5.6	
1999		14	14	0	100	6.5	89	1:6.4	
2000		14	13	0	93	6.2	78	1:5.6	
2001		17	10	0	59	6.3	65	1:3.8	
2002		17	11	0	65	5.4	40	1:2.4	
2003		17	9	0	53	7.0	58	1:3.4	
2004		17	10	0	59	4.8	47	1:2.8	
16A		1995 <sup>d</sup>	7	6	0	86	6.9	38	1:5.4
		1996	7	2	0	29	2.0	41	1:5.9
	1997	7	5	0	71	5.0	33	1:4.7	
	1998	7	5	0	71	8.2	43	1:6.1	
	1999	7	5	0	71	7.8	21	1:3.0	
	2000	7	3	0	43	8.7	21	1:3.0	
	2001	7	6	0	86	4.3	13	1:1.9	
	2002	7	3	0	43	14.3	14	1:2.0	
	2003	7	3	0	43	4.0	8	1:1.1	
	2004	7	5	0	71	16.8	12	1:1.7	
	17	1995	35	13	0	37	7.9	66	1:1.9
		1996	35	8	0	23	3.3	45	1:1.3
1997		35	11	0	31	5.4	37	1:1.1	
1998		35	4	0	11	4.3	26	1:1.0	

Table 2. Continued.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
19	1999	35	11	0	31	4.5	55	1:1.6
	2000 <sup>c</sup>	35	12	0	34	5.8	23	1:1.0
	2001	22	2	0	9	4.5	25	1:1.1
	2002	22	9	0	41	6.5	14	1:1.0
	2003	22	6	0	27	7.7	16	1:1.0
	2004	22	7	0	32	10.3	16	1:1.0
	1995	14	8	0	57	5.5	71	1:5.1
	1996	14	9	0	64	4.3	44	1:3.1
	1997	14	9	0	64	6.9	156	1:11.1
	1998	14	10	0	71	3.4	37	1:2.6
	1999	14	7	0	50	3.7	42	1:3.0
	2000	14	7	0	50	5.6	29	1:2.1
	2001	12	2	0	17	14.0	15	1:1.3
	2002	12	4	0	33	5.0	6	1:1.0
20	2003	12	6	0	50	10.7	14	1:1.2
	2004	12	3	0	25	12.5	40	1:3.3
	1995	14	5	0	36	8.6	48	1:3.4
	1996	14	7	0	50	3.6	57	1:4.1
	1997	14	6	0	43	4.0	34	1:2.4
	1998	14	8	0	57	12.1	43	1:3.1
	1999	14	6	0	43	3.8	41	1:2.9
	2000	14	5	0	36	11.4	23	1:1.6
	2001	14	5	0	36	8.4	17	1:1.2
	2002	14	4	0	29	4.5	14	1:1.0
	2003	14	2	0	14	7.0	10	1:1.0
	2004	14	2	0	14	16.5	9	1:1.0

<sup>a</sup> Prior to 1996, data are from a telephone survey of all hunters. Beginning in 1996, data are from mandatory check of successful hunters only.

<sup>b</sup> One permittee returned tag prior to season start.

<sup>c</sup> Some permits not sold.

<sup>d</sup> Failure to make contact with 2 permittees during telephone survey of hunters; therefore, harvest estimate and days hunted were taken from the big game mandatory report.

Table 3. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Clearwater Region, 1995-present.

Year	Mortality agent					Total
	Native American harvest	Illegal kill	Road kill	Natural	Other	
1995	10	4	7	4	2	27
1996	4	9	4	3	6	26
1997	1	18	2	2	5	28
1998	6	3	3	0	5	17
1999	6	1	0	0	8	15
2000	5	10	0	5	0	20
2001	1	9	3	0	1	14
2002	2	13	4	0	2	21
2003	0	2	0	0	3	5
2004	0	7	2	2	1	12

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>3</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>1</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**SOUTHWEST REGION**

**Units 19A, 20A, 25, 26**

**Controlled Hunt Area 20A**

**Abstract**

One moose was harvested in Hunt Area 19A in 2004. One moose was harvested among Hunt Areas 20A-1, 20A-2, and 20A-3 during the 2004 season. Seven permits were issued in these 3 areas combined in 2004. Hunter success for these 3 hunt areas may reflect effects of hunting on the local, more vulnerable, moose or effects of predation on moose in these areas. One permit holder harvested a moose in Hunt Area 25. No moose were harvested in Hunt Area 26 in 2004. The average greatest antler spread for bull moose ( $n = 3$ ) was 43.5 inches. No population trend or herd composition surveys were conducted in Units 19A, 20A, 25, or 26 during the reporting period. Modifications were made to Hunt Areas 20A-1, 20A-2, and 20A-3, resulting in 2 hunts (20A-1 and 20A-2) with 2 permits each for the 2005-2006 regulation cycle.

**Management Direction**

Management will be consistent with the statewide management direction delineated in the 1991-1995 Moose Management Plan.

**Background**

Moose observations have been increasing in Units 19A, 20A, 25, and 26. As a result, a 2-permit hunt was initiated in Unit 20A in 1983. Further increases in moose sightings led to subdivision of the unit in 1995 into 3 hunt areas, 20A-1, 20A-2, and 20A-3, consisting of 2, 3, and 2 permits, respectively. This increase in moose observations also led to the establishment of a 2-permit hunt in Unit 26 in 1997. Consequently, 2 new hunts, Hunt Areas 19A and 25, were created in 1999 consisting of 2 permits each.

## **Population Surveys**

No moose population surveys were conducted during the reporting period.

## **Harvest Characteristics**

Moose hunting seasons last 86 days in Units 19A, 20A, 25, and 26 (Appendix A). Harvest data are generated through a mandatory hunter report requirement. One permit holder harvested a moose in Hunt Area 19A (Tables 1 and 2). One moose was harvested in Hunt Area 20A-1, and 1 permit holder harvested a moose in Hunt Area 25. No moose were harvested in Hunt Area 26 in 2004. Average spread was 44.5 inches in Unit 19A, 45.5 inches in Unit 20A-1, and 40.5 inches in Unit 25.

## **Management Implications**

Because reliable population data are not available and difficult to generate, permit levels have been conservative. The frequency and location of reports indicate pioneering populations exist in game management units adjacent to or near Units 20A and 26 (e.g., 19A, 24, 25). Two moose hunts with 2 permits each were implemented in Units 19A (Hunt Area 19A) and 25 (Hunt Area 25) in 1999. The lack of hunter success continued in Unit 20A in 2004. The most vulnerable moose in the Chamberlain Basin, Root Ranch, and Cold Meadows areas may have been harvested, making hunting more difficult. There may also be effects of predation on animals in these areas. These 3 hunt areas have been combined into 2 new hunt areas with 2 permits in each area for the 2005-2006 regulation cycle. All areas need intensive data collection to determine population levels, trends, and habitat selection.

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Southwest Region, 1995-present.

Year	Permits	Harvest			Hunter success (%)	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
		M	F	Total			
1995	7	7	0	7	100	38	1:5.4
1996	7	4	0	4	57	38	1:5.4
1997	9	7	0	7	78	49	1:5.4
1998	9	4	0	4	44	38	1:4.2
1999	13	9	0	9	69	105	1:8.1
2000 <sup>a</sup>	13	4	0	4	31	50	1:3.8
2001 <sup>b</sup>	16	8	0	8	50	47	1:2.9
2002	13	8	0	8	62	47	1:3.6
2003	13	6	0	6	46	70	1:5.4
2004	13	3	0	3	23	78	1:6.0

<sup>a</sup> Three permit holders opted for a rain-check tag in 2001.

<sup>b</sup> Includes 3 rain-check tag recipients from the 2000 hunting season.

Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Southwest Region, 1995-present.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
19A <sup>b</sup>	1999	2	2	0	100	18.5	39	1:19.5
	2000	2	1	0	50		17	1:8.5
	2001	2	1	0	50		18	1:9.0
	2002	2	2	0	100	9.5	19	1:9.5
	2003	2	2	0	100	4.5	24	1:12
	2004	2	1	0	50		32	1:16
20A	1995	7	7	0	100	3.7	38	1:5.4
	1996	7	4	0	57	2.8	38	1:5.4
	1997	7	5	0	71	5.2	26	1:3.7
	1998	7	3	0	43	3.0	19	1:2.7
	1999	7	4	0	57	2.8	14	1:2.0
	2000 <sup>c</sup>	7	2	0	29	15.0	19	1:2.7
	2001 <sup>d</sup>	10	3	0	30	4.7	10	1:1.0
	2002	7	2	0	28		8	1:1.1
	2003	7	0	0	0		13	1:1.9
	2004	7	1	0	14		7	1:1.0
25 <sup>b</sup>	1999	2	2	0	100	8.5	38	1:19.0
	2000	2	1	0	50		9	1:4.5
	2001	2	2	0	100	8.5	15	1:7.5
	2002	2	2	0	100	5.0	17	1:8.5
	2003	2	2	0	100	3.0	25	1:12.5
	2004	2	1	0	50		31	1:15.5
26 <sup>e</sup>	1997	2	2	0	100	1.5	23	1:11.5
	1998	2	1	0	50	7.0	19	1:9.5
	1999	2	1	0	50	2.0	14	1:7.0
	2000	2	0	0	0		5	1:2.5
	2001	2	2	0	100	3.5	4	1:2.0
	2002	2	2	0	100	3.5	3	1:1.5
	2003	2	2	0	100	11.0	8	1:4.0
	2004	2	0	0	0		8	1:4.0

<sup>a</sup> Prior to 1996, data are from a telephone survey of all hunters. Beginning in 1996, data are from mandatory check of successful hunters only.

<sup>b</sup> Hunt established in 1999.

<sup>c</sup> Three permit holders opted for a rain-check tag in 2001.

<sup>d</sup> Includes 3 rain-check tag recipients from the 2000 hunting season.

<sup>e</sup> Hunt established in 1997.

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>4</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>1</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**MAGIC VALLEY REGION**

**Units 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 52, 52A, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57**

**Controlled Hunt Areas 44, 56**

**Abstract**

Legal harvest was authorized in Magic Valley Region for the first time in 1999 in Unit 56. Beginning fall 2001, harvest was authorized in Units 44, 48, and 49. Nine permits were issued in 2004 for the 2 hunt areas and 9 hunters were successful (100%). The average greatest recorded antler spread for bull moose ( $n = 8$ ) was 38.7 inches; the ninth animal's antler spread was not reported.

**Management Direction**

Follow statewide management direction; allow established populations to expand; transplant moose where feasible; and increase effort to record sightings and mortalities.

**Background**

Prior to 1990, transient moose were recorded throughout Magic Valley Region, but there were no viable, resident populations. In recent years, moose numbers in the Region have increased as a result of natural ingress and transplants; and viable populations, capable of sustaining limited harvest, occur in Units 44, 48, 49, and 56.

**Population Surveys**

Aerial population surveys for moose have not been conducted in the Region. In recent years, observations indicate increasing numbers of moose along the South Fork Boise River in Unit 43, Willow Creek in Unit 44, Big Wood River in Unit 48, and in the Trail Creek drainage on the border of Units 48-49. The increase in moose numbers is primarily the result of movement of moose from Unit 50. Moose released in Unit 44 probably contributed to an increase in moose population in this unit. During the 2004-2005 reporting period, observations suggested there

were 100+ moose in the Willow Creek, Big Wood, and Trail Creek areas. Populations in the Sublett area (Unit 56) appear to be stable and observations are common.

### **Harvest Characteristics**

Hunting season length for antlered moose in both hunt areas in Magic Valley Region were 86 days in 2004 (Appendix A). Four antlered permits were again offered in Hunt Area 44 (includes part of Unit 44 and all of Units 48 and 49). Four bulls were harvested with 1 taken in Unit 44 and 3 taken in Unit 48 (Table 1). Five antlered permits were again offered in Hunt Area 56 (includes Units 56, 73, and 73A). Five bulls were harvested with 3 taken in Unit 56 and 2 taken in Unit 73 (Table 1).

