

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

Virgil Moore, Director

Surveys and Inventories

SFY2018 Statewide Report



MOUNTAIN GOAT

July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

Prepared by:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Wayne Wakkinen, Laura Wolf | Panhandle Region |
| Clay Hickey..... | Clearwater Region |
| Rick Ward | Southwest (Nampa) Region |
| Regan Berkley..... | Southwest (McCall) Region |
| Daryl Meints | Magic Valley Region |
| Curtis Hendricks, Duston Cureton..... | Upper Snake Region |
| Greg Painter, Chris Gaughan..... | Salmon Region |
| Erin Roche..... | Biometrician |
| Summer Crea..... | Data Coordinator |
| David Smith | Grants/Contracts Specialist |
| Debbie Hribik..... | Office Specialist |

Compiled and edited by: Hollie Miyasaki, Wildlife Staff Biologist

2018
Boise, Idaho

Idaho Department of Fish and Game (Department) adheres to all applicable state and federal laws and regulations related to discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, gender, disability or veteran's status. If you feel you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility of the Department, or if you desire further information, please write to: Idaho Department of Fish and Game, PO Box 25, Boise, ID 83707 or US Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Program, 5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: WSFR, Falls Church, VA 22041-3803, Telephone: (703) 358-2156. This publication will be made available in alternative formats upon request. Please contact the Department for assistance.

Please note that the Department databases containing this information are dynamic. Records are added, deleted, and/or edited on a frequent basis. This information was current as of the date of this report. Raw data do not have the benefit of interpretation or synthesis by the Department.

The Department requests that you direct any requests for this information to us rather than forwarding this information to third parties.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| STATEWIDE..... | 3 |
| PANHANDLE REGION | 6 |
| GMUS 1, 4A, 7, 9 | 6 |
| Historical Background | 6 |
| Management Objectives..... | 6 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 6 |
| Biological Objectives | 7 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 8 |
| Capture and Translocation | 8 |
| Disease Monitoring | 8 |
| Management Discussion | 8 |
| Literature Cited | 8 |
| CLEARWATER REGION | 15 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 10 (GMUS 10, 12, 15, 16, 16A, 17)..... | 15 |
| Historical Background | 15 |
| Biological Objectives | 15 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics..... | 16 |
| Capture and Translocation | 16 |
| Disease Monitoring | 17 |
| Management Discussion | 17 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 18 (GMUS 14, 18, 19, 20)..... | 17 |
| Historical Background | 17 |
| Management Objectives..... | 17 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 18 |
| Biological Objectives | 18 |
| Disease Monitoring | 19 |
| Management Discussion | 19 |
| SOUTHWEST (MCCALL) REGION | 27 |
| Historical Background | 27 |
| Management Objectives..... | 27 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 27 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | |
|---|----|
| Biological Objectives | 27 |
| SOUTHWEST (NAMPA) REGION | 30 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 39 (GMUS 33, 34, 35, 39)..... | 30 |
| Historical Background | 30 |
| Management Direction..... | 30 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 30 |
| Biological Objectives | 30 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 31 |
| Disease Monitoring | 31 |
| Management Discussion | 32 |
| MAGIC VALLEY REGION | 35 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 43 (GMUS 36, 43, 48)..... | 35 |
| Historical Background | 35 |
| Management Objectives..... | 35 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 35 |
| Biological Objectives | 35 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 36 |
| Capture and Translocation | 36 |
| Disease Monitoring | 36 |
| Management Discussion | 36 |
| UPPER SNAKE REGION..... | 39 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 50 (GMUS 49, 50)..... | 39 |
| Historical Background | 39 |
| Management Objectives..... | 39 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 39 |
| Biological Objectives | 39 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 40 |
| Capture and Translocation | 40 |
| Disease Monitoring | 40 |
| Management Discussion | 40 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 51 (GMUS 51, 58, 59, 59A)..... | 40 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | |
|---|----|
| Historical Background | 40 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 41 |
| Biological Objectives | 41 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 42 |
| Capture and Translocation | 42 |
| Disease Monitoring | 43 |
| Management Discussion | 43 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREA 67 (GMU 67) | 43 |
| Historical Background | 43 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 44 |
| Biological Objectives | 44 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 46 |
| Capture and Translocation | 46 |
| Disease Monitoring | 46 |
| Management Discussion | 47 |
| GMU 61 Mountain Goat Herd | 47 |
| Literature Cited | 47 |
| SALMON REGION | 53 |
| CONTROLLED HUNT AREAS 27-2, 27-4, 27-5, 36-1, 36A-1, 36A-2, 36A-3, 36A-4, 36B, 37A (GMUS 21, 21A, 27, 28, 29, 30, 30A, 36, 36A, 36B, 37, 37A) | 53 |
| Historical Background | 53 |
| Management Objectives | 53 |
| Habitat Management and Monitoring | 53 |
| Biological Objectives | 54 |
| Hunting and Harvest Characteristics | 54 |
| Capture and Translocation | 55 |
| Disease Monitoring | 55 |
| Management Discussion | 55 |

LIST OF TABLES

PANHANDLE REGION

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 1, Panhandle Region, 1955-2001..... | 9 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 4A, Panhandle Region, 1973-2001..... | 11 |
| Table 3. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 9, Panhandle Region, 1957-2001..... | 12 |
| Table 4. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Panhandle Region, 2011-2012..... | 14 |

CLEARWATER REGION

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, GMUs 10, 12, and 17, Clearwater Region, 1981-2010..... | 20 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Clearwater Region, 2003-present. | 22 |
| Table 3. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Clearwater Region, 2003-present. | 23 |
| Table 4. Mountain goat translocation, Clearwater Region, 1962-2003 ^a | 24 |
| Table 5. Mountain goat surveys, GMUs 18, 19, and 20, Clearwater Region, 1981-2007..... | 25 |

MC CALL SUB-REGION

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, Southwest (McCall) Region, 1982-2010..... | 29 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Southwest (McCall) Region, 2003-present. | 29 |

SOUTHWEST REGION

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, Southwest (Nampa) Region, 1976-2009..... | 33 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Southwest Region, 2005-present. | 34 |

MAGIC VALLEY REGION

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, Magic Valley Region, 1981-2009..... | 37 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Magic Valley Region, 2000-present..... | 38 |

UPPER SNAKE REGION

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Upper Snake Region, 2003-present. | 48 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat surveys, Upper Snake Region, 1982-2012. | 49 |
| Table 3. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Upper Snake Region, 2003-present..... | 51 |
| Table 4. Mountain goat translocation, Upper Snake Region, 1969-1997..... | 52 |

SALMON REGION

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Mountain goat surveys by hunt area, Salmon Region, 1988-present. | 56 |
| Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Salmon Region, 2003-present. | 60 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Table 3. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Salmon Region, 2000-present.61

Table 4. Approved mountain goat release sites, Salmon Region.64

Table 5. Mountain goat translocation, Salmon Region, 1982-2007.64

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Northern Idaho Mountain Goat Hunts.....4

Figure 2. Central Idaho Mountain Goat Hunts5

STATEWIDE REPORT SURVEYS AND INVENTORY

JOB TITLE: Mountain Goat Surveys and Inventories

STUDY NAME: Big Game Population Status, Trends, Use, and Associated Habitat Studies

PERIOD COVERED: July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

STATEWIDE

Mountain goat populations are small and fragmented, with animals scattered throughout central Idaho as well as in the Panhandle, Hells Canyon, and the Snake River Range. Twenty-one hunt areas were available in 2017 with 50 either-sex tags (Figures 1 and 2). Hunters may harvest 1 mountain goat in Idaho in their lifetime. Hunters are required to present harvested mountain goats to Idaho Fish and Game (Department) for inspection within 10 days of harvest.

Demand for the few (50) tags offered was high with 974 applications received in April 2017 for the 30 August – 12 November 2017 hunting season. Drawing success statewide averaged 5.1%. Among the 974 applicants were 722 resident hunters (74%) and 252 non-resident hunters (26%). All tags were filled in the first drawing.

Fifty (50) tags were issued for mountain goats of either sex. Hunters harvested 35 mountain goats, for a harvest success rate of 70%. Sixty percent of harvested mountain goats were males (21). Age of mountain harvested goats averaged 5.3 years (based on reported counts of horn annuli), and length of the horn in harvested mountain goats averaged 8.7 inches. Seventeen (17) mountain goats were harvested in the Salmon Region, 1 in the Panhandle Region, 6 in the Clearwater Region, 5 in the Southwest Region, 3 in the Magic Valley Region, and 3 in the Upper Snake Region.

Table 1. Statewide Mountain Goat hunter participation and harvest for the 2014 to present.

| Season | Hunters | Hunter Days | Total Harvest | Males | Females | % Change in Total Harvest from Previous Year |
|--------|---------|-------------|---------------|-------|---------|--|
| 2014 | 51 | 200 | 43 | 34 | 8 | -4% |
| 2015 | 50 | 181 | 47 | 35 | 12 | +9% |
| 2016 | 50 | 132 | 46 | 31 | 15 | -2% |
| 2017 | 50 | 124 | 35 | 21 | 14 | -22% |

Figure 1. Northern Idaho Mountain Goat Hunts

Figure 2. Central Idaho Mountain Goat Hunts

PANHANDLE REGION

GMUs 1, 4A, 7, 9

Historical Background

Three native populations (Selkirk, West Cabinets, and Little North Fork Clearwater River) and one introduced population (Pend Oreille) of mountain goats inhabit Panhandle Region. All populations are relatively small, but 2 mountain goat tags have been offered since 2011. The Pend Oreille population of mountain goats has a particularly high public value for watchable wildlife, with excellent access by boat to this yearlong, low-elevation range. No hunting is allowed on this population.

Anecdotal information indicates that mountain goat populations in the Panhandle had dropped substantially prior to 1950. Brandborg (1955) cites personal communications of U.S. Forest Service (USFS) employees in the Selkirk Range who specifically noted a drop in numbers and restriction in distribution during 1928-1950.

Brandborg (1955) attributed these declines to increased access to mountain goat habitat, and implicated unregulated hunting. By 1950, general mountain goat seasons were reduced to 11 days during September. Controlled hunts were used 1952-1955 and 1966-1976 when most mountain goat hunting was closed in the Panhandle. Since then, the allowable mountain goat harvest in Panhandle Region has ranged from 0-2 mountain goats annually. However, 57 mountain goats have been translocated out of Panhandle Region since 1961 (Hayden and Spicer 1993).

Management Objectives

The statewide management policy for mountain goats is to introduce mountain goats into all suitable ranges, maintain or increase all herds, and harvest under a conservative management framework. Harvest is allowed if the total population is at least 50 mountain goats. Harvest shall not exceed 5% of the adult segment of the population except during periods of high recruitment, usually during the early phases of a newly introduced population.

The Pend Oreille population of GMU 4A is specifically identified as having non-consumptive values, with wildlife viewing as the primary focus of this population. The population in the Little North Fork of the Clearwater River was used as a translocation source in the past. One tag was added to GMUs 7 and 9 in 2011. Combined, the Selkirk and West Cabinet mountain goat herds in GMU 1 should remain stable to increasing with the addition of one tag in 2011, especially if hunters target different areas over the coming years. If harvest is targeted at one specific herd or a high proportion of nannies, the mountain goat hunts in the Panhandle will be re-evaluated.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

There was no habitat monitoring completed during this time period.