Three other moose mortalities were reported in the Region during the reporting period (Table 2). Two female moose, an adult and juvenile, were reported road-killed in Unit 52 on Highway 20 in September 2004. In addition, a young male moose was reported road-killed in Unit 48 on Highway 75 in July 2004.

### **Capture and Translocation**

In 1981-1982, the Department identified suitable, unoccupied moose habitat in Units 43 and 44 and requested that Sawtooth National Forest conduct an environmental analysis for the establishment of a moose population on the Fairfield Ranger District. Upon completion of the analysis in 1983, arrangements were made to translocate “problem” moose from urban areas in Upper Snake and Southeast regions to Units 43 and 44. From March 1986-June 2000, 31 moose (6 adult or yearling bulls, 16 adult or yearling females, 7 male calves, and 2 female calves) were released.

No moose were released in the Region during this reporting period.

### **Management Implications**

Efforts to reintroduce moose in Unit 43 have not been successful in establishing a huntable moose population in this unit. Most of the released moose have been illegally killed or have moved from the area. However, numerous moose observations are made in Unit 43 during the winter while Department employees are conducting elk feeding operations and sightability surveys.

The Big Wood River moose population (Units 48 and 49) is expanding and has potential for additional growth. Human-moose conflicts in the Big Wood River Valley were minimal during the reporting period and public support remains strong for moose population expansion in this area.

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Magic Valley Region, 1999-present.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
44 <sup>a</sup>	2001	2	2	0	100	3.8	9	1:4.5
	2002	2	1	0	50	1.0	13	1:6.5
	2003	4	3	0	75	11.0	16	1:4.0
	2004	4	4	0	100	7.7	20	1:5
56	1999	5	5	0	100	16.0	28	1:5.6
	2000	5	5	0	100	3.8	21	1:4.2
	2001	5	4	1	100	19.2	31	1:6.2
	2002	5	4	0	80	3.0	31	1:6.2
	2003	5	5	0	100	17.2	37	1:7.4
	2004	5	5	0	100	5.6	44	1:11.4

<sup>a</sup> Hunt established in 2001.

Table 2. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Magic Valley Region, 1986-present.

Year	Mortality agent					Total
	Native American harvest	Illegal kill	Road kill	Natural	Other	
1986	0	1	0	0	0	1
1987	0	0	0	0	0	0
1988	0	0	1	1	0	2
1989	0	3	1	0	0	4
1990	0	1	0	0	0	1
1991	0	0	0	1	0	1
1992	0	0	1	0	0	1
1993	0	1	0	0	0	1
1994	0	0	0	0	0	0
1995	0	1	0	0	1	2
1996	0	2	0	0	0	2
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	0	0	0	0	1	1
1999	1	0	0	0	0	1
2000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2001	0	2	0	0	4	6
2002	0	0	0	0	0	0
2003	0	0	0	0	1	1
2004	0	0	3	0	0	3

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>5</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>I</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**SOUTHEAST REGION**

**Units 66A, 70, 71, 72, 73, 73A, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78**

**Controlled Hunt Areas 66A, 70, 71-1, 71-2, 72,  
74, 75, 76-1, 76-2, 76-3, 77, 78**

**Abstract**

In 2004, 155 antlered-only and 70 antlerless-only permits were offered. Mandatory harvest reports identified a total of 129 antlered (83% hunter success) and 31 antlerless (44% hunter success) moose harvested. The average greatest antler spread was 36.2 inches for the 115 antlered moose for which data is available. Data for Hunt Area 56 (Units 56, 73, and 73A) are reported under the Magic Valley Region-subproject 4.

**Management Direction**

Management direction for moose in Southeast Region follows that for the state in general; to provide "high-quality" hunting and other moose-related recreational opportunities. Consequently, permit levels are conservative, and hunter success is high relative to hunts for other cervid species. For antlered-only hunts, emphasis is on providing each hunter with the opportunity to harvest a mature bull moose. Antlerless-only moose hunting is also offered due to relatively high moose populations. Non-consumptive values of moose are also important.

The 1991-1995 Moose Management Plan established the goals of providing high-quality moose hunting and other moose-related recreational experiences for as many people as possible, assisting the expansion of moose populations into available habitat, and increasing permit numbers where possible.

**Background**

Prior to the 1950s, there were too few moose in Southeast Region to justify harvest. The first hunt for moose in the Region was held in 1959 when 5 antlered-only permits were issued for a portion of Unit 76. With continued growth of the population, harvest has increased to recent levels of over 150 moose in 11 units. Illegal moose harvest may be substantial (Kuck and

Ackerman 1984), although reporting of these cases is sporadic. The Department issued a small number of permits for any moose in several units from 1975-1990. An average of 80% of that harvest was antlered moose. In 1991, antlerless-only hunts were instituted in Units 66A and 76. Since 1991, permits have been issued for antlered or antlerless-only moose. Antlerless moose hunts start later than antlered hunts to provide more time for calf development.

Portions of the Region continue to be colonized by moose, and populations apparently are increasing. Notably, moose appear to be expanding in Units 73 and 73A.

### **Population Surveys**

No moose surveys were conducted in Southeast Region during 2004 due to time constraints. Moose aerial surveys were conducted in 2 units in 2002. During January 2002, search units were flown in Hunt Areas 66A and 76-3.

In Hunt Area 66A, 19 search units were stratified as high, medium, or low likelihood of moose and 13 search units were flown for sightability. One hundred fifty-two moose were counted in these 13 search units consisting of 75 cows, 48 bulls, and 29 calves (Table 1). Estimates of 219 ( $\pm 31$ ) total moose including 105 ( $\pm 15$ ) cows, 75 ( $\pm 18$ ) bulls, and 39 ( $\pm 9$ ) calves were generated using the Hiller-Soloy Wyoming-based model (Unsworth et al. 1994). Overall herd composition was estimated as 48% cows, 34% bulls, and 18% calves. The population estimate of 219 in 2002 was 23% lower than the estimate of 285 in 1995; however, 90% confidence intervals overlap. Average moose seen were 3.0 in low units, 16.0 in medium units, and 18.5 in high units. Search units were likely well-stratified for the survey.

In Hunt Area 76-3, 13 search units were stratified as high or low likelihood of moose and 10 search units were flown for sightability. One hundred three moose were counted in these 10 search units consisting of 41 cows, 48 bulls, and 14 calves (Table 1). Estimates of 174 ( $\pm 40$ ) total moose including 71 ( $\pm 20$ ) cows, 78 ( $\pm 20$ ) bulls, and 25 ( $\pm 8$ ) calves were generated using the Hiller-Soloy Wyoming-based model. Overall herd composition was estimated as 41% cows, 45% bulls, and 14% calves. The population estimate of 174 in 2002 was very close to the 167 estimated in 1995. Average moose seen was 9.8 in low units and 11.2 in high units. Search units may need to be re-stratified or have stratification by moose likelihood deleted in future surveys.

### **Harvest Characteristics**

Permit levels (Tables 2 and 3) and season structure (Appendix A) were unchanged in 2004. Two hundred twenty-five permits (155 antlered and 70 antlerless) were issued. Minimum reported harvest was available through a mandatory mortality report of successful hunters. Reported harvest totaled 160; 129 antlered and 31 antlerless moose (Tables 2 and 3). Average antler spread for Southeast Region was 36.2 inches.

Minimum overall hunter success rate for the Region was 71%; 44% for antlerless-only permits and 83% for antlered-only permits.

Other sources of moose mortality are illegal, Native American harvest, natural, road-kills, and other. For the 2004-2005 reporting period, 3 non-harvest mortalities were reported. (Table 4). Reporting of non-hunting mortalities is believed to be much lower than the actual number.

### **Climatic Conditions**

Winter 2004-2005 snow depths were below the 30-year average, with snow levels at 70-90% of average in most drainages. Average temperature during winter was similar to the 30-year norm.

### **Habitat Conditions**

Succession of aspen stands into conifer may negatively affect moose habitat in the future. Treatment to retard succession may slow potential decreases. Development and disturbance associated with mining and timber harvest in the eastern portion of the Region continued. Livestock grazing and other development of riparian areas impact moose habitat in many parts of the Region.

### **Management Implications**

Aerial surveys, using sightability models such as Anderson (1994) and Unsworth et al. (1994), and the mandatory check of moose harvested provide the majority of information available for management. Conservative permit levels likely allow for passive population expansion and growth, particularly in those areas being newly colonized.

Relatively high drawing odds for antlered-only permits indicate strong demand for moose hunting opportunity. Antlerless-only drawing odds are generally 1:1 or less; however, leftover permits sell quickly.

Moose also have high non-consumptive values for viewing by the public. Their relative abundance and general lack of fear of humans make them easy for people to observe.

During spring and early summer, an average of 5-30 moose wander into the city of Pocatello and surrounding communities. These are nearly always yearlings or 2-year olds and are most often hazed back into the surrounding hills or captured and translocated to more suitable habitat.

### **Literature Cited**

- ANDERSON, C. R. 1994. Aerial moose sightability in western Wyoming. Thesis, University of Wyoming, Laramie, USA.
- KUCK, L., AND B. ACKERMAN. 1984. Impacts of illegal harvest on big game. Pages 363-373 *in* L. Kuck (ed.) Cooperative Wildlife Study, Phase 2: Mining Impacts Studies. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, USA.
- UNSWORTH, J. W., F. A. LEBAN, D. J. LEPTICH, E. O. GARTON, AND P. ZAGER. 1994. Aerial survey: user's manual. Second edition. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, USA.

Table 1. Total observed moose by sex/age class and model estimates of moose from aerial surveys, Southeast Region, 1991-present.

Hunt area Year	Observed		Estimate	
	Total	Bull:cow:calf	Total	Bull:cow:calf
76-1, 2				
1994	90	42:100:42	432	26:100:50
2000	286	74:100:42	510±83	74:100:42
76-3, 4				
1993	104	76:100:37	192	76:100:36
1997	89	85:100:44	190	100:100:53
76-5, 6				
1991	136	49:100:60		
1995	121	55:100:40	167±22	54:100:34
2002	103	117:100:34	174±40	110:100:35
76				
1999	140	100:100:62	583±146	99:100:60
66A				
1995	159	69:100:49	285±60	67:100:43
2002	152	64:100:39	219±31	71:100:37

Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Southeast Region, 1983-present.

Year	Permits	Harvest			Hunter success (%)	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
		M	F	Total			
1983	74	54	5	59	80		
1984	95	77	5	82	86	1,908	1:20.1
1985	95	73	4	77	81	1,841	1:19.4
1986	95	79	4	83	87		
1987	95	81	8	89	94	834	1:8.8
1988	110	100	5	105	95	830	1:7.5
1989	110	95	4	99	90	556	1:5.1
1990	125	98	9	107	86	738	1:5.9
1991	135	94	20	114	84	910	1:6.7
1992	135	98	19	117	87	837	1:6.2
1993	160	113	29	142	89	728	1:4.6
1994	160	114	29	143	89	809	1:5.1
1995	180	115	32	147	82	932	1:5.2
1996	180	105	34	139	77	921	1:5.1
1997	180	115	31	146	81	849	1:4.7
1998	180	103	28	131	73	804	1:4.5
1999	185	104	49	153	83	1,026	1:5.5
2000	185	111	34	145	78	600	1:3.2
2001	220	124	48	172	78	747	1:3.4
2002	220	127	38	165	75	723	1:3.3
2003	225	129	51	180	80	701	1:3.1
2004	225	129	31	160	71	737	1:3.1

Table 3. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Southeast Region, 1995-present.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
66A	1995	42	28	9	88	7.4	294	1:7.0
	1996	42	24	8	76	4.1	231	1:5.5
	1997	42	26	7	79	7.7	247	1:5.9
	1998	42	22	8	71	4.7	232	1:5.5
	1999	42	22	12	81	5.2	273	1:6.5
	2000	42	27	7	81	5.7	194	1:4.6
	2001	45	24	12	80	4.1	220	1:4.9
	2002	45	29	12	91		202	1:4.5
	2003	45	28	12	89	3.8	215	1:4.8
	2004	45	30	7	82	6.5	197	1:4.8
70	1995	5	4	0	80	11.6	36	1:7.2
	1996	5	3	0	60	6.0	10	1:2.0
	1997	5	4	0	80	21.0	29	1:5.8
	1998	5	5	0	100	6.0	16	1:3.2
	1999	5	4	0	80	11.3	30	1:6.0
	2000	5	4	0	80	20.0	21	1:4.2
	2001	5	4	0	80	11.8	15	1:3.0
	2002	5	5	0	100		30	1:6.0
	2003	5	5	0	100	10.0	15	1:3.0
	2004	5	5	0	100	5.8	34	1:3.0
71	1995	10	10	0	100	5.9	49	1:4.9
	1996	10	8	0	80	5.8	73	1:7.3
	1997	10	9	0	90	8.1	52	1:5.2
	1998	10	9	0	90	6.8	54	1:5.4
	1999	15	6	4	67	6.1	75	1:5.0
	2000	15	7	4	73	11.0	42	1:2.8
	2001	20	9	5	70	7.1	54	1:2.7
	2002 <sup>b</sup>	20	7	3	50		25	1:1.3
	2003 <sup>b</sup>	20	9	6	75	7.5	23	1:1.2
	2004	20	8	3	55	4.1	34	1:1.2
72	1995	5	5	0	100	5.2	32	1:6.4
	1996	5	3	0	60	6.0	27	1:5.3
	1997	5	5	0	100	3.0	28	1:5.6
	1998	5	4	0	80	5.8	34	1:6.8
	1999	5	5	0	100	6.8	47	1:9.4
	2000	5	5	0	100	5.4	26	1:5.2
	2001	5	5	0	100	1.8	39	1:7.8
	2002	5	5	0	100		31	1:6.2
	2003	5	4	0	80	12.8	34	1:6.8
	2004	5	5	0	100	6.8	27	1:6.8
74	1995	5	5	0	100	5.2	16	1:3.2
	1996	5	3	0	60	2.3	22	1:4.4
	1997	5	3	0	60	23.3	18	1:3.6
	1998	5	3	0	60	12.0	25	1:5.0
	1999	5	2	0	40	4.3	19	1:3.8
	2000	5	4	0	80	13.7	12	1:2.4
	2001	5	4	0	80	34.7	16	1:3.2

Table 3. Continued.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
75	2002	5	3	0	60		16	1:3.2
	2003	5	4	0	80	7.0	24	1:4.8
	2004	5	3	0	60	13.7	17	1:4.8
	1995	5	5	0	100	19.3	36	1:7.2
	1996	5	4	0	80	9.3	27	1:5.3
	1997	15	8	5	87	5.2	48	1:3.2
	1998	15	9	2	73	8.9	36	1:2.4
	1999	15	10	4	93	8.9	41	1:2.7
	2000	15	5	4	60	3.8	28	1:1.9
	2001	15	10	4	93	7.1	26	1:1.7
76	2002	15	9	2	73		29	1:1.9
	2003 <sup>b</sup>	15	9	3	80	6.8	31	1:2.1
	2004	15	9	3	80	8.1	36	1:2.1
	1995	94	46	23	73	10.3	420	1:4.5
	1996	94	50	26	81	4.4	447	1:4.8
	1997	84	48	19	80	5.3	375	1:4.5
	1998	84	40	18	69	6.4	345	1:4.1
	1999	84	42	29	85	7.0	480	1:5.7
	2000	84	45	19	76	5.6	249	1:3.0
	2001	105	51	27	74	4.8	326	1:3.1
77	2002 <sup>b</sup>	105	57	21	74		329	1:3.1
	2003	110	51	30	74	6.2	323	1:2.9
	2004	110	51	18	63	6.9	321	1:2.9
	1995	7	6	0	86	18.6	21	1:3.0
	1996	7	4	0	57	11.5	26	1:3.7
	1997	7	6	0	86	7.3	20	1:2.9
	1998	7	4	0	57	6.3	28	1:4.0
	1999	7	6	0	86	14.2	28	1:4.0
	2000	7	7	0	100	7.1	12	1:1.7
	2001	10	8	0	80	7.6	24	1:2.4
78	2002	10	4	0	40		25	1:2.5
	2003	10	9	0	90	6.3	23	1:2.3
	2004	10	9	0	90	5.4	20	1:2.3
	1995	7	6	0	86	15.0	28	1:4.0
	1996	7	6	0	86	13.8	58	1:8.3
	1997	7	6	0	86	21.7	32	1:4.6
	1998	7	7	0	100	11.0	34	1:4.9
	1999	7	7	0	100	10.4	33	1:4.7
	2000	7	7	0	100	13.9	16	1:2.3
	2001	10	9	0	90	10.9	27	1:2.7
78	2002	10	8	0	80		36	1:3.6
	2003	10	9	0	90	19.8	13	1:1.3
	2004	10	9	0	90	8.2	51	1:1.3

<sup>a</sup> Prior to 1996, data are from a telephone survey of all hunters. Beginning in 1996, data are from mandatory check of successful hunters only.

<sup>b</sup> Applicants and drawing odds for antlered hunts only.

Table 4. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Southeast Region, 1992-present.

Year	Mortality agent						Total
	Native American harvest	Illegal kill	Road kill	Natural	Train kill	Other	
1992	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1993	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
1994	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1995	1	10	1	1	0	7	20
1996	1	2	5	0	1	1	10
1997	0	1	1	3	0	3	8
1998	0	1	1	0	1	3	6
1999	0	1	4	3	0	0	8
2000	0	4	2	1	0	2	9
2001	1	1	3	0	0	4	9
2002	0	1	2	1	0	1	5
2003	0	0	2	3	0	1	6
2004	0	0	2	1	0	0	3

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>6</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>I</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**UPPER SNAKE REGION**

**Abstract**

Hunting season lengths for antlered and antlerless moose remained at 86 days (30 Aug-23 Nov) and 40 days (15 Oct-23 Nov), respectively, in 2004 (Appendix A). Twenty-two controlled hunts with 336 permits were offered for antlered moose and 19 controlled hunts with 133 permits were offered for antlerless moose in Upper Snake Region in 2004. A total of 287 antlered (85% hunter success) and 95 antlerless (71% success) moose were harvested as determined by mandatory harvest reports. The mean antler spread for all antlered hunts combined was 34.8 inches ( $n = 281$ ). Drawing odds for antlered hunts overall were 1:3.9 and ranged from 1:1.7 (Hunt Area 65) to 1:10.5 (Hunt Area 50). Drawing odds for antlerless hunts guaranteed a tag for all first-choice applicants in all hunts except Hunt Area 50 where odds were 1:1.6.

Other sources of moose mortality are illegal, Native American harvest, natural, road-kill, train-kill and other. For the 2004-2005 reporting period, 25 non-harvest mortalities were reported for Upper Snake Region (Table 1) including 6 illegal, 22 road-kills, and 7 other.

No population surveys were conducted specifically for moose during this reporting period due to fiscal constraints. However, 488 moose were counted incidental to deer and elk surveys in Units 62 (12), 66 (98), 69 (286), 58 (18), 59 (38), and 59A (36) on winter range.

Concern has been expressed by sportsmen and field personnel that trophy bull moose have become scarce in Upper Snake Region. These concerns were examined and addressed for the 2005-2006 trophy species season-setting process. Harvest data has shown some decrease in mean antler spread depending on hunt area. Data also showed a decrease in the proportion of larger bulls harvested. This information, in conjunction with lower harvest success with consistent hunter effort, prompted the Region to recommend reducing bull permits in several hunt areas. It appears that when we were consistently raising permit levels to track increasing populations, we may have passed the threshold on bull harvest for consistently producing large antlered bulls. For the 2005-2006 seasons, the Region reduced bull permits from 336 to 235 (30% reduction) and reduced cow permits from 133 to 115 (14% reduction). The effects of the new reduced permit levels should be monitored in the future and the reductions will be discussed more in the 2006 report.

## **Climatic Conditions**

Spring and summer weather conditions during 2004 were wetter and cooler than normal. Winter precipitation was below normal and temperatures were near normal. The spring of 2005 has seen above average precipitation levels.

## **Depredation, Capture, and Translocation**

Nine moose complaints were recorded during this reporting period. Four involved moose eating stored hay and were resolved by hazing and paneling haystacks, all in Unit 63A near Ririe. Five nuisance complaints were resolved by moving the animals; 2 from Idaho Falls, 1 from Rigby, and 1 from the Sugar City area. Several other minor moose complaints were fielded by local officers and dealt with either a phone call or visit.

### **Units 50, 51, 58, 63, 63A**

#### **Controlled Hunt Areas 50, 51, 58, 63, 63A**

## **Background**

In early 1980, 6 moose were released near North Fork of the Big Lost River (Unit 50). Most initially remained close to their release site, but there has been egress to other areas. Reproduction has occurred, and additional transplants have augmented this population. During the winter of 2001-2002, several nuisance moose were also translocated to Unit 50.

An antlered-only hunt in Unit 50 was initiated in 1993 and an antlerless-only hunt was initiated in 2003. An antlered-only moose hunt was opened in Unit 51 in 1999 as a result of an increasing number of moose being sighted incidentally during deer and elk sightability surveys and ground observations. In 2003, an antlered-only hunt was opened in Unit 58 for the same reason.

A significant population of moose exists in Unit 63A. Moose utilize riparian habitat along the North and South Forks of Snake River and associated sloughs, and depredation and nuisance complaints occur on a fairly regular basis. Moose distribution in Unit 63 is centered around the Mud Lake Wildlife Management Area (WMA)-Camas National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) area.

Hunt Area 63A was initiated in 1987. Unit 63 was added to Hunt Area 63A in 1999 and was then split into 2 separate hunts (Hunt Areas 63 and 63A) in 2003. Permit levels have continued to increase in both the antlered and antlerless hunts in these areas.

## **Population Surveys**

No population surveys were conducted during this reporting period. However, moose were counted incidentally during elk sightability surveys in Unit 50 in 1999 and 2000, Unit 51 in 1999 and 2003, and Unit 58 in 2005. Six moose were observed in Unit 50 in 1999; a total of 11 moose were counted in 2000 (2 bulls, 2 cows, 3 calves, 3 unclassified). Eighteen moose were observed in Unit 51 in 1999 (7 bulls, 2 cows, 2 calves, 7 unclassified); and 13 moose were counted in 2003

(3 bulls, 5 cows, 2 calves, 3 unclassified). A total of 34 moose were counted incidentally to elk surveys in 2004. Eighteen moose were counted incidental to elk in Unit 58 in 2005.

### **Harvest Characteristics**

Permit levels (Tables 2 and 3) were unchanged in 2004. A total of 28 antlered-only permits were issued in these units in 2004, resulting in the harvest of 25 animals (89% success) based on mandatory harvest reports. In addition, 19 moose were harvested on 25 antlerless-only permits (76% success). In 2004, mean antler spreads were 43.8 ( $n = 6$ , range 33.5-46.0) in Hunt Area 50; 37.5 ( $n = 2$ , range 30.0-45.0) in Hunt Area 51; 43.5 ( $n = 1$ ) in Hunt Area 58; 30.9 ( $n = 6$ , range 33.5-41.5) in Hunt Area 63; and 36.4 ( $n = 10$ , range 28.5-47.5) in Hunt Area 63A.