Biological Objectives

Capture, Radio-mark, and/or Telemetry

There were no mountain goats captured or monitored during this period.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

In 2001, observations in the Selkirk Mountains (Table 1) were similar to those of the prior (1995) flights, although numbers of adults was slightly lower, and number of kids slightly higher. There has been a near complete loss of mountain goats in the southern and eastern portions of the Selkirk Mountains. Most of the recent population increase is attributable to translocations into the Selkirk Mountains. Subsequent to a count of only 3 mountain goats in the Selkirk Mountains in 1981, a total of 28 mountain goats were translocated into this range, primarily from Snow Peak (GMU 9).

Idaho includes the minor portion of mountain goat range in the West Cabinet Mountains. Here, counts can be substantially affected by localized movements across state and drainage borders, and the main value in surveys is assessing occupancy of winter range and general recruitment trends. A decline of mountain goats in the Wiggletail/Blue Creek areas is apparent and of concern (Table 1). A population growth rate analysis for the Montana portion of the West Cabinets found a declining population from 2000-2015 with a growth rate of 0.95 (Smith and DeCesare 2017).

The Pend Oreille mountain goat population has experienced some growth despite low winter recruitment (Table 2). Numbers appeared to have stabilized at about 60% of those estimated in the mid-1980s. Occasionally goats are observed in the Green Monarchs and in Dry Creek, a tributary of the Clark Fork River, to the east of the Green Monarchs.

Mountain goat numbers in the Little North Fork Clearwater River have changed little over the past 40 years (Table 3), despite removal of 88 mountain goats since 1960. However, there has been a noticeable change in distribution, with far fewer mountain goats near the capture site (Snow Peak on Canyon Creek) and more in Foehl Creek and Sisters Creek drainages. Other mountain goats are seen scattered throughout GMU 7, mainly south of the St. Joe River. A ground-based survey was attempted during July of 2015. Observers were sent out in pairs to observe likely mountain goat habitat in GMU 7. The survey was focused in the Sisters Creek drainage including Siwash, Shoepack Point, and Sisters Peaks. Nine mountain goats were observed. Fog and rain made glassing difficult and summer may be too warm of a season to effectively spot mountain goats during the day.

An aerial survey of GMUs 7 and 9 was conducted May 8-9, 2017. A total of 66 mountain goats were observed in both GMUs. Eighteen mountain goats, including 3 kids, were observed in GMU 7, primarily in Fishhook and Sisters Creeks. There were 48 mountain goats with a kid:adult ratio of 0.23 in GMU 9. The majority of mountain goats were found in Sawtooth and

Foehl Creeks. A spring survey with snow only at upper elevations made it difficult to find goats that could quickly hide in nearby timber.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

One tag was offered in GMU 1 (Hunt Area 1) and one tag was offered in GMUs 7 and 9 (Hunt area 7) during 2017. Drawing odds were 2% in Hunt Area 1 and 3% in Hunt Area 7 for 2017. One permittee harvested a male mountain goat in GMU 7 (Table 5).

Capture and Translocation

No mountain goats were captured and translocated during this period.

Disease Monitoring

Hunters have been asked to provide nasal and throat swabs as well as blood samples to test for a variety of diseases.

Management Discussion

Regionally, mountain goat numbers appear stable to declining. Current numbers are likely at least 50% lower than 40-50 years ago, and may be considerably worse when compared to the early 1900s. All of the Hunt Area 7 (GMU 7 and 9) hunters have harvested mountain goats in GMU 7 for the past 7 years. The hunt area boundary will be reevaluated to reduce pressure from that small herd. Due to small isolated populations in the Selkirks and Cabinets, the GMU 1 hunt is recommended to be eliminated..

Recently, there have been issues with habituated mountain goats in the Scotchman Peaks area of GMU 1. The Scotchman Peak trail is a very popular hiking trail. Mountain goats have been attracted to the area due to intentional and unintentional feeding by hikers as well as the salt in urine left near the trail. Some goats have become aggressive towards people. Volunteers have signed the trail and patrol to educate people about the danger of feeding mountain goats which has helped reduce human-goat interactions, but additional measures may need to be taken in the future to discourage the mountain goats from approaching people.

Literature Cited

- Brandborg, S. M. 1955. Life history and management of the mountain goat in Idaho. Wildlife Bulletin No. 2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, USA.
- Hayden, J., and D. Spicer. 1993. Pages 3-16 in L. E. Oldenburg (ed.). Mountain Goat Job Progress Report W-170-R-17. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, USA.
- Smith, B. L., and N. J. DeCesare. 2017. Status of Montana's mountain goats: A synthesis of management data (1960-2015) and field biologists' perspectives. Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks.

Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 1, Panhandle Region, 1955-2001.

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|---|-------------------|--------|------|---------|------------------|-----------------|
| Selkirk Range, GMU 1 Smith to Parker Creek | 1955 ^a | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | |
| | 1963 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 20.0 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 50.0 |
| | 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Fisher to Farnham Creek | 1955 ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1963 ^c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1995 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 16.7 |
| Indian to Two Mouth Creek | 1955 ^a | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | |
| | 1963 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20.0 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| | 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Lion Creek | 1955 ^a | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | |
| | 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| | 1988 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 50.0 |
| | 1991 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11.1 |
| | 1995 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20.0 |
| Caribou Creek | 1955 ^a | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | |
| | 1963 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 22.2 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 33.3 |
| | 1991 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1995 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 21.4 |
| | 2001 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 40.0 |
| Total Selkirk population | 1955 ^a | 0 | 0 | 195 | 195 ^b | |
| | 1963 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 20.7 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| | 1988 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 45.5 |
| | 1991 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 15.4 |
| | 1995 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 10.0 |

Table 1 Continued

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|---|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| | 2001 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 30.8 |
| West Cabinet Range, GMU 1 | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wiggletail to W. Fk. Blue Crk. | 1979 ^d | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 22.2 |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 4.3 |
| | 1991 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 9.1 |
| | 1993 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 18.2 |
| | 1998 ^e | 11 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 27.3 |
| | 2001 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Regal to Sam Morris Creek | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1993 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1998 ^e | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| East Fork Lightning Creek (Includes Savage and Char) | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | |
| | 1981 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 15.0 |
| | 1991 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 75.0 |
| | 1993 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 41.7 |
| | 1998 ^e | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 9.1 |
| | 2001 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11.1 |
| West Cabinet (Idaho Only) | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | |
| | 1981 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 47 | 9.3 |
| | 1991 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 26.7 |
| | 1993 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 28.0 |
| | 1998 ^e | 27 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 14.8 |
| | 2001 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 7.1 |

^a Summer estimates from ground surveys.

^b Includes 20 mountain goats estimated in the Pack River-Myrtle Creek area and 10 mountain goats on Snowytop Mountain. Both areas were flown 1971 and 2001 winters with neither tracks nor mountain goats observed. The Pack River-Myrtle Creek area was flown winters 1963 and 1981 as well, with no tracks nor mountain goats observed.

^c Not specifically mentioned in the survey.

^d Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks data, August survey.

^e August survey of summer range.

Table 2. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 4A, Panhandle Region, 1973-2001.

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|--|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| Pend Oreille Population, GMU 4A Buttonhook to Lakeside | 1973 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 27.3 |
| | 1975 ^a | 31 | 12 | 0 | 43 | 38.7 |
| | 1976 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 18.8 |
| | 1981 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 23.3 |
| | 1985 ^b | 42 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 23.8 |
| | 1991 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 44.4 |
| | 1991 ^c | 11 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 63.6 |
| | 1992 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 13.3 |
| | 1995 ^d | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 15.4 |
| | 2001 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 14.8 |
| Green Monarchs | 1973 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1975 ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1976 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | 1981 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 ^c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1995 ^d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pend Oreille population | 1973 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 23.1 |
| | 1975 ^a | 31 | 12 | 0 | 43 | 38.7 |
| | 1976 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 15.0 |
| | 1981 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 21.9 |
| | 1985 ^b | 42 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 23.8 |
| | 1991 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 36.4 |
| | 1991 ^c | 11 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 63.6 |
| | 1992 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 13.3 |
| | 1995 ^d | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 15.4 |
| | 2001 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 14.8 |

^a Ground survey.

^b Population estimate based on capture/recapture with ground surveys during spring.

^c Ground survey during October.

^d Helicopter survey during August.

Table 3. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 7, Panhandle Region, 2015 and 2017.

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| Fishhook to Mosquito Creek | 2015 ^a | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 28.6 |
| Skookum Creek | 2017 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 28.6 |

Table 4. Mountain goat surveys, GMU 9, Panhandle Region, 1957-2001.

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| Little North Fork of the | 1957 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Clearwater River, GMU 9 | 1958 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Hoodoo Peak to Spotted Louis | 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1964 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1976 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | 1979 ^a | | | | | |
| | 1981 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 33.3 |
| | 1991 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 75.0 |
| | 1993 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | 2001 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 50.0 |
| Culdesac to Canyon Creek | 1957 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 56 | 5.7 |
| | 1958 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 33 | 22.2 |
| | 1961 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 11.1 |
| | 1964 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 45 | 9.8 |
| | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 49 | |
| | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 | |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | |
| | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | |
| | 1976 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 33.3 |
| | 1979 ^a | 32 | 5 | 0 | 37 | 15.6 |
| | 1981 | 48 | 8 | 0 | 56 | 16.7 |
| | 1988 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 7.7 |
| | 1991 ^b | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 23.1 |
| | 1993 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 34.8 |
| | 2001 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 33.3 |
| | 2017 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 11.1 |
| Sawtooth Creek | 1957 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 26.9 |
| | 1958 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 23.5 |
| | 1961 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 25.0 |
| | 1964 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 8.3 |
| | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | |
| | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | |

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|---|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| | 1976 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0.0 |
| | 1979 ^a | | | | | |
| | 1981 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 28.6 |
| | 1991 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11.1 |
| | 1993 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 33.3 |
| | 2001 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 |
| | 2017 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 41.7 |
| Foehl Creek | 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1961 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 55.6 |
| | 1964 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0.0 |
| | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | |
| | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| | 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1979 ^a | | | | | |
| | 1981 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | 1988 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 25.0 |
| | 1993 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 33.3 |
| | 2001 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 31.3 |
| | 2017 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 15.8 |
| Larkin to Devil's Club Creek | 1957 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1979 ^a | | | | | |
| | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1988 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1993 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| | 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Little North Fork Clearwater population | 1957 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 93 | 12.0 |
| | 1958 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 20.0 |
| | 1961 | 56 | 13 | 0 | 69 | 23.2 |
| | 1964 | 72 | 5 | 0 | 77 | 6.9 |
| | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 69 | |
| | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 57 | |
| | 1971 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 36 | |

| Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| | 1972 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | |
| | 1976 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 44 | 22.2 |
| | 1979 ^a | 32 | 5 | 0 | 37 | 15.6 |
| | 1981 | 60 | 9 | 0 | 69 | 15.0 |
| | 1988 | 54 | 9 | 0 | 63 | 16.7 |
| | 1991 ^b | 34 | 9 | 0 | 43 | 26.5 |
| | 1993 | 45 | 15 | 0 | 60 | 33.3 |
| | 2001 | 47 | 13 | 0 | 60 | 27.7 |
| | 2017 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 48 | 23.1 |

^a Area flown only identified as “Snow Peak.” It is unknown what area was actually flown.

^b Weather conditions precluded complete coverage of the Canyon Creek portion of the flight.