### **Habitat Conditions**

Habitats within these units are quite varied. In Unit 50, extensive willow bottoms provide good summer and winter habitat, and the moose population appears to be increasing and ranging throughout the coniferous zone in summer.

Habitat in Units 51 and 58 are limited to discontinuous willow riparian areas. Habitat in Unit 63 is almost entirely desert and is unsuitable for moose except areas on and adjacent to Mud Lake WMA and Camas NWR. Habitat in Unit 63A consists primarily of the Snake River riparian zone adjacent to private residential and agricultural lands.

### **Management Implications**

A new hunt was initiated in Unit 50 in 1993 and in Unit 51 in 1999. The populations in Unit 63 and 63A appear to be increasing and are causing numerous nuisance and depredation problems in some years and permit increases were implemented beginning in 1993.

## **Units 59, 59A**

### **Controlled Hunt Area 59**

#### **Background**

Former Hunt Areas 59 and 59A were combined in 1993 to form the current Hunt Area 59. Twenty antlered-only and 5 antlerless-only permits were offered in 2004 (Appendix A). Prior to 1993, 2 hunts with a total of 12 antlered-only permits were offered in these units. Former Hunt Area 59 had been open continuously since 1974 with permit levels fluctuating between 4 and 8 with over 90% hunter success reported. Hunt Area 59A was closed in 1978 after 1 moose was harvested in the preceding 4 years. In 1983, this hunt was reopened and 2 permits were issued annually through 1988 with 100% hunter success. Four permits were issued each season from 1989-1992 with 100% hunter success. Permit levels have increased steadily since that time.

## **Population Surveys**

A moose trend count was flown in Units 59 and 59A on 17-18 December 1994 using a Bell Model G47 Soloy helicopter. Counting conditions were good, with 8 or more inches of relatively new snow cover present over the entire area. All probable moose habitat was surveyed. A total of 179 moose (129 in Unit 59 and 50 in Unit 59A) with a bull:cow:calf ratio of 44:100:54 was counted on the survey. Of the 40 bulls counted, 13 were classified as yearlings, 20 as adults, and 7 had already shed antlers.

Few previous data are available for comparison. Prior to this count, no surveys had been conducted in Unit 59 since 1984 (64 total moose), and Unit 59A had never been surveyed specifically for moose. However, during deer and elk sightability surveys conducted in 1991-1992, 1993-1994, and 1999-2000, moose were counted on an incidental basis. In 1991-1992, 46 moose were counted in Unit 59 and 71 in Unit 59A. In 1993-1994, 49 moose were observed in Unit 59 and 46 in Unit 59A (unclassified). The 1999-2000 survey resulted in a total count of 90 moose (10 bulls, 19 cows, 13 calves, 48 unclassified). The 2004-2005 survey resulted in a total count of 74 moose (6 bulls, 13 cows, 6 calves, 49 unclassified).

## **Harvest Characteristics**

Permit levels (Tables 2 and 3) were unchanged in 2004. Twenty permits for antlered moose were offered in 2004, and 19 animals were harvested for a 95% hunter success rate. In addition, 5 antlerless permits were issued and 5 animals were harvested (100% success). Mean antler spread was 36.1 inches ( $n = 19$ ) and ranged from 24.0-46.0 inches.

Statewide drawing odds have improved substantially in most units due to regulation changes implemented in 1986. In 2004, drawing odds were 1:5.1 in Hunt Area 59.

Known illegal kill (Table 1) was a serious problem in the early 1980s when it nearly equaled controlled harvest but has been of little significance, based on documented mortalities, in recent years.

## **Habitat Conditions**

Habitat consists primarily of conifer/sagebrush ecotones and aspen. Riparian areas are limited and discontinuous. Habitat extends down major drainages that have willows. Improving riparian zone management would increase habitat quality and quantity in this area.

## **Management Implications**

General observations indicate the moose population in these units is stable. Permit levels have increased steadily and will continue to be adjusted in response to data analysis.

## **Units 60, 60A, 61, 62, 62A**

### **Controlled Hunt Areas 60, 60A, 61-1, 61-2, 61-3, 62, 62A**

#### **Background**

During the 1970s, the moose population in Fremont County was thought to be declining and experiencing high levels of illegal mortality and Native American harvest. As a result, all moose hunts in Fremont County were closed in 1977. After a boundary change to include only Clark County, Hunt 361-1 was the only hunt open from 1977 to 1982.

A winter aerial survey conducted in 1983 counted moose in numbers slightly below the highs of the early 1950s. The Island Park area is the only area where counts were clearly lower than those in the 1952-1956 period. In response to the population recovery, 8 controlled hunts were opened in 1983 in Fremont County.

A new hunt was established in Unit 60A in 1986. The hunt area consists of agricultural land and the riparian zone along Henrys Fork of the Snake River. Many residences and farms occur in the area. The moose population within this corridor has been increasing. Annual depredation and nuisance complaints of moose in agriculture fields and near towns and residences have been received, resulting in expanded antlerless-only hunting opportunity. Permits were reduced by approximately 50% on the Island Park caldera portion of the Region in 1991 as a result of significant winter mortality during the 1988-1989 winter, but have been steadily increasing since as populations continue to grow.

Fourteen hunts with a total of 129 antlered-only and 45 antlerless-only permits were offered in 2004 (Appendix A) in these hunt areas.

#### **Population Surveys**

A population survey was conducted in Unit 62 and a portion of 62A during December 2000. The survey in 62A was not completed because of fiscal constraints. The final population estimate for Unit 62 was 366 moose including 180 cows, 109 bulls, and 77 calves (Table 4). This total compares to fixed wing censuses of 228 and 97 moose observed during 1989 and 1990, respectively.

Most of the area was surveyed by airplane from November 1989-February 1990 (Table 5). Survey results indicated that moose populations had decreased substantially since the previous winter. Moose appeared to be in poor condition prior to the 1988-1989 winter following 2 years of drought, and significant winter losses probably occurred.

A helicopter survey was conducted along the North Fork Snake River corridor between St. Anthony and the Highway 33 bridge in Hunt Area 60A in December 1991. Only the riparian corridor was searched, so this should be considered a minimum count. A total of 37 moose were observed (2 bulls, 21 cows, 14 calves).

Moose have been counted incidental to deer and elk sightability surveys in Unit 60A on a fairly regular basis. However, moose distribution varies greatly from year to year and, since not all search units are surveyed, the usefulness of this information is questionable.

In 2004, a total of 239 moose were counted incidental to deer trend surveys. The majority of these animals were unclassified. Other recent totals for Unit 60A include 185, 387, 473, 585, 340, 219, 272, 360, 187, and 312 in 2003, 2002, 2000, 1998, 1997, 1996, 1995, 1994, 1993, and 1991, respectively.

### **Harvest Characteristics**

Permit levels (Tables 2 and 3) were unchanged in 2004. One hundred twenty-nine antlered-only moose permits were issued in 2004, resulting in the harvest of 103 animals (80% success) based on mandatory harvest reports. In addition, 33 moose were harvested on 45 antlerless-only permits (73% success) in Hunt Areas 60, 60A, 61-1, 61-2, 61-3, 62, and 62A. Mean antler spreads were 34.5 ( $n = 24$ , range 22.0-48.0) in Hunt Area 60; 20.5 ( $n = 3$ , range 29.75-31.75) in Hunt Area 60A; 33.4 ( $n = 17$ , range 19.5-48.0) in Hunt Area 61-1; 37.49 ( $n = 11$ , range 31.75-44.75) in Hunt Area 61-2; 35.1 ( $n = 19$ , range 24.0-46.0) in Hunt Area 61-3; 33.9 ( $n = 16$ , range 19.0-48.5) in Hunt Area 62; and 35.9 ( $n = 13$ , range 15.5-52.5) in Hunt Area 62A.

### **Management Implications**

The increase in desert-wintering moose has led to increased depredations and nuisance complaints during average to severe winters. Mortality during the 1988-1989 winter resulted in significant population declines. However, moose populations have rebounded rapidly to levels above those present prior to the 1988-1989 die-off. Consequently, permit levels have been increased accordingly.

## **Units 64, 65, 67**

### **Controlled Hunt Areas 64, 65, 67-1, 67-2**

#### **Background**

All of Unit 64 except the Canyon Creek drainage, Unit 65, and Unit 67 north and west of State Highway 31 have been open to moose hunting since 1974. In 1983, this area (old Hunt Area 364) was split along unit boundaries into 3 separate hunts. Increasing moose populations allowed a steady increase in permit levels until 1987. A new Hunt Area, 67-2, was created in 1983 and allowed the harvest of moose in that portion of Unit 67 previously closed.

Hunting opportunity has increased in these units from 1 hunt with 2 permits during the early 1980s to 7 hunts with 78 permits (58 antlered and 20 antlerless permits) in 2004 (Appendix A).

## **Population Surveys**

Historically, moose populations appeared to be increasing in these units prior to the winter of 1988-1989. Forage was impacted by 2 years of drought and moose shifted their distribution to lower elevation agricultural and urban areas. Moose appeared to be in poor condition and significant winter losses likely occurred.

During winter 1992-1993, moose were first counted incidental to elk sightability surveys. Totals of 48, 26, and 90 moose were counted in Units 64, the western portion of 65, and 67, respectively. Most animals counted were unclassified. Moose were also counted incidental to elk sightability surveys during the 1995-1996 winter. Totals of 36, 101, and 60 moose were observed in Units 64, 65, and 67, respectively. Again, most animals were not classified. Moose were again counted incidentally during the 1997-1998 winter. Totals of 67, 30, and 88 (largely unclassified) moose were counted in Units 64, western 65, and 67, respectively. Moose were counted in Units 64, 65, and 67 incidental to elk surveys during the 2003-2004 winter. A total of 110 moose were observed.

## **Harvest Characteristics**

Hunters harvested 47 antlered moose on 58 permits (81% hunter success rate) and 14 antlerless moose on 20 permits (70% hunter success) in 2004 (Table 3). Mean antler spreads were 35.2 ( $n = 15$ , range 24.0-50.0) in Hunt Area 64; 37.6 ( $n = 7$ , range 20.0-46.0) in Hunt Area 65; 31.4 ( $n = 12$ , range 18.0-50.5) in Hunt Area 67-1; and 34.7 ( $n = 13$ , range 17.0-43.5) in Hunt Area 67-2.

## **Habitat Conditions**

Conifer with interspersed aspen and narrow riparian areas make up the majority of moose habitat in this area. Mountain mahogany on south-facing ridges provides important winter moose habitat in Units 65 and 67. In Unit 64, moose are found wintering primarily in stream bottom willow/aspen/dogwood communities.

## **Management Implications**

It is unknown if the fewer moose counted incidental to recent elk and deer surveys, compared to 1998, is a reflection of population change or differences in distribution due to mild wintering conditions. A 1989 aerial survey found approximately half the number of moose censused in 1985. A shift in moose distribution resulting from drought and severe winter conditions was partially responsible for the low count. Also, mortality during the 1988-1989 winter was above normal. Permit levels were maintained for the 1989 and 1990 seasons, but were adjusted in 1991 in response to data analysis. Moose populations appear to have rebounded rapidly to levels at or above those present prior to the 1988-1989 die-off. Consequently, permit levels increased in 1993, 1995, 1997, and again in 1999. Additionally, an antlerless-only hunt was initiated in Unit 64 in 1993.

## Units 66, 69

### Controlled Hunt Areas 66-1, 66-2, 69-1, 69-2, 69-3

#### Background

Ten hunts with a total of 104 antlered-only permits and 35 antlerless permits were offered in Units 66 and 69 in 2004 (Appendix A). The moose population in these units increased at a fairly rapid rate during the late 1970s when populations elsewhere in Upper Snake Region were decreasing or remaining static. Moose populations appeared to have continued to increase, particularly in the west half of Unit 69.

Hunts 66 and 69 were split in 1981 to create 4 hunts (66-1, 66-2, 69-1, and 69-2). This resulted in a 50% increase in permit levels from 1980 (16 to 24). A new hunt (69-3) was created in 1984 from adjacent portions of Hunts 66-1 and 69-2.

Hunt 69-1 was changed from antlered-only to either-sex in 1986 to address landowner concerns over depredations in grain fields. Either-sex permits were not effective in harvesting antlerless moose; no female moose were harvested. As a result, this hunt was changed back to antlered-only in 1991. However, beginning in 1993, an antlerless-only hunt (69-4) was initiated. This hunt had 10 permits and included all of Unit 69. In 1999, Unit 66 was added to this hunt, permits were increased to 20, and it was renumbered Hunt Area 66-3. This antlerless hunt was restructured again in 2001. Unit 66 was dropped from the hunt area and Unit 69 was split into 3 Hunt Areas (69-1, 69-2, and 69-3) that correspond to the like-numbered antlered hunts.

#### Population Surveys

No population surveys have been conducted in these units specifically to monitor moose populations. However, moose were counted incidentally during deer and elk sightability surveys in 1992, 1994, 1995, 1997, 1999, 2000, and 2002 (not all subunits were surveyed).

A total of 60 moose (most unclassified) were counted in Unit 66 in 2000. Other recent totals include 35, 62, 32, 98, and 26 in 1999, 1997, 1995, 1994, and 1992, respectively. In Unit 69, 257 moose were tallied in 2000 (6 bulls, 39 cows, 38 calves, 174 unclassified). Other recent totals include 121, 168, 231, and 193 in 1992, 1995, 1997, and 1999, respectively. A total of 175 moose were counted during deer surveys in Unit 69 in 2002 (107 during composition flights and 68 during trend flights).

#### Harvest Characteristics

Ten hunts with a total of 139 permits were offered in these 2 units in 2004 (Table 3). A total of 92 antlered moose were harvested on 104 permits (88% success). An additional 26 antlerless moose were harvested on 35 permits (74% success). Mean antler spreads were 32.50 ( $n = 19$ , range 22.0-41.9) in Hunt Area 66-1; 34.7 ( $n = 17$ , range 17.0-49.0) in Hunt Area 66-2; 37.5 ( $n = 19$ , range 28.0-46.5) in Hunt Area 69-1; 36.7 ( $n = 26$ , range 21.0-46.3) in Hunt Area 69-2; and 38.0 ( $n = 11$ , range 30.0-46.75) in Hunt Area 69-3.

## **Habitat Conditions**

Hunt Area 66 is characterized by conifer/aspen habitats with narrow canyon bottom riparian areas which support moderate willow/dogwood communities. Hunt Area 69 is primarily aspen/sagebrush and private agricultural land. Moose may be migrating from adjacent areas to winter on Tex Creek WMA.

## **Management Implications**

Steadily increasing moose populations in these units have resulted in an increase in permit levels in all of these hunts since the early 1990s. Additionally, an antlerless-only hunt has been offered since 1993.

Table 1. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Upper Snake Region, 1982-present.

Year	Mortality agent						Total
	Native American Harvest	Illegal kill	Road kill	Natural	Train kill	Other	
1982	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1983	0	6	4	0	0	2	12
1984	11	10	6	3	0	17	47
1985	6	12	13	1	6	9	47
1986	6	19	14	1	0	7	47
1987	6	14	14	7	2	8	51
1988	1	6	31	7	4	41	90
1989	2	2	10	1	0	9	24
1990	3	8	16	4	0	13	44
1991	1	10	12	6	4	22	55
1992	3	10	38	0	0	15	66
1993	1	8	7	0	0	4	20
1994	0	9	36	3	0	6	54
1995	2	3	15	2	0	7	29
1996	2	1	30	1	0	16	50
1997	1	7	27	9	0	5	49
1998	0	2	25	1	0	7	35
1999	2	4	26	5	0	3	40
2000	2	6	19	1	0	4	32
2001	0	3	11	1	0	9	24
2002	0	0	15	3	0	4	22
2003	0	2	14	3	0	0	19
2004	0	6	22	0	0	7	25

Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Upper Snake Region, 1990-present.

Year	Permits	Harvest			Hunter success (%)	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
		M	F	Total			
1990	140	135	2	137	98	1,160	1:8.3
1991	118	105	10	115	97	1,490	1:12.6
1992	118	104	11	115	97	1,101	1:9.3
1993	214	170	30	200	93	1,225	1:5.7
1994	214	171	33	204	95	1,564	1:7.3
1995	231	187	31	218	94	1,668	1:7.2
1996	231	167	28	195	84	1,551	1:6.7
1997	276	201	35	236	86	1,767	1:6.4
1998	276	200	29	229	83	1,654	1:6.0
1999	379	280	46	326	86	2,235	1:5.9
2000	379	274	45	319	84	1,387	1:3.7
2001	406	305	52	357	88	1,472	1:3.6
2002	406	262	45	307	76	1,529	1:3.8
2003	469	265	94	359	77	1,495	1:3.2
2004	469	287	95	382	81	1,387	1:2.9

Table 3. Moose harvest and drawing odds by analysis area, Upper Snake Region, 1995-present.

Analysis area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
50, 51,	1995	22	11	8	86	4.4	114	1:5.2
58, 63	1996	22	10	9	86	4.1	71	1:3.2
63A	1997	26	13	9	85	4.8	116	1:4.5
	1998	26	9	8	65	5.6	96	1:3.7
	1999	34	17	10	79	12.0	160	1:4.7
	2000	34	17	11	82	2.7	90	1:2.6
	2001	37	18	13	84	3.3	113	1:3.1
	2002	37	22	11	89	6.7	111	1:3.0
	2003	53	23	14	70	3.7	107	1:2.0
	2004	53	25	19	83	5.0	135	1:2.5
59, 59A	1995	16	16	0	100	4.4	155	1:9.7
	1996	16	15	0	94	6.6	117	1:7.3
	1997	16	14	0	88	7.1	132	1:8.3
	1998	16	15	0	94	2.8	152	1:9.5
	1999	20	20	0	100	6.1	172	1:8.6
	2000	20	19	0	95	4.8	110	1:5.5
	2001	22	19	0	86	2.6	88	1:4.0
	2002	22	20	0	91	6.7	124	1:5.6
	2003	25	20	5	100	5.0	113	1:4.5
	2004	25	19	5	96	3.1	102	1:4.8
60, 60A	1995	90	77	8	94	4.6	731	1:8.1
61, 62,	1996	90	70	7	86	4.4	678	1:7.5
62A	1997	101	81	6	86	3.8	773	1:7.7
	1998	101	83	3	85	4.8	692	1:6.9
	1999	136	116	3	88	5.7	929	1:6.8
	2000	136	104	5	80	4.5	582	1:4.3
	2001	144	119	13	92	4.2	651	1:4.5
	2002	144	94	9	72	7.2	616	1:4.3
	2003	174	89	32	70	5.9	605	1:3.5
	2004	174	103	33	78	5.2	516	1:2.9
64, 65,	1995	40	33	5	95	7.8	218	1:5.5
67	1996	40	24	4	70	6.3	254	1:6.4
	1997	56	35	7	75	4.5	228	1:4.1
	1998	56	36	5	73	4.8	229	1:4.1
	1999	79	49	15	81	8.1	279	1:3.5
	2000	79	51	10	77	4.8	202	1:2.6
	2001	74	55	9	86	3.8	175	1:2.4
	2002	74	41	8	66	6.8	217	1:2.9
	2003	78	48	16	82	8.7	184	1:2.4
	2004	78	47	14	78	6.2	230	1:2.9
66, 69	1995	63	50	10	95	6.0	450	1:7.1
	1996	63	48	8	89	4.4	431	1:6.8
	1997	77	58	13	92	4.1	518	1:6.7

Table 3. Continued.

Analysis area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
	1998	77	57	13	91	4.1	485	1:6.3
	1999	110	78	18	87	5.2	695	1:6.3
	2000	110	83	19	93	5.3	403	1:3.7
	2001	129	94	17	86	5.2	445	1:3.4
	2002	129	85	17	79	6.8	461	1:3.6
	2003	139	81	29	79	5.3	486	1:3.5
	2004	139	92	26	85	5.3	404	1:2.9

<sup>a</sup> Prior to 1996, data are from a telephone survey of all hunters. Beginning in 1996, data are from mandatory check of successful hunters only.

Table 4. Aerial survey of moose, Hunt Area 62, Upper Snake Region.

2000-2001	Observed	Estimated ( $\pm 90\%$ CI)
Total moose	332	366 $\pm$ 16
Cows	164	180 $\pm$ 9
Bulls	98	109 $\pm$ 8
Calves	70	77 $\pm$ 5
Bulls:Cows:Calves	60:100:43	61:100:43

Table 5. Aerial survey of moose, Hunt Areas 60, 60A, 61, 62, Upper Snake Region.

Inclusive location	1990-1991		1991-1992	
	Bulls:cows:calves	Total	Bulls:cows:calves	Total
Middle to N Leigh Creek	67:100:83	15		0
Wiggleton Hollow to Johns Creek	56:100:56	19		7
N Fork Badger Creek to Bitch Crk	72:100:56	41		6
Bitch Creek to Conant Creek	7:100:68	49	56:100:67	20
Conant Creek to Fall River		14	27:100:55	20
Fall River Ridge to Cave Falls Rd	36:100:43	80		28
Cave Falls Rd to Fish Creek Rd		10	56:100:22	16
Fish Creek to Moose Creek		24		19
Warm River Hatchery to Survey Draw	17:100:67	11		5
Buffalo River		2		2
Macks Inn/Big Springs Henrys Lake Flat	42:100:52	59		19
Henrys Lake	22:100:56	16		19
Henrys Fork to Hatchery Butte west of Warm River	32:100:60	102		14
Humphrey to Spencer	73:100:55	25		14
Spencer to Rattlesnake Creek	25:100:75	24		23
Corral Creek to Spring Creek	5:100:47	29		7
West Camas Drainage		14		29
East Camas Drainage		9		4
Big Bend Ridge	14:100:105	88	22:100:122	68
Desert, east of Sand Creek		6		8
Desert, Red Rd to Sand Creek Rd <sup>a</sup>	100:100:100	85	65:100:41	50
Junipers and Hook of Sands <sup>a</sup>	118:100:44	103	33:100:67	18
Chokecherry Ridge and Second Sands <sup>a</sup>	69:100:45	63	72:100:36	48
Total		888		444

<sup>a</sup> Moose counted in conjunction with helicopter deer survey, 18 December 1988.

**PROGRESS REPORT  
SURVEYS AND INVENTORY**

<b>STATE:</b>	<u>Idaho</u>	<b>JOB TITLE:</b>	<u>Moose Surveys and Inventories</u>
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<u>W-170-R-29</u>		
<b>SUBPROJECT:</b>	<u>7</u>	<b>STUDY NAME:</b>	<u>Big Game Population Status,</u>
<b>STUDY:</b>	<u>I</u>		<u>Trends, Use, and Associated</u>
<b>JOB:</b>	<u>6</u>		<u>Habitat Studies</u>
<b>PERIOD COVERED:</b>	<u>July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005</u>		

**SALMON REGION**

**Units 21, 21A, 29, 30, 30A, 37A**

**Controlled Hunt Areas 21, 29**

**Abstract**

Two controlled hunts with 14 total permits for antlered moose occurred in Salmon Region during 2004. Eleven of 14 hunters harvested moose (79% hunter success). Average antler spread was 33.4 inches; the 5-year running average is 34.7 inches. Interest in moose permits was typical of recent years; 93 applicants selected Salmon Region hunts as first choices (draw odds = 1:6.6).