^c Ground Survey

Table 5. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Panhandle Region, 2011-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-----------|------|------|---------|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| 1 | 2011 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 95 | 1.1 |
| 7 | 2011 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 14.0 | 63 | 1.6 |
| 1 | 2012 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 21 | 4.8 |
| 7 | 2012 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 6.0 | 34 | 2.4 |
| 1 | 2013 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 47 | 2.1 |
| 7 | 2013 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 46 | 2.1 |
| 1 | 2014 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 47 | 2.1 |
| 7 | 2014 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 8.0 | 49 | 2.0 |
| 1 | 2015 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 44 | 2.3 |
| 7 | 2015 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 36 | 2.8 |
| 1 | 2016 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 46 | 2.1 |
| 7 | 2016 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 15.0 | 38 | 2.6 |
| 1 | 2017 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 1.7 |
| 7 | 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 14.0 | 32 | 3.1 |

CLEARWATER REGION

GMUs 10, 12, 15, 16, 16A, 17

Historical Background

Historically, mountain goats were hunted on a general-hunt basis in Idaho north of Salmon River. As a result, some of the easily accessible herds were over-hunted or eliminated. From 1966 to present, all mountain goat hunts have been offered as controlled hunts. Hunt areas were originally quite large, often including several discrete populations of mountain goats. In general, the more accessible populations still received the brunt of the harvest. In 1972, hunts were divided into smaller, more easily manageable controlled hunts to control and more evenly distribute hunting pressure.

Harvest levels have changed little during the last 10-year period (Table 2). For the 2007 season, Hunt Areas 10-1 and 10-2 were combined into 1 hunt area (Hunt Area 10) and tags reduced from 4 to 2. Hunt Area 10 was renamed Hunt Area 10-1 in 2011 when the former GMU 10/12 (the upper Kelly Creek hunt) hunt was reinstated as Hunt 10-2. In 2015 the 10-1 was again split into 2 hunts (10-1 and 10-2) and the number of tags increased back to 4. The upper Kelly Creek was named 10-3 at that time.

Management Objectives

Goals for managing mountain goats in GMUs 10, 12, 15, 16, 16A, and 17 include; increasing populations through conservative hunting seasons; capturing and translocation into vacant habitat or to augment existing populations; maintaining harvest and recreational opportunity; emphasizing non-consumptive values, inventorying all mountain goat populations at a maximum interval of 5 years; and collecting information on mountain goat diseases.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

Mountain goat habitat in GMUs 10, 12, 15, 16, 16A, and 17 is located mainly along the Idaho-Montana border and in rocky cliffs of North Fork Clearwater, Lochsa, and Selway river drainages. Nearly all of the areas that support mountain goats are under U.S. Forest Service (USFS) ownership and management. Some commercial timberlands are located near mountain goat habitat; however, the majority of mountain goat habitat is in designated wilderness.

Long term global warming impacts are forecast for the region to include warmer winters, earlier run off, and more precipitation coming as rain. These have potential impacts for mountain goats and their range that are currently not well understood.

Biological Objectives

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

Is this intentionally left blank?

Population Surveys and Monitoring

GMU 12 has not been surveyed since 1996 (Table 1). A paintball mark-resight survey in April-May 2010 revealed a population estimate of 100 ± 7 mountain goats in Hunt Area 10-1(now 10-1 and 10-2); the previous survey in April 2005 accounted for 85 ± 17 mountain goats. The mountain goats were again surveyed in 2017 with similar timing as the 2 mark-resight surveys (the mark-resight surveys were discontinued because of risk). In 2017 a total of 54 goats were observed. This compares to 36 marked and 43 surveyed (18 resights) in 2005. The 2010 mark-resight marked 55 and 79 resighted (44 with marks). Neither of these numbers is likely directly comparable to the 2017 count and sightability between years varied from 50% to 81% but at this time we believe this population is stable.

Additionally in 2010, a survey was conducted in the old Blacklead hunt area [S.F. Kelly Creek to Williams Creek (GMU 10) and Boulder Creek/Crooked Fork (GMU 12)] where 47 goats were observed. This was repeated in 2017 as well. Only 7 mountain goats were observed but extensive tracks from illegal snowmobiles and snow bikes were observed all over the mountain goat winter range. In 1996, 136 mountain goats were observed over both hunt areas prompting the decision to suspend future translocation removals. During September 2014, an aerial survey was conducted in GMU 17 and the Black Canyon/Moose Mountain area of GMU 10. Mountain goats were surveyed in GMU 17 from Sept 7-9, 2014.

All subunits were surveyed where goats were previously observed during the 1970's, 1980's, and on the most recent full survey in 1994. There were an observed total of 19 goats, including 15 adults and 4 kids. This compares to 166 goats in 1991 and 151 goats observed in 1994. Goats were in widely scattered, small groups with the exception of 9 goats observed in upper Wilkerson Creek. Data were collected to conduct a sightability analysis with the Washington goat sightability model, but this was inappropriate given the small number of observed animals. Mountain goats were surveyed in the Black/Canyon/Moose Mountain area of GMU 10 on September 10, 2014. There were an observed total of 16 goats including 14 adults, 1 yearling, and 1 kid.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

During 2017, 2 of 2 tag holders were successful in Hunt Area 10-1 and none of 2 tag holders were each successful in 10-2 and 10-3 (Table 3). Drawing odds in 2017 for Hunt Area 10-1 was 3.6%, Hunt Area 10-2 was 5.7% and Hunt Area 10-3 was 4.4% (Table 3).

Capture and Translocation

Since 1962, mountain goats have been captured on several occasions on Black Mountain (Clearwater Region) and Snow Peak (Panhandle Region) to provide stock for translocation within the state. Clearwater Region began capturing mountain goats in the Seven Devils range in 1999 with helicopter darting. From 1962 to 2003, 102 mountain goats were translocated in Clearwater Region (Table 4). Plans to capture mountain goats at Black Mountain in 2000 were canceled because of the population decline revealed by the 2000 survey. During March 2003, 16 mountain goats were captured in GMU 18 and translocated into GMU 20 (Sheep Hill)

Disease Monitoring

Management Discussion

Lack of population growth in Hunt Area 10 will lead to more conservative and cautious management of exploitation. Current harvest levels (5-year average = 2.0 mountain goats/year) are below the maximum Mountain Goat Management Plan level of 5% (5 mountain goats). However, it is unlikely that removal of additional mountain goats for translocation would be practical or prudent. Trapping will be suspended until future surveys reveal a positive growth trend and sufficient numbers to sustain removals. Tag levels in Hunt Area 10 will remain conservative to avoid over-exploitation.

In other areas where populations have been severely reduced, hunts will not be offered until those populations recover to satisfactory levels and exhibit an acceptable level of population growth. Translocation into areas where mountain goats are absent or severely reduced in numbers will continue as mountain goats become available.

Additional effort needs to be put into figuring out where the upper Kelly Creek mountain goat population is wintering. Currently the Department is asking the USFS to put more effort in enforcing the winter off road travel in upper Kelly Creek. This needs to include an effort on the Montana side of the State line as that is where most of these winter travelers are coming from. Failure to get a handle on this issue might jeopardize this population.

GMUs 14, 18, 19, 20

Historical Background

Historically, mountain goats were hunted on a general-hunt basis in Idaho north of Salmon River. As a result, some of the easily accessible herds were over-hunted or eliminated. From 1966 to present, all mountain goat hunts have been offered as controlled hunts. Hunt areas were originally quite large, often including several discrete populations of mountain goats. In general, the more accessible populations still received the brunt of the harvest. In 1972, hunts were divided into smaller, more easily manageable controlled hunts to control and more evenly distribute hunting pressure.

Five tags were offered each year in Hunt Area 18 from 1983 to 2002. In 2003, tag numbers were reduced to 4 (Appendix A). Many of the mountain goat hunts remained closed in 2010 because of low population levels or absence of mountain goats (see Clearwater Region portion of the Department's 1986-1991 Mountain Goat Management Plan).

Management Objectives

Goals for managing mountain goats in GMUs 14, 18, 19, and 20 include; increasing populations through conservative hunting seasons; capturing and translocation into vacant habitat or to augment existing populations; maintaining harvest and recreational opportunity; emphasizing

non-consumptive values, inventorying all mountain goat populations at a maximum interval of 5 years; and collecting information on mountain goat diseases.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

The deep, rugged canyons of the Snake and Salmon rivers dominate the topography of GMUs 14, 18, 19, and 20. Mountain goat populations in this area are found almost exclusively in habitat designated as wilderness and managed by USFS. Mountain goats in GMU 18 are found in the Seven Devils area, while those in GMUs 19 and 20 are found on the breaks of the Salmon River in the Gospel Hump and Frank Church River-of-No-Return wilderness areas. Habitats in both areas are generally drier and more open than mountain goat habitat found in GMUs 10 and 17.

Biological Objectives

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

Two mountain goats were captured and radio-marked this reporting period. The goal was to mark 10 goats and collect data to modify existing habitat use models that don't perform well in our region. Our helicopter vendor crashed while working in Washington State before finishing the job so work was suspended.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

Mountain goats were last surveyed 2013 in Hunt Area 18, like GMU 10 surveys mentioned earlier were discontinued. This has left us with data that is not directly comparable. The 2013 survey found 90 adults and 26 kids which was only surpassed in 1993 when a total of 137 mountains were surveyed. A paintball mark-resight survey was conducted in Hunt Area 18 (GMUs 18 and 22) in April and May 2007. An estimate of 194 ± 29 (90% bound) goats was obtained. Using the same technique in 2002 generated an estimate of 196 ± 22 (90% bound) goats in Hunt Area 18. The trend in Hunt Area 18 appears to be stable.

GMUs 19 and 20 have not been surveyed since 1993 (Table 5).

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

Drawing odds for Hunt Area 18 were 5.5% in 2017. In 2017 a total 4 hunters harvested 4 goats (100% success, Table 3).

Capture and Translocation

Twenty-five mountain goats captured at Snow Peak, GMU 9, and at Olympic National Park, Washington, have been translocated into GMU 18 since 1962 (Table 4). With growth in the mountain goat population in the Seven Devils area, the Dry Diggins lookout was evaluated as a potential capture site for mountain goats as early as 1987. Subsequent efforts with clover traps in 1991 and 1993 resulted in the capture of only 1 mountain goat.

Mountain goats were captured in GMU 18 with darts fired from a helicopter in 1999, 2001, and 2003. Capture efforts were patterned after the protocol at Olympic National Park where over 300 mountain goats had been captured and removed via darting with the drug Carfentanil. Given the mark-resight estimates of population size and a reasonably conservative approach to exploitation rates, it was determined that up to 12 mountain goats (6 nannies) could be removed every other year for translocation.

Eighteen goats were captured and subsequently released along Big Mallard Creek in GMU 20 in 1999 and 2001. Ten of the goats were collared with radio transmitters. Of these, 8 have died since release while the remaining 2 radio transmitters have gone inactive. Sixteen goats were captured and translocated in 2003 to Sheep Hill in GMU 20. Six were released with radio transmitters that are no longer active.

Disease Monitoring

Disease monitoring was conducted on all hunter harvested mountain goats in 2017. Nasal and pharyngeal swabs were collected to try and test for *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae*.

Management Discussion

Given the Mountain Goat Management Plan guidelines and the 2002 Hunt Area 18 mark-resight population estimate, with continued harvest, up to 12 mountain goats (6 nannies) could be available for removal for translocation every other year. The total exploitation level would be at 5%.

In other areas where populations have been severely reduced, no hunts will be offered until those populations recover to satisfactory levels. Translocation to reestablish or augment populations will continue as mountain goats become available.

Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, GMUs 10, 12, and 17, Clearwater Region, 1981-2010.

| | | | | | | Kids/100 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| GMU | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Total | adults |
| 10 | 1991 | Flat Mtn to Elizabeth Mtn | 14 | 3 | 17 | 21.4 |
| | | Pot Mountain | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Moose Mountain | 27 | 1 | 28 | 3.7 |
| | | S.F. Kelly Creek to Williams Creek | 34 | 6 | 40 | 17.6 |
| | | Isabella Creek (10-1) | 50 | 13 | 63 | 26.0 |
| | | Collins to Quartz Creek (10-2) | 73 | 15 | 88 | 20.5 |
| | 1991 Total | | 200 | 38 | 238 | 19.0 |
| | 1996 | Flat Mtn to Elizabeth Mtn | 12 | 1 | 13 | 8.3 |
| | | Pot Mountain | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | Moose Mountain | 24 | 3 | 27 | 12.5 |
| | | S.F. Kelly Creek to Williams Creek | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 |
| | | Isabella Creek (10-1) | 48 | 13 | 61 | 27.1 |
| | | Collins to Quartz Creek (10-2) | 61 | 14 | 75 | 23.0 |
| | 1996 Total | | 163 | 31 | 194 | 19.0 |
| | 2002 ^a | Isabella Creek (10-1) | 54±12 | | 54±12 | |
| | | Collins to Quartz Creek (10-2) | 44±5 | | 44±5 | |
| | 2005 ^a | Isabella Creek (10-1) | 38±6 | | 38±6 | |
| | | Collins to Quartz Creek (10-2) | 47±18 | | 47±18 | |
| | 2010 | Pot Mountain ^c | 6 | 2 | 8 | 33.3 |
| S.F. Kelly Creek to Williams Ck | | 39 | 8 | 47 | 20.5 | |
| Isabella to Quartz Creek (10) | | 100±7 | | 100±7 | | |
| 2002-2010 Total | | 146 | 10 | 156 | | |
| 2014 | Flat Mtn to Elizabeth Mtn | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | |
| | Moose Mtn | 14 | 1 | 15 | 7.1 | |
| 2014 Total | | 15 | 1 | 16 | 6.7 | |
| 2017 | Isabella to Quartz Crk (10-1, 10-2) | 47 | 7 | 54 | 14.9 | |
| | S.F. Kelly Crk to Williams Crk (10-3) | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | |
| 2017 Total | | 54 | 7 | 61 | 14.9 | |
| 12 | 1981 | Old Man Creek | 18 | 3 | 21 | 16.7 |
| | | Boulder Creek | 9 | 3 | 12 | 33.3 |
| | | Noseeum Creek | 6 | 2 | 8 | 33.3 |
| | | Skookum Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Grave Butte | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Stanley Creek | 5 | 1 | 6 | 20.0 |
| | | Lone Knob | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Squaw Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Fish Creek ^b | | | | |
| | | Boulder/Crooked Fork | 4 | 1 | 5 | 25.0 |
| | 1981 Total | | 49 | 10 | 59 | 20.4 |
| | 1987 | Old Man Creek | 18 | 4 | 22 | 22.2 |
| | | Boulder Creek | 9 | 1 | 10 | 11.1 |
| Noseeum Creek | | 11 | 3 | 14 | 27.3 | |
| Skookum Creek | | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | |
| Grave Butte | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Stanley Creek | | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | |
| Lone Knob ^b | | | | | | |
| Squaw Creek | | 8 | 6 | 14 | 75.0 | |

Table 1 Continued

| GMU | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|------|--|--------|------|-------|-----------------|
| | | Fish Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Boulder/Crooked Fork | 10 | 3 | 13 | 30.0 |
| | | 1987 Total | 68 | 17 | 85 | 25.0 |
| | 1996 | Old Man Creek | 21 | 3 | 24 | 14.3 |
| | | Boulder Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Noseeum Creek | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | | Skookum Creek | 2 | 1 | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | Grave Butte | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Stanley Creek | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | Lone Knob | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Squaw Creek | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0.0 |
| | | Fish Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Boulder/Crooked Fork | 2 | 1 | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | 1996 Total | 43 | 5 | 48 | 11.6 |
| 17 | 1991 | E.F. Moose Creek | 25 | 7 | 32 | 28.0 |
| | | White Cap Creek | 23 | 6 | 29 | 26.1 |
| | | Canyon Creek | 21 | 12 | 33 | 57.1 |
| | | Copper Creek | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | | Paradise Creek | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.0 |
| | | Cub Creek | 10 | 5 | 15 | 50.0 |
| | | Brushy Fork Creek | 10 | 5 | 15 | 50.0 |
| | | Bear Creek | 4 | 3 | 7 | 75.0 |
| | | Upper Selway (above Magruder Crossing) | 14 | 5 | 19 | 35.7 |
| | | Little Clearwater R to Echo Creek | 4 | 1 | 5 | 25.0 |
| | | Snake Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Goat Creek ^b | | | | |
| | | Grouse Creek/Running Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Stewart Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | 1991 Total | 122 | 44 | 166 | 36.1 |
| | 1994 | E.F. Moose Creek | 25 | 5 | 30 | 20.0 |
| | | White Cap Creek | 25 | 2 | 27 | 8.0 |
| | | Canyon Creek | 14 | 6 | 20 | 42.9 |
| | | Copper Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Paradise Creek | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | Cub Creek | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | | Brushy Fork Creek | 12 | 4 | 16 | 33.3 |
| | | Bear Creek | 9 | 2 | 11 | 22.2 |
| | | Upper Selway (above Magruder Crossing) | 16 | 2 | 18 | 12.5 |
| | | Little Clearwater R to Echo Creek | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| | | Snake Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Goat Creek | 11 | 3 | 14 | 27.3 |
| | | Grouse Creek/Running Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Stewart Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | 1994 Total | 127 | 24 | 151 | 18.9 |
| | 2014 | E.F. Moose Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |

Table 1 Continued

| GMU | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|------------|------|--|--------|------|-------|-----------------|
| | | White-Cap Creek | 2 | 1 | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | Canyon Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Cooper Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Paradise Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Cub Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Brushy-Fork Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Bear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Upper Selway (above Magruder Crossing) | 10 | 3 | 13 | 30.0 |
| | | Little Clearwater River to Echo Crk | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Snake River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Goat Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Grouse & Running Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Stewart Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2014 Total | | | 15 | 4 | 19 | 3.75 |

^a Paintball mark-resight survey (Apr-May).

^b Drainage not included in survey.

Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Clearwater Region, 2004-present.

| Year | Tags | Harvest | | | Hunter success (%) | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|------|------|---------|---|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | M | F | Total | | | |
| 2004 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 75 | 118 | 6.8 |
| 2005 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 75 | 129 | 6.2 |
| 2006 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 75 | 134 | 6.0 |
| 2007 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 83 | 118 | 5.1 |
| 2008 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 83 | 127 | 4.7 |
| 2009 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 139 | 4.3 |
| 2010 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 118 | 5.1 |
| 2011 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 157 | 5.1 |
| 2012 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 63 | 167 | 4.8 |
| 2013 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 174 | 4.6 |
| 2014 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 160 | 5.0 |
| 2015 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 202 | 5.0 |
| 2016 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 90 | 202 | 5.0 |
| 2017 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 60 | 202 | 5.0 |

Table 3. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Clearwater Region, 2008-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-------------------|-------------------|------|---------|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| 10-1 ^a | 2011 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 49 | 4.1 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 3.0 | 34 | 5.9 |
| | 2013 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 58 | 3.4 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 40 | 5.0 |
| | 2015 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4.0 | 66 | 3.0 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 5.0 | 50 | 4.0 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3.5 | 55 | 3.6 |
| 10-2 ^b | 2011 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ND | 41 | 4.9 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 50 | ND | 24 | 8.3 |
| | 2013 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 32 | 6.3 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 40 | 5.0 |
| | 2015 ^c | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 42 | 4.8 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 3.5 | 36 | 5.5 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 4.4 |
| 10-3 ^c | 2015 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 30 | 6.7 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 3.5 | 36 | 5.5 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 4.4 |
| 18 | 2008 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 1.75 | 73 | 5.5 |
| | 2009 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 96 | 4.2 |
| | 2010 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 1.5 | 74 | 5.4 |
| | 2011 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 9.3 | 67 | 6.0 |
| | 2012 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 74 | 2.5 | 109 | 3.7 |
| | 2013 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 8.0 | 84 | 4.8 |
| | 2014 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 4.5 | 80 | 5.0 |
| | 2015 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 12.0 | 64 | 6.3 |
| | 2016 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 4.8 | 91 | 4.4 |
| | 2017 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 72 | 5.5 |

^a Hunt area 10-1 and 10-2 were combined in 2007 to form hunt area 10; Hunt area 10 was renamed hunt area 10-1 in 2011 regulations.

^b Hunt area 10-2 was reinstated in 2011 from a historical hunt from the 1990s.

^c Hunt area 10-2 was split with the addition of hunt area 10-3 for fall 2015.

Table 4. Mountain goat translocation, Clearwater Region, 1962-2003^a.

| Year | Capture site-GMU | Release site-GMU | Adults | | Kids | | Total |
|------|------------------|----------------------|--------|---|------|---|-------|
| | | | M | F | M | F | |
| 1962 | Snow Peak-9 | Seven Devils-18 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| 1964 | Snow Peak-9 | Seven Devils-18 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 1966 | Snow Peak-9 | Dome Hill-15 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1967 | Snow Peak-9 | Dome Hill-15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1986 | Black Mtn-9A | Boulder Creek-12 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1987 | Snow Peak-9 | Oregon Butte-19 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Black Mtn-9A | Oregon Butte-19 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1989 | Olympic NP, WA | Seven Devils-18 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1991 | Black Mtn-10 | Ship Island Cr-27 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1994 | Black Mtn-10 | Big Squaw Cr-20 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1996 | Black Mtn-10 | Big Squaw Cr-20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1998 | Black Mtn-10 | Johns Creek-15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Black Mtn-10 | Big Squaw Cr-20 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1999 | Seven Devils-18 | Big Mallard Falls-20 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2001 | Seven Devils-18 | Big Mallard Falls-20 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 2003 | Seven Devils-18 | Sheep Hill-20 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 16 |

^a No transplants conducted since 2003.

Table 5. Mountain goat surveys, GMUs 18, 19, and 20, Clearwater Region, 1981-2007.

| GMU | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| 18 | 1981 | Dry Gulch | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0.0 |
| | | Bernard Creek | 29 | 4 | 33 | 13.8 |
| | | Bernard Creek to Three Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | | Three Creek | 12 | 2 | 14 | 16.7 |
| | | Granite Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Three Creek to Granite Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | 1981 Total | 65 | 6 | 71 | 9.2 |
| | 1987 | Dry Gulch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Bernard Creek | 15 | 2 | 17 | 13.3 |
| | | Bernard Creek to Three Creek | 28 | 7 | 35 | 25.0 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Three Creek | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| | | Granite Creek | 19 | 3 | 22 | 15.8 |
| | | Three Creek to Granite Creek | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | 1987 Total | 70 | 12 | 82 | 17.1 |
| | 1993 | Dry Gulch | 49 | 5 | 54 | 10.2 |
| | | Bernard Creek | 3 | 2 | 5 | 66.7 |
| | | Bernard Creek to Three Creek | 11 | 4 | 15 | 36.4 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Three Creek | 20 | 3 | 23 | 15.0 |
| | | Granite Creek | 13 | 3 | 16 | 23.1 |
| | | Three Creek to Granite Creek | 20 | 3 | 23 | 15.0 |
| | | 1993 Total | 117 | 20 | 137 | 17.1 |
| | 1996 | Dry Gulch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Bernard Creek | 19 | 1 | 20 | 5.3 |
| | | Bernard Creek to Three Creek | 12 | 1 | 13 | 8.3 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | Three Creek | 16 | 4 | 20 | 25.0 |
| | | Granite Creek | 9 | 1 | 10 | 11.1 |
| | | Three Creek to Granite Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | 1996 Total | 61 | 7 | 68 | 11.5 |
| | 1999 ^a | 1999 Total | 171±48 | 61±44 | 237±67 | 34.5 |
| | 2002 | 2002 Total | 196±22 | | | |
| | 2007 | 2007 Total | | | 194±29 | 11.9 |
| | 2013 | 2013 Total | 90 | 26 | 116 | 28.9 |
| 19 | 1982 | Wind River | 5 | 2 | 7 | 40.0 |
| | | Crooked River | 7 | 1 | 8 | 14.3 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | Elk Creek | 2 | 1 | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | Upper Johnson Creek ^b | | | | |