**Climatic Conditions**

Following a winter of below average precipitation, spring and summer rainfall was above average. Vegetative growth appeared average to above average. Winter conditions were very mild with precipitation approximately 65% of normal. Animals entered winter in very good body condition; coupled with mild winter conditions, over-winter survival was above average. Although plant phenology was advanced during early spring, subsequent cooler temperatures slowed plant growth, bringing about near normal vegetative conditions by April. Water-year precipitation to date has been <75% of normal.

**Background**

Habitats in these units range from riparian river bottoms to sagebrush grasslands on rolling foothills up through ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests to lodgepole pine and spruce-fir forests at higher elevations. Willow shrub communities usually associated with moose habitat are not common. Portions of these units contain extensive cliff and rock talus areas at both low and high elevations. Topography is moderately to very rugged. Units 21 and 21A are in one of the higher precipitation zones in Salmon Region, creating productive commercial forestlands. As a consequence, timber harvest is a dominant activity in at least the North Fork Salmon River drainage. Logging roads are common.

Units 21, 21A, 30, and 30A border areas in Montana where moose are common. Migrants from Montana may well have formed the initial nucleus for populations in units bordering Montana. Cross-border movements are no doubt common in this area. No information exists on historical moose numbers other than an increase in moose sightings in recent years, primarily in the North Fork Salmon River drainage. As a result, Hunt Area 21 (Units 21 and 21A) was initiated in 1990 with 3 permits. Similar increases in moose sightings resulted in establishment of Hunt Area 29 (Units 29 and 37A) in 1991 and Hunt Area 30 (Units 30 and 30A) in 1993. Hunt Area 30 was incorporated into Hunt Area 29 in 1999.

### **Population Surveys**

Because of dense cover, low moose densities, and solitary habits of moose, formal population surveys are generally ineffective in occupied moose habitat in Salmon Region. Incidental observations of moose are recorded during aerial surveys for other ungulates. During 2004-2005 surveys, observers counted 12 moose.

### **Harvest Characteristics**

Harvest and hunter information was compiled from Big Game Mortality Reports, which hunters must complete within 10 days of harvest; antlers of males must be presented to an IDFG representative. Permit levels (Tables 1 and 2) and season structure (Appendix A) were unchanged in 2004. Fourteen antlered-moose permits were allocated between 2 controlled hunts in Salmon Region for 2004. Eleven of 14 hunters harvested moose (79% success). Of 165 permits issued since 1990, 147 hunters (89%) have taken a moose (Table 1). Antler spread of moose harvested during the 2004 season ranged from 18.0 to 43.0 inches (mean = 33.4 in.). Since 1995, average spread ranged from 33.4 to 37.4 inches.

Six moose deaths were attributed to non-hunting mortality during the reporting period (Table 3). Non-hunting mortality ranged from 0 to 8 moose per year since 1982.

### **Habitat Conditions**

Intensive logging operations in primary moose range of Units 21 and 21A generally have enhanced moose habitat by encouraging forb and shrub production in cutover areas. However, positive impacts may eventually be counter-balanced by negative effects of increased road access and loss of mature, dense-canopy forest stands used by moose for winter cover.

### **Capture and Translocation**

No moose capture or translocation operations were conducted in Salmon Region during the reporting period (Table 4). Opportunities exist to expand moose populations in Units 36 and 36B via capture and translocation.

## **Management Implications**

Intensive population or habitat data will not be available for this area in the foreseeable future. Management will be based on moose sighting reports, incidental field observations of moose, and data from moose harvest and miscellaneous mortalities.

Table 1. Moose harvest and drawing odds, Salmon Region, 1990-present.

Year	Permits	Harvest			Hunter success (%)	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
		M	F	Total			
1990	3	2	0	2	67	12	1:4.0
1991	6	6	0	6	100	38	1:6.3
1992	6	6	0	6	100	32	1:5.3
1993	9	9	0	9	100	54	1:6.0
1994	9	8	0	8	89	54	1:6.0
1995	12	10	0	10	83	123	1:10.3
1996	12	11	0	11	92	82	1:6.8
1997	12	12	0	12	100	89	1:7.4
1998	12	11	0	11	92	92	1:7.7
1999	14	13	0	13	93	124	1:8.9
2000 <sup>a</sup>	14	11	0	11	79	80	1:5.7
2001 <sup>a,b</sup>	15	16	0	16	107	102	1:6.8
2002	14	12	0	12	86	76	1:5.4
2003	14	11	0	11	79	106	1:7.6
2004	14	11	0	11	79	93	1:6.6

<sup>a</sup> One permit was deferred from 2000 until 2001 season because of wildfires.

<sup>b</sup> Two hunters mistakenly harvested bulls in Hunt Area 29.

Table 2. Moose harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Salmon Region, 1994-present.

Hunt area	Year	Permits	Harvest		Hunter success (%)	Days/hunter <sup>a</sup>	First-choice applicants	Drawing odds
			M	F				
21	1994	3	2	0	67	7.0	10	1:3.3
	1995	4	3	0	75	18.0	30	1:7.5
	1996	4	4	0	100	8.5	22	1:5.5
	1997	4	4	0	100	4.8	17	1:4.2
	1998	4	4	0	100	4.5	18	1:4.5
	1999	4	4	0	100	17.3	21	1:5.3
	2000 <sup>b</sup>	4	2	0	67	4.0	10	1:2.5
	2001 <sup>b</sup>	5	4	0	80	16.3	15	1:3.8
	2002	4	2	0	50	10.5	15	1:3.8
	2003	4	3	0	75	9.0	10	1:2.5
	2004	4	3	0	75	7.0	9	1:2.3
29	1994	3	3	0	100	2.0	30	1:10.0
	1995	5	4	0	80	4.5	62	1:12.4
	1996	5	5	0	100	7.4	41	1:8.2
	1997	5	5	0	100	6.6	45	1:9.0
	1998	5	4	0	80	2.7	44	1:8.8
	1999	10	9	0	90	3.7	103	1:10.3
	2000	10	9	0	90	4.9	70	1:7.0
	2001 <sup>c</sup>	10	12	0	100	6.7	87	1:8.7
	2002	10	10	0	100	7.9	61	1:6.1
	2003	10	8	0	80	6.3	96	1:9.6
2004	10	8	0	80	7.0	84	1:8.4	
30	1994	3	3	0	100	6.0	14	1:4.7
	1995	3	3	0	100	2.0	31	1:10.3
	1996	3	2	0	67	4.0	19	1:6.3
	1997	3	3	0	100	3.0	27	1:9.0
	1998 <sup>d</sup>	3	3	0	100	8.3	30	1:10.0

<sup>a</sup> Prior to 1996, data are from a telephone survey of all hunters. Beginning in 1996, data are from mandatory check of successful hunters only.

<sup>b</sup> One permit was deferred from 2000 until 2001 season because of wildfires.

<sup>c</sup> Two hunters mistakenly harvested bulls in Hunt Area 29.

<sup>d</sup> Hunt Area 30 combined with Hunt Area 29 after 1998.

Table 3. Known moose mortalities, excluding controlled hunts, Salmon Region, 1982-present.

Year	Mortality agent					Total
	Native American harvest	Illegal kill	Road kill	Natural	Other	
1982	0	0	0	0	0	0
1983	0	0	0	0	0	0
1984	0	0	0	0	0	0
1985	0	0	0	0	0	0
1986	0	0	1	0	0	1
1987	0	0	0	1	0	1
1988	0	1	0	0	0	1
1989	0	0	0	0	0	0
1990	2	0	1	1	0	4
1991	6	0	0	0	0	6
1992	6	1	1	0	0	8
1993	0	1	0	1	0	2
1994	0	1	1	1	0	3
1995	0	0	0	2	0	2
1996	0	0	0	0	2	2
1997	0	1	1	1	0	3
1998	0	1	0	0	2	3
1999	0	0	1	0	1	2
2000	0	0	2	0	0	2
2001	0	2	2	0	0	4
2002	0	2	1	1	1	5
2003	0	0	3	1	0	4
2004	0	0	3	2	1	6

Table 4. Moose translocation, Salmon Region, 1993-present.

Date	Capture site	Release site	Adults		Calves		Total
			M	F	M	F	
2/93	Units 60, 60A, 62 in various locations	Unit 36: Valley Cr.	1	2	0	0	3
		Unit 36: Decker Flat	0	2	1	0	3
		Unit 36: Gold Cr.	0	2	0	0	2

**APPENDIX A**  
**IDAHO**  
**2004 SEASON**  
**MOOSE RULES**



## 2003 & 2004 MOOSE HUNTING SEASONS

- Only moose with at least one antler longer than six inches may be taken in any season open for antlered moose only.
- Only moose without antlers or with antlers less than six inches long may be taken in any season which is open for antlerless moose only.

### MANDATORY CHECK AND REPORT REQUIREMENTS

Antlers must be presented at IDFG regional offices or to a conservation officer within 10 days of the date of the kill. *The IDFG headquarters office is not equipped to check in "mandatory check" species. In the Boise area, these animals can be checked at IDFG's volunteer office at 109 W. 44th St. in Garden City, between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. weekdays.*

Successful hunters must complete a big game mortality report, available at IDFG regional offices or from conservation officers, within 10 days of the date of the kill.

A hunter may authorize another person to comply with the above report requirements if that person complies with those requirements and possesses the necessary information to accurately complete the form.

Unsuccessful permittees must present or mail their unused tags to an IDFG office within 10 days after the close of the season for which the tag was valid. Cancelled tags will be returned to the hunter upon request. Failure to report may result in future ineligibility in moose drawings.

### 2003 & 2004 ANTLERED MOOSE CONTROLLED HUNTS - 1,004 PERMITS

Hunt No.	Season Dates	Controlled Hunt Area	Permits	Notes
3001	Aug 30 - Nov 23	1-1	60	
3002	Aug 30 - Nov 23	1-2	30	
3003	Aug 30 - Nov 23	1-3	25	
3004	Aug 30 - Nov 23	1-4	40	
3005	Aug 30 - Nov 23	2	20	
3006	Aug 30 - Nov 23	3	5	
3007	Aug 30 - Nov 23	4*	10	
3008	Aug 30 - Nov 23	6	10	
3009	Aug 30 - Nov 23	7	10	
3010	Aug 30 - Nov 23	8	6	
3011	Aug 30 - Nov 23	8A	6	
3012	Aug 30 - Nov 23	9	5	
3013	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10-1	6	Contact Clearwater National Forest for motorized travel restrictions on Lolo Motorway.
3014	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10-2	3	
3015	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10-3	8	

\* See controlled hunt area descriptions. This hunt includes other units or parts of other units.