Table 5 Continued.

| GMU | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|------|----------------------------------|--------|------|-------|--------------------|
| | | 1982 Total | 14 | 4 | 18 | 28.6 |
| | 1986 | Wind River | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Crooked River | 11 | 3 | 14 | 27.3 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 24 | 9 | 33 | 37.5 |
| | | Elk Creek | 9 | 4 | 13 | 44.4 |
| | | Upper Johnson Creek ^b | | | | |
| | | 1986 Total | 45 | 16 | 61 | 35.6 |
| | 1993 | Wind River | 7 | 3 | 10 | 42.9 |
| | | Crooked River | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | Sheep Creek | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.0 |
| | | Elk Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Upper Johnson Creek | 3 | 1 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | | 1993 Total | 24 | 4 | 28 | 16.7 |
| 20 | 1982 | Blowout Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Rhett Creek | 10 | 4 | 14 | 40.0 |
| | | Sabe Creek | 10 | 3 | 13 | 30.0 |
| | | Rattlesnake Creek | 3 | 1 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | | Bargamin Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | 1982 Total | 27 | 8 | 35 | 29.6 |
| | 1987 | Blowout Creek | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | | Rhett Creek | 12 | 1 | 13 | 8.3 |
| | | Sabe Creek | 30 | 8 | 38 | 26.7 |
| | | Rattlesnake Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Bargamin Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | 1987 Total | 50 | 9 | 59 | 18.0 |
| | 1993 | Blowout Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Rhett Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | Sabe Creek | 15 | 2 | 17 | 13.3 |
| | | Rattlesnake Creek | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Bargamin Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | 1993 Total | 19 | 2 | 21 | 10.5 |

^a Paintball mark-resight estimates include all of Hunt Area 18 (GMUs 18 and 22).

^b Drainage not included in survey.

SOUTHWEST (McCall) REGION

(GMUs 19A, 20A, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

Historical Background

Mountain goats in the McCall subregion can be divided into 4 primary populations. Mountain goats in the lower portion of the Salmon River (GMUs 19A and 20A) likely interact with mountain goats north of the river in Clearwater GMUs 19 and 20. Mountain goats in GMU 22 and a small portion of GMU 23 are contiguous with populations in GMU 18, and share a hunt area in Hells Canyon. Mountain goats in GMUs 25 and 26 share contiguous habitat throughout the Big Creek and Upper South Fork Salmon River drainage, while goats in the easternmost portions of GMUs 26 and 20A are more connected to populations along the Middle Fork Salmon River in GMU 27.

In the Lower Salmon River (GMUs 19A and 20A), Department managed mountain goats through controlled hunts during the 1950s-1970s. However, all hunts have been closed in this area since 1983, due to dwindling populations.

In Hells Canyon, increasing populations throughout the 1970s and 1980s resulted in expanded hunting opportunities. Mountain goat Hunt Area 18 was expanded south in 1997 to include the Brush Creek drainage of GMU 22. Based on the aerial survey in 2000, which indicated the goat population continued to expand, the Commission approved a separate hunt area for all of GMU 22 with 4 tags (Appendix A) beginning in 2003.

Mountain goats in Big Creek, the Upper South Fork Salmon River, and the eastern portions of GMUs 20A and 26 supported several controlled hunts totaling over 15 tags throughout the 1960s and 1970s. However, these hunts were closed in the 1980s, due to declining goat numbers. While there are goat hunts in portions of GMU 27, none overlap GMUs 25, 26, or 20A.

Management Objectives

Management will be consistent with the statewide management direction delineated in the 1991-1995 Mountain Goat Management Plan.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

Data from habitat models, historic population performance, and recent observations suggest that habitat is not limiting in these GMUs.

Biological Objectives

The Department's objectives throughout these GMUs is to maintain secure mountain goat habitat and increase mountain goat populations.

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

No mountain goats were captured, radio-marked, or monitored during this reporting period.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

A population survey was conducted in the northern part of GMU 25 and western part of GMU 26 during June 2017. During this survey, 47 goats (37 adults, 8 kids, 2 yearlings) were observed. Past surveys are summarized in Table 1. Incidental observations during an elk survey in 2013 suggest goat the population in Hunt Area 18 is continuing to increase.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

Mountain goats are hunted in a small portion of GMU 23 of the Southwest (McCall) Region and harvest is reported with the GMU 18 harvest in Clearwater Region. Four tags were issued for a goat hunt in GMU 22 in 2017. A total of 3 goats (2 male and 1 female) were harvested for a 75% success rate (Table 2). The maximum horn length recorded from this harvest was 10.5 inches.

Disease Monitoring

No disease monitoring was conducted on live mountain goats in these GMUs during this reporting period. Samples were collected from hunter-harvested mountain goats in GMU 22 to monitor for presence of respiratory disease pathogens.

Management Discussion

The McCall sub-region accounted for 8 mountain goat controlled hunt areas in 1977. All mountain goat hunting in the region was discontinued in 1980. Since these hunts were discontinued, the Department has collected intermittent data on goat populations in GMUs 20A, 25, and 26. Surveys in 2003, 2015, and 2017 indicate that mountain goat populations in this area are still not sufficient to support hunting opportunity. An exception to this is the GMU 22 mountain goat population, which is contiguous with the GMU 18 population. The GMU 22 population has been increasing as a result of mountain goats pioneering out from the GMU 18 hunt area. Based on the aerial survey in 2000, the Commission approved a separate hunt area for all of GMU 22 with 4 tags beginning with the 2003 hunting season. This hunt has a high success rate, with only 2 hunt tag holders failing to harvest a goat since the hunt's inception. The 2007 population survey in GMU 22 indicated that the mountain goat population was capable of supporting this level of harvest.

Mountain goat translocation sites were identified and prioritized during the 1988-1990 reporting period. Authorization from the appropriate land management agencies was obtained during the 1989-1990 reporting period. These sites were incorporated into the Department's 1991-1995 Mountain Goat Management Plan and revised in August 2000. Recent data indicates a scarcity of goats in the lower South Fork Salmon River portion of GMU 20A, as well as in Monumental Creek, upper Big Creek, and the Pinnacles. Habitat potential for sustaining a goat population should be assessed in this area, which is considered the highest priority for reintroduction or supplementation in the McCall sub-region.

Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, Southwest (McCall) Region, 1982-2010.

| GMU | Year | Adults | Kids | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|------|--------|------|-------|--------------------|
| 20A | 1982 | 35 | 11 | 46 | 31.4 |
| | 1990 | 35 | 5 | 40 | 14.3 |
| | 2003 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 22.2 |
| 22 | 1996 | 44 | 5 | 49 | 11.4 |
| | 2002 | 45 | 9 | 54 | 20.0 |
| | 2007 | 34 | 7 | 41 | 20.5 |
| 25 | 1982 | 52 | 7 | 59 | 13.5 |
| | 1990 | 21 | 6 | 27 | 28.6 |
| | 2003 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 28.6 |
| 26 | 1982 | 34 | 6 | 40 | 17.6 |
| | 1990 | 35 | 6 | 41 | 17.1 |
| | 2003 | 24 | 10 | 34 | 41.7 |

Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Southwest (McCall) Region, 2003-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | | Hunter success (%) | Days/ hunter | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|--------------|------|------|---------|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | M | U | F | | | | |
| 22 | 2003 | 4 | 3 | | 1 | 100 | 1.8 | 51 | 7.8 |
| | 2004 | 4 | 3 | | 0 | 75 | | 32 | 12.5 |
| | 2005 | 4 | 4 | | 0 | 100 | 3.3 | 23 | 17.4 |
| | 2006 | 4 | 4 | | 0 | 100 | | 78 | 5.1 |
| | 2007 | 4 | 1 | | 2 | 75 | | 46 | 8.7 |
| | 2008 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | 42 | 9.5 |
| | 2009 | 4 | 3 | | 1 | 100 | 7.0 | 72 | 5.6 |
| | 2010 | 4 | 2 | | 2 | 100 | 4.0 | 52 | 7.7 |
| | 2011 | 4 | 3 | | 1 | 100 | 2.8 | 56 | 7.1 |
| | 2012 | 4 | 2 | | 2 | 100 | 7.25 | 47 | 8.5 |
| | 2013 | 4 | 4 | | 0 | 100 | 2.25 | 86 | 4.7 |
| | 2014 | 4 | 4 | | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 65 | 6.2 |
| | 2015 | 4 | 3 | | 1 | 100 | 3.5 | 56 | 7.1 |
| | 2016 | 4 | 3 | | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 66 | 6.1 |
| | 2017 | 4 | 2 | | 1 | 75 | 4.0 | 88 | 4.5 |

SOUTHWEST (Nampa) REGION

GMUs 33, 34, 35, 39

Historical Background

Historically, controlled hunts for mountain goats occurred in GMUs 35 and 39 until 1981. GMU 35 had 3 hunt areas with 15 any-weapon tags and 15 archery tags. Average annual harvest for the last 5 years of the hunt (1977-1981) was 8 mountain goats. GMU 39 had 3 hunt areas with 17 any-weapon tags. Average annual harvest for the last 5 years of the hunt was 7 mountain goats. Mountain goat seasons in both GMUs were discontinued between 1981 and 2004. A hunt with 2 tags was reopened in GMU 39 in 2005 and a portion of GMU 35 were added to the 36-1 Hunt

Management Direction

- Maintain harvest opportunities through controlled hunts
- Increase goat populations where feasible
- Conduct disease testing of all harvested and captured goats

Habitat Management and Monitoring

Mountain goat habitat in GMU's 35 and 39 encompasses the rocky, jagged mountains of portions of the Sawtooth range. Land ownership is primarily USFS (Boise National Forest). Mountain goats occupy detached rocky cliffs along Eightmile, Tenmile, Warm Springs, and Canyon Creeks in GMU 35 and Steel Mountain and North Fork Boise River in GMU 39. They are otherwise found along the main Sawtooth range that divides GMU 36 from GMUs 35 and 39.

During summer 2003, wildfire burned through the forested habitat surrounding most mountain goat range in the North Fork Boise River and Steel Mountain areas. Habitat disturbance may have been responsible for the observed declines in these areas in 2004. No major habitat disturbances occurred in the Warm Springs or Grand Jean areas during the past 10 years.

Biological Objectives

Biological objectives will be consistent with statewide direction outlined in the 1991-1995 Mountain Goat Management Plan. The primary objective in GMU's 35 and 39 are to increase populations by 10% compared to the 1990 population estimate.

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

No capture or monitoring of mountain goats occurred in the area during the reporting period.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

Population surveys were conducted in late March 2017 in GMU's 35 and 39. The survey was conducted in a Bell 47 Soloy helicopter with a pilot and 2 observers. We observed 115 goats (96 adults, 2 yearlings, and 16 kids) in GMU 39, down slightly compared to the previous survey conducted in 2009 (130 goats; 104 adults, 21 kids, and 5 yearlings). We only observed 38 goats (34 adults and 3 kids) in GMU 35, down considerably compared to 2009 when 103 goats were observed. It is not known whether the goat population was actually down that much, or if the

heavy snow moved them out of the survey area. Only 2 goats have been harvested in GMU 35 since 2011 when GMU 35 was added to the 36-1 hunt area. Surveys prior to 2004 were flown during spring when intermixed snow and green-up conditions persisted. The 2004 survey was conducted during winter following fresh snowfall when conditions were ideal. The 2009 survey had ample snow, but no fresh snow for tracking. The 2017 survey occurred during one of the highest snow packs on record, but also occurred in late winter compared to 2004 and 2009.