**2003 & 2004 ANTLERED MOOSE CONTROLLED HUNTS**

<b>Hunt No.</b>	<b>Season Dates</b>	<b>Controlled Hunt Area</b>	<b>Permits</b>	<b>Notes</b>
3016	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10-4	4	
3017	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10-5	4	Contact Clearwater National Forest for motorized travel restrictions on Lolo Motorway.
3018	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10-6	3	
3019	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10A-1	9	
3020	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10A-2	8	
3021	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10A-3	3	
3022	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10A-4	7	
3023	Aug 30 - Nov 23	10A-5	5	
3024	Aug 30 - Nov 23	12-1	3	Contact Clearwater National Forest for motorized travel restrictions on Lolo Motorway.
3025	Aug 30 - Nov 23	12-2	13	Contact Clearwater National Forest for motorized travel restrictions on Lolo Motorway.
3026	Aug 30 - Nov 23	12-3	7	
3027	Aug 30 - Nov 23	12-4	7	
3028	Aug 30 - Nov 23	12-5	9	
3029	Aug 30 - Nov 23	12-6	6	Contact Clearwater National Forest for motorized travel restrictions on Lolo Motorway.
3030	Aug 30 - Nov 23	14-1	7	
3031	Aug 30 - Nov 23	14-2	6	
3032	Aug 30 - Nov 23	15-1	20	
3033	Aug 30 - Nov 23	15-2	15	
3034	Aug 30 - Nov 23	15-3	5	
3035	Aug 30 - Nov 23	15-4	20	
3036	Aug 30 - Nov 23	16-1	7	
3037	Aug 30 - Nov 23	16-2	10	
3038	Aug 30 - Nov 23	16A-1	5	
3039	Aug 30 - Nov 23	16A-2	2	
3040	Aug 30 - Nov 23	17-1	7	
3041	Aug 30 - Nov 23	17-2	3	
3042	Aug 30 - Nov 23	17-3	2	
3043	Aug 30 - Nov 23	17-4	5	
3044	Aug 30 - Nov 23	17-5	5	
3045	Aug 30 - Nov 23	19-1	4	
3046	Aug 30 - Nov 23	19-2	8	

**2003 & 2004 ANTLERED MOOSE CONTROLLED HUNTS**

<b>Hunt No.</b>	<b>Season Dates</b>	<b>Controlled Hunt Area</b>	<b>Permits</b>	<b>Notes</b>
3047	Aug 30 - Nov 23	19A	2	
3048	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20-1	5	
3049	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20-2	4	
3050	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20-3	2	
3051	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20-4	3	
3052	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20A-1	2	Limited Access
3053	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20A-2	3	Limited Access.
3054	Aug 30 - Nov 23	20A-3	2	Limited Access
3055	Aug 30 - Nov 23	21*	4	
3056	Aug 30 - Nov 23	25	2	
3057	Aug 30 - Nov 23	26	2	Limited Access
3058	Aug 30 - Nov 23	29*	10	
3059	Aug 30 - Nov 23	44*	4	
3060	Aug 30 - Nov 23	50	6	
3061	Aug 30 - Nov 23	51	2	
3062	Aug 30 - Nov 23	56*	5	
3063	Aug 30 - Nov 23	58	2	
3064	Aug 30 - Nov 23	59*	20	
3065	Aug 30 - Nov 23	60	26	
3066	Aug 30 - Nov 23	60A	8	Short range weapons only.# Limited access. Motorboat advised for game retrieval.
3067	Aug 30 - Nov 23	61-1	20	
3068	Aug 30 - Nov 23	61-2	15	
3069	Aug 30 - Nov 23	61-3	22	
3070	Aug 30 - Nov 23	62	20	
3071	Aug 30 - Nov 23	62A	18	
3072	Aug 30 - Nov 23	63	5	Short range weapons only in Mud Lake WMA. Limited access.
3073	Aug 30 - Nov 23	63A	10	Short range weapons only# Limited access.
3074	Aug 30 - Nov 23	64	18	
3075	Aug 30 - Nov 23	65	10	
3076	Aug 30 - Nov 23	66-1	20	
3077	Aug 30 - Nov 23	66-2	22	

*\* See page 11.*

*\* See controlled hunt area descriptions. This hunt includes other units or parts of other units.*

**2003 & 2004 ANTLERED MOOSE CONTROLLED HUNTS**

Hunt No.	Season Dates	Controlled Hunt Area	Permits	Notes
3078	Aug 30 - Nov 23	66A	30	
3079	Aug 30 - Nov 23	67-1	15	
3080	Aug 30 - Nov 23	67-2	15	
3081	Aug 30 - Nov 23	69-1	25	
3082	Aug 30 - Nov 23	69-2	25	
3083	Aug 30 - Nov 23	69-3*	12	Limited Access
3084	Aug 30 - Nov 23	70	5	
3085	Aug 30 - Nov 23	71-1	5	
3086	Aug 30 - Nov 23	71-2	5	
3087	Aug 30 - Nov 23	72	5	
3088	Aug 30 - Nov 23	74	5	
3089	Aug 30 - Nov 23	75	10	
3090	Aug 30 - Nov 23	76-1	25	
3091	Aug 30 - Nov 23	76-2	20	
3092	Aug 30 - Nov 23	76-3	25	
3093	Aug 30 - Nov 23	77	10	
3094	Aug 30 - Nov 23	78	10	

**2003 & 2004 ANTLERLESS MOOSE CONTROLLED HUNTS - 231 PERMITS**

Hunt No.	Season Dates	Controlled Hunt Area	Permits	Notes
3095	Oct 15 - Nov 23	1-1	15	
3096	Oct 15 - Nov 23	2	5	
3097	Oct 15 - Nov 23	8	4	
3098	Oct 15 - Nov 23	8A	4	
3099	Oct 15 - Nov 23	50	5	
3100	Oct 15 - Nov 23	59*	5	
3101	Oct 15 - Nov 23	60	5	
3102	Oct 15 - Nov 23	60A	15	Short range weapons only.* Limited Access. Motorboat advised for game retrieval.
3103	Oct 15 - Nov 23	61-1	5	
3104	Oct 15 - Nov 23	61-2	5	
3105	Oct 15 - Nov 23	61-3	5	

\* See page 11.

\* See controlled hunt area descriptions. This hunt includes other units or parts of other units.

2003 & 2004 ANTLERLESS MOOSE CONTROLLED HUNTS - 230 PERMITS

Hunt No.	Season Dates	Controlled Hunt Area	Permits	Notes
3106	Oct 15 - Nov 23	62	5	
3107	Oct 15 - Nov 23	62A	5	
3108	Oct 15 - Nov 23	63	8	Short range weapons only on Mud Lake WMA
3109	Oct 15 - Nov 23	63A	15	Short range weapons only, <sup>#</sup> Limited Access.
3110	Oct 15 - Nov 23	64	10	
3111	Oct 15 - Nov 23	66-1	5	
3112	Oct 15 - Nov 23	66-2	5	
3113	Oct 15 - Nov 23	66A	15	
3114	Oct 15 - Nov 23	67-1	5	
3115	Oct 15 - Nov 23	67-2	5	
3116	Oct 15 - Nov 23	69-1	10	
3117	Oct 15 - Nov 23	69-2	10	
3118	Oct 15 - Nov 23	69-3	5	
3119	Oct 15 - Nov 23	71-1	5	
3120	Oct 15 - Nov 23	71-2	5	
3121	Oct 15 - Nov 23	75	5	
3122	Oct 15 - Nov 23	76-1	20	
3123	Oct 15 - Nov 23	76-2	10	
3124	Oct 15 - Nov 23	76-3	10	

<sup>#</sup> See page 11.

HUNT AREA DESCRIPTIONS

**Hunt Area 1-1**—That portion of Unit 1 within the Priest River drainage, and those portions of the Pend Oreille and Salmo River drainages downstream from the Priest River drainage.

**Hunt Area 1-2**—That portion of Unit 1 within the following boundaries: beginning on U.S. Highway 95 bridge across the Pend Oreille River at Sandpoint, then northward along Highway 95 to the Kootenai River at Bonner's Ferry, then northwesterly along the Kootenai River to the U.S. border, then west along the U.S. border to the Priest River-Kootenai River divide, then south along the Priest River-Pack River divide to Flat Top Mountain, then south along the divide separating the Priest River drainage and the Pend Oreille drainage to Priest River, then east along the Pend Oreille River to the point of beginning. EXCEPT MYRTLE CREEK GAME PRESERVE – CLOSED.

**Hunt Area 1-3**—That portion of Unit 1 north and east of the Kootenai River.

**Hunt Area 1-4**—That portion of Unit 1 south of the Kootenai River and east of U.S. Highway 95. EXCEPT THE DAVID THOMPSON GAME PRESERVE – CLOSED.

**Hunt Area 2**—All of Unit 2.

**Hunt Area 3**—All of Unit 3.

**Hunt Area 4**—All of Units 4 and 4A.

**Hunt Area 6**—All of Unit 6.

**Hunt Area 7**—All of Unit 7.

**Hunt Area 8** — All of Unit 8.

**Hunt Area 8A** —All of Unit 8A.

**Hunt Area 9**—All of Unit 9.

**Hunt Area 10-1**—That portion of Unit 10 within the Cayuse Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 10-2**—That portion of Unit 10 on the north side of the Kelly Creek drainage upstream from, but excluding, the Moose Creek drainage, and that portion on the south side of the Kelly Creek drainage upstream from, but excluding, the Cayuse Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 10-3**—That portion of Unit 10 on the north side of the Kelly Creek drainage upstream from its mouth to and including the Moose Creek drainage, and the North Fork of the Clearwater River drainage upstream from the mouth of Kelly Creek.

**Hunt Area 10-4**—That portion of Unit 10 within the Fourth of July Creek drainage, that portion on the south side of the North Fork of the Clearwater River from the mouth of Fourth of July Creek upstream to the mouth of Kelly Creek, and the south side of the Kelly Creek drainage from its mouth upstream to, but excluding, the Cayuse Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 10-5**—That portion of Unit 10 within the Weitas Creek drainage (a tributary of the upper North Fork of the Clearwater River), and the drainages on the southwest side of the North Fork of the Clearwater River from the Weitas Creek drainage to, but excluding, the Fourth of July Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 10-6**— That portion of Unit 10 on the north side of the North Fork of the Clearwater River drainage downstream from the mouth of Kelly Creek.

**Hunt Area 10A-1**—That portion of Unit 10A within the following boundary: Beginning at the junction of the Unit 10A boundary with Forest Service Road 250 along the North Fork of the Clearwater River, then southwest along Forest Service Road 250 to Forest Service Road 669, then west and south along Forest Service Road 669 to Highway 11 at Pierce, then south on Highway 11 to Forest Service Road 100, then south on Forest Service Road 100 to the Clearwater National Forest boundary, then south along the Clearwater National Forest boundary to the Unit 10A boundary, then north along the Unit 10A boundary to the point of beginning.

**Hunt Area 10A-2**—That portion of Unit 10A within the following boundary: Beginning at the junction of Unit 10A boundary with Forest Service Road 247, then south on Forest Service Road 247 to Forest Service Road 251, then south on Forest Service Road 251 to Forest Service Road 246, then southwest on Forest Service Road 246 to State Highway 11 at Headquarters, then south on Highway 11 to Forest Service Road 669 at Pierce, then northeast on Forest Service Road 669 to Forest Service Road 250, then northeast on Forest Service Road 250 to the Unit 10A boundary, then north and east along the Unit 10A boundary to the point of beginning.

**Hunt Area 10A-3**—That portion of Unit 10A within the following boundary: Beginning at the Grandad Bridge on the Unit 10A boundary, then south and east along the Silver Creek-Casey Creek Road to Forest Service Road 247, then south on Forest Service Road 247 to Forest Service Road 246 at Headquarters, then northeast on Forest Service Road 246 to Forest Service Road 251, then north on Forest

Service Road 251 to Forest Service Road 247, then north on Forest Service Road 247 to the Unit 10A boundary at the North Fork of the Clearwater River, then west on the Unit 10A boundary to the point of beginning.

**Hunt Area 10A-4**—That portion of Unit 10A north of Forest Service Road 1705 from Elk River to Grandad Bridge and north and west of Dworshak Reservoir and the Little North Fork of the Clearwater River.

**Hunt Area 10A-5**—That portion of Unit 10A south of Forest Service Road 1705 from Elk River to Grandad Bridge and north and west of Dworshak Reservoir.

**Hunt Area 12-1**—That portion of Unit 12 north of the Lochsa River from and including the Lost Creek drainage upstream to, but excluding the Crooked Fork drainage.