Total numbers of mountain goats observed by area in 2017 were similar in the North Fork Boise River, slightly lower in Atlanta area and considerably lower in Warm Springs Creek and Grand Jean compared to 2009. The lack of goats observed in historic goat location in GMU 35 during 2017 was perplexing. Steel Mountain (GMU 39) was not surveyed in 2017, but hunter reports during the past 5 years confirmed nannies and kids continue to occupy the area between Steel Mountain and Sheep Creek.

Canyon Creek and Eightmile Creek were added to the survey in 2009 and goats were observed in both drainages. Only Canyon Creek was surveyed in 2017 due to weather issues. Three goats were observed in Eightmile Creek during an elk survey in January 2017. Additional goat tracks were also observed in the drainage during that survey,

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

A new hunt with 2 tags was established in 2005 for that portion of GMU 39 in the Middle Fork Boise River drainage upstream from, and including, Queen's River and Yuba River drainages. Both tags in the GMU 39 controlled hunt were filled in 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009 and 2010. One tag was filled in 2007. The portion of GMU 35 within the Sawtooth National Recreation Area (SNRA) was included in the 36-1 goat hunt in 2011. One goat was harvested in GMU 35 in 2011. The Trinity Ridge fire in the Boise National Forest closed much of GMU 39 during summer and fall 2012. The two tags issued in 2012 were reissued in 2013. However, fires during 2013 also impacted goat hunters and 3 hunters took rainchecks for their tag in 2014 (one hunter was able to hunt and harvested a goat in 2013). Only one tag was issued in the controlled hunt application process in 2014 to maintain hunt quality; while the remaining 3 raincheck hunters were also allowed to hunt in 2014. Since 2014, both tags have been filled. Only 2 females have been harvested in the 39-1 hunt during the past 10 years (Table 2).

Draw odds improved in 2015 and 2016 compared to previous years (2010-2013); possibly as a result of the fire and subsequent uncertainty of hunting access and conditions. Draw odds in 2017 was back down to 5%, but jumped up to 12% in 2018.

Disease Monitoring

Blood, nasal, and oral pharyngeal samples are taken from hunter harvested goats. At a minimum, nasal swabs were taken from both goats harvested in GMU 39 in 2017.

Management Discussion

We will consider providing additional mountain goat hunting opportunities in hunt areas that meet minimum requirements as defined in the 2018 Mountain Goat Management Plan. All other areas will remain closed.

Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, Southwest (Nampa) Region, 1976-2009.

| GMU | Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|------------------------------|------|--------|------|-------|-----------------|
| 35 | Grandjean/Head SF Payette | 1976 | 119 | 29 | 148 | 24.4 |
| | | 1981 | 106 | 23 | 129 | 21.7 |
| | | 1988 | 61 | 10 | 71 | 16.4 |
| | | 1994 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 22.2 |
| | | 2004 | 71 | 16 | 87 | 22.5 |
| | | 2009 | 56 | 12 | 68 | 21.4 |
| | | 2017 | 25 | 3 | 28 | 12.0 |
| | Warm Springs Crk. | 1980 | 23 | 10 | 33 | 43.5 |
| | | 1988 | 32 | 14 | 46 | 43.8 |
| | | 1994 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | 2004 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 33.3 |
| | | 2009 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 22.2 |
| | | 2017 | 7 | 0 | 7 | N/A |
| | Tenmile Crk. | 1980 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 16.7 |
| | | 1988 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 36.4 |
| | | 1994 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2004 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2009 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2017 | N/C | N/C | N/C | N/C |
| | Eightmile Crk. | 2009 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | | 2017 | 3 | 0 | 3 | N/A |
| | Canyon Crk. | 2009 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 14.3 |
| | | 2017 | 2 | 0 | 2 | N/A |
| 39 | Atlanta | 1977 | 65 | 14 | 79 | 21.5 |
| | | 1981 | 47 | 9 | 56 | 19.1 |
| | | 1988 | 41 | 9 | 50 | 22.0 |
| | | 1994 | 25 | 1 | 26 | 4.0 |
| | | 2004 | 75 | 21 | 96 | 28.0 |
| | | 2009 | 78 | 15 | 93 | 19.2 |
| | | 2017 | 66 | 6 | 67 | 9.1 |
| | Steel Mountain | 1977 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 25.0 |
| | | 1981 | 12 | 2 | 14 | 16.7 |
| | | 1988 | 14 | 5 | 19 | 35.7 |
| | | 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2017 | N/C | N/C | N/C | N/C |
| | N Fork Boise River | 1977 | 17 | 6 | 23 | 35.3 |
| | | 1981 | 37 | 10 | 47 | 27.0 |
| | | 1994 | 23 | 4 | 27 | 17.4 |
| | | 2004 | 16 | 2 | 18 | 12.5 |
| | | 2009 | 31 | 6 | 37 | 19.4 |
| | | 2017 | 31 | 10 | 43 | 32.3 |

Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Southwest Region, 2005-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-----------|-------------------|------|---------|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| 39-1 | 2005 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 30 | 6.7 |
| 39-1 | 2006 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 7 | 28.6 |
| 39 | 2007 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 5.0 | 40 | 5.0 |
| 39 | 2008 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 21 | 9.5 |
| 39 | 2009 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 6.5 | 6 | 33.3 |
| 39 | 2010 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 44 | 4.5 |
| 39 | 2011 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 22.5 | 23 | 8.7 |
| 39 | 2012 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11.1 |
| 39 | 2013 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 3.0 | 20 | 10.0 |
| 39 | 2014 ^a | 4 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 3.7 | 14 ^b | 7.1 ^b |
| 39 | 2015 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 6.0 | 11 | 18.2 |
| 39 | 2016 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 2.5 | 18 | 11.0 |
| 39 | 2017 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.5 | 43 | 4.7 |

^a includes 3 rainchecks

^b excludes rainchecks

MAGIC VALLEY REGION

GMUs 36, 43, 48

Historical Background

Previous reports detail the numerous changes that have been made in goat survey areas and hunt areas since the 1970s. Hunt Area 43 currently includes the southwestern portion of GMU 36, all of GMU 43, and the northwest portion of GMU 48. Hunt Areas 50, 36A-1, and 36A-2 include portions of GMUs 48 and 49. Information on these hunts is presented in the Upper Snake and Salmon sections of this report.

During the 2006-2007 regulation process, mountain goat hunt area boundaries underwent substantial changes in the Pioneer, Smoky, Sawtooth, and Boulder-White Cloud Mountains to better reflect population structure, improve harvest distribution, and allow more hunting opportunity while ensuring healthy mountain goat populations.

Management Objectives

Current statewide management direction is to encourage the USFS to reduce livestock/human/mountain goat conflicts in favor of mountain goats and maintain current hunts and tag levels.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

Mountain goats within this population are becoming increasingly exposed to human disturbance as extreme backcountry sports such as Heli-skiing and snowmobiling become more popular. It will be important for managers to work with land management agencies to monitor activities which diminish the inaccessible nature of mountain goat habitat, and to minimize both motorized and non-motorized disturbance, particularly during lambing and over-wintering months. Additionally, habitat improvement projects designed to increase forage value and return late seral habitats to early successional stages may benefit mountain goats in this area.

Biological Objectives

Maintain a stable population with secure habitat within Hunt Area 43.

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

No mountain goats were captured or radiocollared during this reporting period.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

The most recent survey of Hunt Area 43 was conducted in February 2017. One hundred seventy four goats were observed (134 Adults, 24 Kids, and 10 Yearlings). Observed ratios were 18 juveniles:100 adults. The number of goats observed was up considerably from the previous survey, conducted in 2009. During the 2009 survey, 107 mountain goats (21 juveniles:100 adults) were observed in Hunt Area 43.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

In 2017, 2 of the 3 tag holders in Hunt Area 43 harvested mountain goats. Both mountain goats were harvested in GMU 43; a 3.5 year old male and a 6.5 year old female.

Capture and Translocation

Potential release sites have been identified in GMUs 43 and 48. No translocations occurred in the region during the reporting period.

Disease Monitoring

Sample kits were distributed to hunters to assist in disease monitoring efforts. Additional disease samples were taken from harvested goats during mandatory harvest reporting. Results of these sampling efforts will be available in the next reporting period.

Management Discussion

Results of the 2004, 2009, and 2017 surveys suggest that overall mountain goat numbers are increasing but also suggest that the distribution of mountain goats is patchy. While hunters have enjoyed relatively high success rates and relatively good drawing odds in these GMUs, research suggests that small, patchy mountain goat populations can sustain only minimal harvest. In addition, because Hunt Area 43 is close to the Ketchum/Sun Valley area and State Highway 75, mountain goats in these hunt areas are frequently observed by the general public and have important non-consumptive value. During the next review of mountain goat hunting regulations, we plan to review location, survey, and harvest data and will continue to refine tag numbers and hunt area boundaries to best fit the dynamics of the mountain goat populations in GMUs 43 and 48.

Human recreation in the form of Heli-skiing and extreme snowmobiling are becoming more popular along the Blaine/Camas county border between GMUs 36, 43, and 48. Regulation of these activities needs to be closely monitored to assess possible impacts to wintering mountain goats. Managers will continue to collaborate with Sawtooth National Forest staff to alleviate potential effects of winter recreation on mountain goats.

Table 1. Mountain goat surveys, Magic Valley Region, 1981-2009.

| GMU | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Unknow n | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|------|---|--------|------|-------------|-------|--------------------|
| 36 | 2009 | That portion of GMU 36 west of State Highway 75 and south of Alturas Lake Creek | 18 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 33.3 |
| | 2017 | | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 16.7 |
| 43 | 1981 | | 69 | 20 | 0 | 89 | 29.0 |
| | 1990 | | 67 | 21 | 0 | 88 | 31.3 |
| | 1994 | | 21 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 19.0 |
| | 1996 | | 25 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 28.0 |
| | 2001 | | 26 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 7.7 |
| | 2004 | | 21 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 28.6 |
| | 2009 | | 50 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 20.0 |
| | 2017 | | 69 | 17 | 3 | 89 | 25.0 |
| 48 | 1981 | That portion west of N. Fork Big Wood River and north of Hwy 75 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 16.7 |
| | | That portion west of Hwy 75 and north of Baker Creek | 19 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 10.5 |
| | | That portion east of Hwy 75 and south of Trail Creek Road, and that portion of GMU 49 west of the Little Wood River | 21 | 1 | 5 | 27 | 4.8 |
| | 1985 | That portion west of N. Fork Big Wood River and north of Hwy 75 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 30.8 |
| | 1990 | | 43 | 16 | 0 | 59 | 37.2 |
| | 1994 | | 52 | 13 | 0 | 65 | 25.0 |
| | 2001 | | 55 | 14 | 0 | 69 | 25.5 |
| | 2004 | | 44 | 12 | 0 | 56 | 27.3 |
| | 2009 | That portion of GMU 48 south and west of State Highway 75 and upstream from and including the Baker Creek drainage | 19 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 10.5 |
| | 2017 | | 64 | 9 | 5 | 78 | 14.1 |

Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Magic Valley Region, 2000-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-----------|-------------------|------|---------|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| 43 | 2005 ^a | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1.5 | 24 | 8.3 |
| | 2006 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.5 | 14 | 14.3 |
| | 2007 ^c | 3 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 1.5 | 54 | 5.6 |
| | 2008 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 10.2 | 25 | 12.0 |
| | 2009 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 3.0 | 48 | 6.3 |
| | 2010 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 7.3 | 28 | 10.7 |
| | 2011 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 11.0 | 33 | 9.1 |
| | 2012 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 1.7 | 28 | 10.7 |
| | 2013 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 10.0 | 45 | 6.7 |
| | 2014 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 4.0 | 43 | 7.0 |
| | 2015 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 9.0 | 27 | 11.1 |
| | 2016 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 1.7 | 52 | 5.8 |
| | 2017 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 1.0 | 51 | 5.8 |
| 48 | 2000 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.5 | 13 | 15.4 |
| | 2001 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.5 | 8 | 25.0 |
| | 2002 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 3.0 | 25 | 8.0 |
| | 2003 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 24 | 8.3 |
| | 2004 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 3.0 | 18 | 11.1 |
| | 2005 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 5.0 | 13 | 15.4 |
| | 2006 ^b | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 3.0 | 12 | 16.7 |

^a Data is for Hunt Area 43, which includes portions of GMUs 43 and 36.