**Hunt Area 12-2**—That portion of Unit 12 within the Crooked Fork drainage and north of White Sand Creek upstream to and including the Storm Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 12-3**—That portion of Unit 12 south of the Lochsa River from and including the Old Man Creek drainage upstream to and including the Mocus Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 12-4**—That portion of Unit 12 south of the Lochsa River from, but excluding, the Mocus Creek drainage, upstream to and including the Cliff Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 12-5**—That portion of Unit 12 within the Walton Creek drainage, that portion on the south side of White Sand Creek upstream to the mouth of Storm Creek, and all of White Sand Creek drainage upstream from, but excluding, the Storm Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 12-6**—That portion of Unit 12 north of the Middle Fork of the Clearwater River from the Smith Creek Road (Forest Service Road 101) upstream to the mouth of the Lochsa River, that portion on the north side of the Lochsa River upstream to, but excluding, the Lost Creek drainage, and that portion on the south side of the Lochsa River from its mouth upstream to, but excluding, the Old Man Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 14-1**—That portion of Unit 14 north of the following boundary: Beginning on the Unit 14 west boundary on the Slate Creek Road (Forest Service Road 354), then east on the Slate Creek Road to Forest Service Road 221, then north on Forest Service Road 221 to the Unit 14 east boundary.

**Hunt Area 14-2**—That portion of Unit 14 south of the following boundary: Beginning on the Unit 14 west boundary on the Slate Creek Road (Forest Service Road 354), then east on the Slate Creek Road to Forest Service Road 221, then north on Forest Service Road 221 to the Unit 14 east boundary.

**Hunt Area 15-1**—That portion of Unit 15 north of the South Fork of the Clearwater River from and including the American River drainage downstream to and including the Newsome Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 15-2**—That portion of Unit 15 south of the South Fork of the Clearwater River downstream from and including the Crooked River drainage upstream to and including the Red River drainage.

**Hunt Area 15-3**—That portion of Unit 15 on the south and west sides of the South Fork of the Clearwater River downstream from, but excluding, the Crooked River drainage.

**Hunt Area 15-4**— That portion of Unit 15 north and east of the South Fork of the Clearwater River from and including the Sally Ann Creek drainage upstream to and including the Leggett Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 16-1** — That portion of Unit 16 north and west of the Hamby Creek Road (Forest Service Road 651), and that portion south and west of the Selway River from its mouth upstream to the Hamby Creek Road.

**Hunt Area 16-2** — That portion of Unit 16 south and east of Hamby Creek Road (Forest Service Road 651), and that portion north and east of the Selway River from its mouth upstream to Fog Mountain Road (Forest Service Road 319).

**Hunt Area 16A-1** — That portion of Unit 16A north and west of the following boundary: Beginning at Anderson Butte, then east along the Drive Ridge Trail (Forest Service Trail 809) to the Meadow Creek Trail (Forest Service Trail 726), then east along the Meadow Creek Trail to the Disgrace Butte-Vermilion Peak Trail (Forest Service Trail 609), then northeast along the Disgrace Butte-Vermilion Peak Trail to the Buck Lake Creek-Drake Creek Trail (Forest Service Trail 628), then northeast along the Buck Lake Creek-Drake Creek Trail to the Unit 16A boundary at Drake Saddle.

**Hunt Area 16A-2**—That portion of Unit 16A south and east of the following boundary: Beginning at Anderson Butte, then east along the Drive Ridge Trail (Forest Service Trail 809) to the Meadow Creek Trail (Forest Service Trail 726), then east along the Meadow Creek Trail to the Disgrace Butte-Vermilion Peak Trail (Forest Service Trail 609), then northeast along the Disgrace Butte-Vermilion Peak Trail to the Buck Lake Creek-Drake Creek Trail (Forest Service Trail 628), then northeast along the Buck Lake Creek-Drake Creek Trail to the Unit 16A boundary at Drake Saddle.

**Hunt Area 17-1**—That portion of Unit 17 north of the Selway River from Fog Mountain Road (Forest Service Road 319) upstream to and including the west side of the Moose Creek drainage, the North Fork Moose Creek drainage, and the north side of the East Fork Moose Creek drainage upstream to, but excluding, Cedar Creek.

**Hunt Area 17-2**—That portion of Unit 17 east of the Selway River from the mouth of Moose Creek upstream to and including the Bear Creek drainage, and that portion on the east side of the Moose Creek and East Fork Moose Creek drainage from the mouth of Moose Creek upstream to and including the Cedar Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 17-3**—That portion of Unit 17 south and west of the Selway River from and including the Mink Creek drainage upstream to and including the Goat Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 17-4**—That portion of Unit 17 west of the Selway River from, but excluding the Goat Creek drainage, upstream to Forest Service Road 468.

**Hunt Area 17-5**—That portion of Unit 17 east of the Selway River upstream from, but excluding the Bear Creek drainage to Forest Service Road 468; all of the Selway River drainage south of Forest Service Road 468.

**Hunt Area 19-1**—That portion of Unit 19 outside the Gospel Hump Wilderness boundary.

**Hunt Area 19-2**—That portion of Unit 19 within the Gospel Hump Wilderness boundary.

**Hunt Area 19A**—All of Unit 19A.

**Hunt Area 20-1**—That portion of Unit 20 within South Fork of Red River, the Big Mallard Creek and Little Mallard Creek drainages and the Salmon River drainage from the Big Mallard drainage to but EXCLUDING the Bargamin Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 20-2**—That portion of Unit 20 within the Bargamin Creek drainage, and that portion on the north side of the Salmon River to, but excluding, the Sabe Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 20-3**—That portion of Unit 20 within the Sabe Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 20-4**—That portion of Unit 20 from the Mackay Bar Road (Forest Service Road 222) upstream to and including the Elkhorn Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 20A-1**—That portion of Unit 20A east of the following Forest Service trails: Beginning at the Salmon River on Forest Service Trail 039, then south on Trail 039 to Forest Service Trail 038, then south on Trail 038 to Forest Service Trail 002, then south on Trail 002 to the south boundary of Unit 20A.

**Hunt Area 20A-2**—That portion of Unit 20A within the following boundary: Beginning at the Salmon River on Forest Service Trail 033, then south on Trail 033 to Forest Service Trail 007, then southwest on Trail 007 to the south boundary of Unit 20A, then east on the Unit 20A boundary to Forest Service Trail 002, then northeast on Trail 002 to Forest Service Trail 038, then northeast on Trail 038 to Forest Service Trail 039, then northeast on Trail 039 to the Salmon River, then northwest on the unit boundary to Forest Service Trail 033, the point of beginning.

**Hunt Area 20A-3**—That portion of Unit 20A north and west of the following Forest Service trails: Beginning at the Salmon River on Forest Service Trail 033, then southwest on Trail 033 to Forest Service Trail 007, then southwest on Trail 007 to the south boundary of Unit 20A.

**Hunt Area 21**—All of Units 21 and 21A.

**Hunt Area 25**—All of Unit 25.

**Hunt Area 26**—That portion of Unit 26 on the north side of Big Creek downstream from, but excluding, the Smith Creek Drainage, and the south side of Big Creek downstream from, and including, the Little Marble Creek drainage.

**Hunt Area 29**—All of Units 29, 30, 30A and 37A.

**Hunt Area 44** — That portion of Unit 44 east of the Fairfield-Couch Summit-Five Points Road, and all of Units 48 and 49.

**Hunt Area 50**—All of Unit 50.

**Hunt Area 51**—All of Unit 51.

**Hunt Area 56**—All of Units 56, 73, and 73A.

**Hunt Area 58** — All of Unit 58.

**Hunt Area 59**—All of Units 59 and 59A.

**Hunt Area 60**—All of Unit 60.

**Hunt Area 60A** —That portion of Unit 60A south and east of the North Fork (Henrys Fork) of the Snake River, and that portion within one (1) mile north and west of the North Fork of the Snake River.

**Hunt Area 61-1**—That portion of Unit 61 west of East Dry Creek.

**Hunt Area 61-2**—That portion of Unit 61 east of East Dry Creek and west of U.S. Highway 191-20 and south and west of State Highway 87.

**Hunt Area 61-3**—That portion of Unit 61 north of State Highway 87 and that portion east of U.S. Highway 191-20 EXCEPT that portion enclosed by the Big Springs Loop Road and U.S. Highway 191-20.

**Hunt Area 62**—All of Unit 62.

**Hunt Area 62A**—All of Unit 62A.

**Hunt Area 63** — All of Unit 63.

**Hunt Area 63A** —All of Unit 63A.

**Hunt Area 64** —All of Unit 64.

**Hunt Area 65**—All of Unit 65.

**Hunt Area 66-1**—That portion of Unit 66 north of main Bear Creek EXCEPT the Pritchard and Garden Creek drainages.

**Hunt Area 66-2**—That portion of Unit 66 south of main Bear Creek.

**Hunt Area 66A** —All of Unit 66A.

**Hunt Area 67-1**—That portion of Unit 67 north and west of State Highway 31.

**Hunt Area 67-2**—That portion of Unit 67 south and east of State Highway 31.

**Hunt Area 69-1**—That portion of Unit 69 west of the Grays Lake-Long Valley-Bone-Iona Road.

**Hunt Area 69-2**—That portion of Unit 69 east of the Grays Lake-Long Valley-Bone-Iona Road EXCEPT the Antelope and Granite Creek drainages.

**Hunt Area 69-3**—That portion of Unit 69 within the Antelope and Granite Creek drainages, and that portion of Unit 66 within the Pritchard and Garden Creek drainages.

**Hunt Area 70**—All of Unit 70.

**Hunt Area 71-1**—That portion of Unit 71 located in Bannock and Bingham counties.

**Hunt Area 71-2** —That portion of Unit 71 located in Caribou County.

**Hunt Area 72**—All of Unit 72.

**Hunt Area 74**—All of Unit 74.

**Hunt Area 75** —All of Unit 75.

**Hunt Area 76-1** —That portion of Unit 76 within the following boundary: Beginning at Soda Springs on State Highway 34, then northeast to the Lanes Creek Road at Wayan, then south along the Lanes Creek-Diamond Creek Road to Timber Creek Road, then northeast along Timber Creek-Smoky Canyon-Stump Creek Road to the Idaho-Wyoming state line, then south along the state line to the Crow Creek Road, then southwest along Crow Creek-Wells Canyon-Georgetown Canyon Road to U.S. 30, then north along U.S. Highway 30 to Soda Springs, the point of beginning.

**Hunt Area 76-2** — That portion of Unit 76 south of the Georgetwon-Wells Canyon-Crow Creek Road.

**Hunt Area 76-3** —That portion of Unit 76 north and east of the following boundary: Beginning at the Idaho-Wyoming state line, then west along the Stump Creek-Smoky Canyon-Timber Creek Road to the Diamond Creek Road, then north along the Diamond Creek-Lanes Creek Road to State Highway 34 at Wayan.

**Hunt Area 77**—All of Unit 77.

**Hunt Area 78**—All of Unit 78.



Idaho law requires every outfitter and guide to be licensed and bonded. ***Outfitting or guiding without a license is a crime.*** You can ask your outfitter or guide to see their license, or you can contact the **Idaho Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board** with inquiries, complaints, or for more information at **1-800-506-5656**, **(208) 327-7167**, or **(208) 327-7380**; or visit the website at [www2.state.id.us/oglb/oglbhome.htm](http://www2.state.id.us/oglb/oglbhome.htm)



## FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION

The Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program consists of funds from a 10% to 11% manufacturer's excise tax collected from the sale of handguns, sporting rifles, shotguns, ammunition, and archery equipment. The Federal Aid program then allots the funds back to states through a formula based on each state's geographic area and the number of paid hunting license holders in the state. The Idaho Department of Fish and Game uses the funds to help restore, conserve, manage, and enhance wild birds and mammals for the public benefit. These funds are also used to educate hunters to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to be responsible, ethical hunters. Seventy-five percent of the funds for this project are from Federal Aid. The other 25% comes from license-generated funds.