^b After 2006, Hunt Area 48 was incorporated into Hunt Area 43.

^c In 2007, Hunt Area 43 was redrawn to include GMU 43 and portions of GMUs 36 and 48.

UPPER SNAKE REGION

GMUs 49, 50

Historical Background

Five distinct populations of mountain goats traditionally occurred in Upper Snake Region. These populations include Pioneer Mountains (GMUs 49 and 50). Hunt Areas 50-1 and 50-2 were closed in 1982 because of a low kid:adult ratio. Hunt Area 50 (that portion of GMU 50 south and east of Trail Creek Road and south and west of U.S. Highway 93) was reopened with 5 tags in 1986 after 92 mountain goats with 30 kids:100 adults were counted on a 1985 survey. This hunt area was previously identified as 50-2 and was closed when only 45 mountain goats with 22 kids:100 adults were counted during a helicopter survey in winter 1981-1982. GMU 49 was added to this hunt in 2001.

Mountain goat hunting opportunity has been reduced substantially in recent years across the area. These reductions had been in response to dramatic population declines in many of our GMUs. These declines had led to the closure of 3 of the 5 hunt areas in 2014 and 2015. The Upper Snake Region has gone from a high of 5 hunt areas and 40 total tags in the late 1980s and early 1990s to 2 hunts with 7 total tags offered beginning 2013.

Management Objectives

The 1991-1995 Mountain Goat Management Plan authorizes hunts in GMUs having a minimum of 50 adult mountain goats, requires that hunted GMUs be inventoried at least once every 5 years, and sets tag levels to not exceed 5% of the adults in any population.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

The 2014 winter had below average snow accumulations, but timely spring and late summer rains maintained some quality forage across the region. In 2015, winter snow accumulations were about average, but spring and summer rains were less than in 2014 and as a result forage quality was marginal. During both winters, average temperatures were observed with many south facing slopes free of snow for much of the winter. Snowpack receded faster than the previous years with vegetation growing earlier in the spring.

Mountain goats in Hunt Area 50 occupy the higher elevation peaks and ridges of the Pioneer Range. Habitat in this area is discontinuous and appears less productive than other occupied mountain goat habitat in Upper Snake Region. The two habitat components that are most limited are alpine meadow summer range and mountain mahogany stands for winter range. Tracks observed on aerial surveys indicate mountain goats, either solitary or in small groups, shift several miles to find suitable habitats following winter storms. Water may also be limiting in some parts of the summer range.

Biological Objectives

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

There has been no capture, radio-marking or telemetry work done on the hunt area 50 mountain goat population.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

GMUs 49 and 50 were surveyed in August 2017: this was the first survey since August of 2010 when 74 mountain goats were counted. The survey in 2017 resulted in a total of 172 goats being counted, 32 of which were kids. This is a 132% increase over this time frame, which is reflective of the increasing kid:adult ratios observed in the 2010 survey. Worth noting was the observation of 23 goats in the White Knob portion of the area.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

Two tags were issued in Hunt Area 50 in 2017, resulting in the harvest of 0 male mountain goats (0% hunter success). Drawing odds were 5% in 2017.

Capture and Translocation

No capture and/or translocation operations have been conducted in GMU 50.

Disease Monitoring

Disease monitoring for this area consists of taking nasal and oral-pharyngeal samples on all harvested animals that are checked in (if possible) and then sampling other individuals as needs, concerns, or opportunities dictate.

Management Discussion

Tags in Hunt Area 50 were reduced from 5 to 2 in 1993 based upon results of the 1992 population survey. Mountain goat population surveys in February 1992, August 1999, August 2004, August 2010, and August 2017 indicate this hunt area has experienced significant population growth over the last several years (132% increase from the 2010 survey). The current mountain goat management plan calls for no more than 5% harvest of the adult population which calculates to 5.16 animals. With the significant increase in goat numbers in the hunt area in the 2017 survey, it is likely that there will be a recommendation to increase hunting opportunity in this area.

Controlled Hunt Area 51 (GMUs 51, 58, 59, 59A)

Historical Background

Mountain goats are native to these ranges. Reports of observations of one to a few mountain goats date back to the early 1950s. Numbers remained low, however, until about the mid-1970s. Aerial surveys in 1982 indicated that populations in Hunt Areas 51 and 59A had increased enough to increase tags in Hunt Area 51 and initiate Hunt Area 59A. Hunt Area 59 was initiated in 1987 after a 1986 survey found 46 mountain goats with a ratio of 44 kids:100 adults. This hunt was closed after a 1994 population survey accounted for only 25 mountain goats. Hunt Area 59A

was closed in 2002 because the population had declined below the minimum number to support a hunt (Table 2).

From 1983-1986, Hunt Area 51 was split into 51-1 (north of Rocky Canyon drainage) and 51-2 (south of and including Rocky Canyon drainage). These hunts were combined in 1987 when observations showed mountain goats moved freely between the hunt areas and hunter densities were not a problem.

Mountain goats have typically not been found on the west side of GMU 51 (Lost River Range) but in recent years, sightings of a few animals have been reported.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

The 2014 winter had below average snow accumulations, but timely spring and late summer rains maintained some quality forage across the region. In 2015, winter snow accumulations were about average, but spring and summer rains were less than in 2014 and as a result forage quality was marginal. During both winters, average temperatures were observed with many south facing slopes free of snow for much of the winter. Snowpack receded faster than the previous years with vegetation growing earlier in the spring.

Mountain goat habitat in these GMUs consists of alpine meadows interspersed with scree and talus, conifers, and mountain mahogany. Water and alpine meadow habitat is limited in these ranges and may be limiting goat distribution and population growth. Current drought conditions over the last two years coupled with overgrazing of public land could affect populations.

Biological Objectives

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

There have been no captures, transplants, or marking of mountain goats in Hunt Area 51.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

A population survey was flown in Hunt Area 51 in August 2005. A total of 67 mountain goats with a kid:adult ratio of 20.8:100 were counted. This number is down significantly from the previous and historical high count for the area of 157. This information prompted a tag reduction from 6 to 3 for the 2007 season. The most recent population survey was flown in Hunt Area 51 in July 2012. A total of 65 mountain goats with a kid:adult ratio of 35:100 was counted.

Population surveys were conducted in GMUs 59 and 59A in August 2001 and again in September 2002 (Table 2). A Bell G47 helicopter was used to conduct the surveys. No goats were found in GMU 59 in 2001 or 2002 despite good counting conditions and the same areas being surveyed by the same observer as in the previous (1994) survey. A total of 25 mountain goats were counted in GMU 59 in 1994. The observed kid:adult ratio was 79:100, and no twin sets were identified. The 25 mountain goats counted on this survey represented a decrease of

46% from the next most recent survey (1986). No goats could be found on the Montana side of the range (Garfield Peak, Lima Peaks, and upper Shineberger Creek) in 2002.

The 2001 survey of GMU 59A accounted for only 25 mountain goats. This total represents an 80% decrease from the previous survey (1994). Counting conditions were good during this survey, and again, the same areas were surveyed by the same observer, pilot, and aircraft as the previous surveys. The 1994 survey results included a total count of 128 mountain goats with 39 kids:100 adults (4 sets of twins identified). This total represented an increase of 44% from the 1991 survey and represented the most mountain goats ever counted in this GMU.

Despite good counting conditions during early September 2002 in Hunt Areas 59 and 59A, counts were down dramatically in all areas. Winds prevented getting close to rocks in some places to get precise GIS locations; however, the area was surveyed adequately to count and classify the goats. The survey was conducted by the same observer, but a different pilot and aircraft than the 1994 and 2001 surveys. No goats were observed in GMU 59 (25 goats were counted in 1994) and only 22 goats were tallied in GMU 59A, compared to 128 in 1994. The declines in these populations resulted in the closure of both hunts (59 in 1995 and 59A in 2002). During the 2002 survey, an attempt was made to survey the entire population of goats in both Idaho and Montana. In addition to the traditional area surveyed (Beaverhead Range from Italian Peak to Ten Mile Creek), the mountain range from Ten Mile Creek, Idaho, and Morrison Lake, Montana, was surveyed. Within this area, an additional 6 adult goats were observed above Morrison Lake on the Montana side of the range and a nanny and kid observed in upper Clear Creek, GMU 30A on the Idaho side of the range. The kid:100 adult ratio had declined from 39.1 in 1994 to 22.2 in 2002. Reasons for these declines are still poorly understood.

The most recent surveys in GMUs 59 and 59A were conducted in August 2006. This survey resulted in observations of 2 adult goats and 0 kids in GMU 59 and 20 adults and 7 kids in GMU 59A/58.

The last survey for the GMU 51 hunt area was conducted in August of 2012. The results of this survey was concerning for biologists. The total number of goats on the 2012 survey was 65. For comparison 157 goats were counted in 2000. This area is a priority for the Region to fly again as soon as possible.

Staff is planning on conducting a new survey of this area in August of 2018.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

Based on the survey completed in GMU 51 in 2012, it was determined that the decreasing amount of goats observed could not support harvest. Total numbers of goats in the 2000 survey was 157 compared to the 2012 survey total of 65. No tags have been issued in GMU 51.

Capture and Translocation

No capture and/or translocation operations were conducted during this reporting period.

Disease Monitoring

Disease monitoring for this area consists of taking nasal and oral-pharyngeal samples on all harvested animals that are checked in (if possible) and then sampling other individuals as needs, concerns, or opportunities dictate.

Management Discussion

The 1991-1995 Mountain Goat Management Plan authorizes hunts in GMUs having a minimum of 50 adult mountain goats, requires that hunted GMUs be inventoried at least once every 5 years, and sets tag levels to not exceed 5% of the adults in any population. Based on the most recent survey data, season framework modifications were implemented beginning in 1995. The tag level in GMU 51 was decreased from 6 to 3 due to reduced populations in the most recent survey. The dramatic decrease in goats counted in both GMUs 59 and 59A have resulted in the closure of these hunts (GMU 59 in 1995 and GMU 59A in 2002). Reasons for these declines and differences in population performance of relatively close populations are unknown.

GMU 67

Historical Background

The Snake River Range lies outside the historical range of mountain goats. Five mountain goats were introduced in 1969 (Hayden 1989) (Table 4). The mountain goat population in GMU 67 grew rapidly in the 1970s and 1980s. Hunts were initiated in 1983. Each of Hunt Areas 67-1, 67-2, 67-3, and 67-4 had 4 tags in 1986, resulting in a net increase of 6 tags over the 1985 season. Two new hunts (67-5 and 67-6) were created in 1987. Due to decreasing population trends and plans to continue capturing mountain goats from the Mt. Baldy and Mt. Baird populations for statewide translocation, seasons were restructured in 1991. Total tags were reduced from 24 to 13. Hunt Areas 67-2, 67-4, and 67-6 were combined and renumbered to 67-1. Additionally, Hunt Area 67-1 became 67-2; 67-3 remained 67-3; and 67-5 became 67-4. The continued downward population trend resulted in the further restructuring of the GMU 67 goat hunts in 2001 to consist of only 1 hunt with 3 permits encompassing all of GMU 67.

Hunt Area 67 was closed to harvest in 2003 and 2004. Only 2 tags were issued in 2005 and 2006. In 2007, permits were increased to 4 and remained at 4 tags until 2011. Permits were increased to 5 for the 2011 season as a result of the 2010 population survey, which supported the increase in permit levels. Population surveys have continued to be conducted every two years in this hunt area, due in large part to research projects that have been going on since 2013. The surveys conducted in 2012, 2014, and 2016 have also indicated stable and healthy goat numbers in GMU 67.

The winter of 2016-2017 was fairly harsh and Wyoming documented increased mortality on adults and significant loss of kids due to the winter conditions. This mortality likely carried over to the Idaho side of the population. The impacts of this winter will likely be reflected in goat numbers over the next number of years and is something that managers should take into consideration.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

The 2014 winter had below average snow accumulations, but timely spring and late summer rains maintained some quality forage across the region. In 2015, winter snow accumulations were about average, but spring and summer rains were less than in 2014 and as a result forage quality was marginal. During both winters, average temperatures were observed with many south facing slopes free of snow for much of the winter. Snowpack receded faster than the previous years with vegetation growing earlier in the spring.

GMU 67 mountain goat habitat is productive, with a good complex of alpine meadows, mountain mahogany, and conifers. In summer, the mountain goats use lush, alpine meadows and cirque basins. Examination of harvested mountain goats from this area indicates they are in extremely good body condition going into winter.

Domestic sheep graze the Mt. Baird area and may be impacting mountain goat summer range. This area is heavily used by mountain goats prior to sheep use, but they leave and move onto winter range when domestic sheep are present. It is not known if this mountain goat movement is due to forage or spatial competition, or disturbance created by herders and dogs. The Targhee National Forest, who administers the area, has continued to evaluate the conflict.

The Bridger-Teton National Forest is currently going through the NEPA process to evaluate the impacts of a proposal for heli-skiing in the area. If approved, this could have negative impacts for this goat population.

Biological Objectives

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

As part of a research project that was implemented back in 2013, Upper Snake staff continued to monitor 4 VHF radio collars within this population. There were no additional capture or radio-marking activities in hunt area 67 over this reporting time frame.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

Productivity and survival have historically been high in this introduced population. In 1982 and 1983, the percent of adult females producing young was 71% and 83%, respectively, and twinning rates were 25% and 33%, respectively. Annual survival from 1982 to 1983 was calculated to be 88% among kids, 95% among yearlings, and 93% among adult/subadults (Hayden 1989). Even in the face of declining populations, kid:adult ratios remained high through 2000, but showed a marked decline in the 2002 count.

Surveys have been conducted in GMU 67 on a fairly frequent basis, usually in conjunction with Wyoming mountain goat surveys. An aerial population survey was conducted on this mountain goat population with a Bell G47 helicopter in early August 2002. A total of only 42 mountain goats with a kid:adult ratio of 20:100 was counted in the Mt. Baird portion of GMU 67. This was the fewest goats counted in this area since before 1982. This survey was disappointing in that no

goats could be found in the Mt. Baldy portion of GMU 67. What was even more disappointing was that the goat dust beds and trails so prominent 10 years ago no longer exist; suggesting goats no longer use this area. The count previous to 2002 in this area was a helicopter count conducted in 2000 that accounted for 90 mountain goats. This population had shown a steady decline from 217 (the historical high count) down to 42 since 1996. Kid:100 adult ratios had declined from over 40 to 20. Goat numbers on the Wyoming side of the area also declined from 76 in 2000 to 55 in 2002. As with the GMU 59 and 59A goats, the reason for these declines is poorly understood. Reasons for this decline are largely unknown and the hunt was closed in 2003.

The August 2004 population survey had some surprising results. Mountain goat numbers had increased substantially to 114 animals; a 171% population increase in 2 years. The increase seems unrealistic and may be inflated due to changes in survey effort and methodology; however, Wyoming biologists noted a similar change in this population from their survey. The state line runs across the mountain range and the goat populations in the 2 states could biologically be considered as one. The Wyoming goats originated from Idaho introductions. Wyoming counted 55 goats in 2002 and 121 goats in 2004, a 120% increase. Surveys in the 2 states were timed and conducted similarly but with different pilots and observers. During the 2004 Idaho survey, goats were again observed in the Mt. Baldy area with a total of 6 animals. The increased count prompted the Department to reopen the GMU 67 hunt with a conservative 2 permits beginning fall 2005 in the Mt. Baird area between Palisades Creek and the Wyoming border.

Another survey was conducted in February 2005 to address impacts of a proposed expansion of a heli-skiing operation. During this survey, 74 goats were observed in Idaho and data was gathered on locations of wintering goats and signs of winter recreation. We not only learned where heli-skiing activities would be detrimental to mountain goats but that snowmobiling activity is reaching far into mountain goat wintering habitat and is a serious concern. The lower count from summer to winter is most likely due to sightability differences between summer and winter.

A population survey of mountain goats occurred in August 2010. The survey suggests the population is slightly larger than it was during the previous survey in 2006. Total goats counted were 155 with 115 adults and 40 kids. Biologists counted 129 animals south of Palisades Creek with kid:adult ratio of 34:100 and 26 animals north of Palisades Creek with a kid:adult ratio of 37:100.

The August 2012 survey yielded 113 goats with 90 adults and 23 kids. The kid:adult ratio on this survey was 26:100. Tags for 2011 were increased from 4 to 5 in Hunt Area 67 due to findings from August 2010 survey.

A population survey was conducted in August 2014 and a total of 135 goats were counted, with 109 adults and 26 kids. During this effort biologists counted 112 goats south of Palisades Creek with a kid:adult ratio of 13.3:100 and 23 goats on the north side of Palisades Creek with a kid:adult ration of 21.1:100.

The GMU 67 mountain goat population was surveyed again in August of 2016. This survey yielded a population total of 143 goats, 104 adults and 39 kids. (kid:adult ratio of 37.5:100). As in other years data was split into two different areas; the area south of Palisades Creek (Baldy Area) and the habitat North of Palisades Creek (Baird Area). In 2016 the Baldy Area totaled 23 goats with 18 adults and 5 kids. The Baird Area had 120 goats with 86 adults and 34 kids. This population seems to be doing very well.

Staff is planning on conducting another survey in August of 2018.

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

Hunt Area 67 was closed to harvest in 2003 and 2004. Only 2 tags were issued in 2005 and 2006. In 2007, permits were increased to 4 and remained at 4 tags until 2011. Permits were increased to 5 for the 2011 season as a result of the 2010 population survey, which supported the increase in permit levels. In 2011, 5 tags were issued with a harvest of 3 males and 1 female goat (80% hunter success). Drawing odds were 3.8% for hunt area 67 in 2014. In 2015, 5 tags were issued with a harvest of 5 males (100% hunter success). Drawing odds were 3.9% for hunt area 67 in 2015.

In 2016, 5 tags were issued with a harvest of 4 goats, 2 males and 2 females (80% hunter success). Drawing odds were 3.4% for hunt area 67 in 2016. Monitoring female harvest for this hunt over time will continue to be a priority and warrant attention.

In 2017, 5 tags were once again issued for hunt area 67 with 4% drawing odds. In 2017, 3 of 5 hunters were successful (60% hunter success) and all 3 goats harvested were male. Female harvest continues to be a point of interest in monitoring this goat population.

Capture and Translocation

Several efforts to translocate mountain goats from the Mt. Baldy and Mt. Baird populations were made between 1989 and 1997. Mountain goats were captured in clover traps using salt as bait and some were net-gunned. A total of 46 mountain goats were removed from the area during 6 capture efforts (Table 4). In August of 2011, 3 mountain goats were captured and radio collared in the Palisades area. They were fitted with store on board GPS collars and a micro VHF collar. This should add up to 6 years of data collection from these goats. This project is part of a multi-state national park effort (Mountain Ungulate Project) to look at habitat use and competition between mountain goats and bighorn sheep. In July of 2013, an additional 9 radio collars were deployed in this population. Regional staff has maintained monitoring efforts for all collared goats in GMU 67. The Department continues to work closely with the Wyoming Game and Fish Department on this collaborative project. In, there were still 9 radio-marked goats remaining and these animals were monitored from the ground and air.

Disease Monitoring

Disease monitoring for this area consists of taking nasal and oral-pharyngeal samples on harvested animals and then sampling other individuals as needs, concerns, or opportunities dictate.

Management Discussion

The past heavy harvest strategy (pre-1992) was dictated by the rapidly increasing, productive nature of this introduced herd. Subsequent downward population trends, along with plans to continue capturing mountain goats for translocation operations, precipitated a reduction in permits and a restructuring of GMU 67 mountain goat hunts in 1991. The 2 hunts were combined in 2001 and permits were reduced to 3.

The largest number of mountain goats (217) counted in the Mt. Baird area of GMU 67 was observed on the 1996 survey flight. The population objective after the 1996 survey was to reduce this population to a level thought to be more in balance with available habitat. A more aggressive harvest strategy (20 permits) was adopted beginning with the 1997 hunting season and an additional 10 goats were captured and provided for statewide translocation operations. However, the Mt. Baird goat population has declined more precipitously than management actions would dictate, and the lack of goats found in the Mt. Baldy portion of GMU 67 during the 2002 survey was very disappointing. However in 2010, 26 goats were counted in the Mt. Baldy area. The survey of 2012 saw a slight decrease with 20 counted. Survey results for the Mt. Baird area subsequent to 1996 indicated a decrease to 163 in 1998, 90 in 2000, 42 in 2002, and a jump to 108 in 2004. The survey of this area in 2010 resulted in a count of 129 goats. The most recent survey in 2012 counted 93. Reasons for that decline and rebound are still unknown. The hunt was closed during 2003 and 2004 and reopened in 2005. The 2016 survey in Idaho when combined with Wyoming information indicates that this population is still performing very well. Currently there are 5 permits offered for GMU 67.

GMU 61 Mountain Goat Herd

There is a small group of mountain goats that inhabit the Targhee Creek drainage in the northeastern portion of GMU 61. Very little is known about this population, but we get steady reports of these goats and have picked them up on our wolf monitoring cameras in the area. This population has no hunting opportunity in Idaho. Over the years there have been varying reports for the number of goats observed. Damon Keen, a Fish and Game employee has recorded as many as 22 goats in this group. Investing some kind of effort in monitoring this group of goats would be worth the effort. These goats likely spend time in both Idaho and Montana and so coordinating information and data with Montana would likely give us a better idea as to what happens with this population.

Department staff needs to keep these goats in mind when discussing or addressing Forest Management Plans or other activities that might have impacts.

Literature Cited

Hayden, J. A. 1989. Status and population dynamics of mountain goats in the Snake River Range, Idaho. Thesis, University of Montana, Missoula.

Table 1. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Upper Snake Region, 2003-present.

| Year | Tags | Harvest | | | Hunter/ Days | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|------|------|---------|---|----------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | M | F | Success% | | | |
| 2003 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 3.6 | 117 | 6.8 |
| 2004 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 75 | 4.4 | 90 | 8.9 |
| 2005 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 90 | 3.5 | 210 | 4.8 |
| 2006 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 80 | 4.4 | 192 | 5.2 |
| 2007 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 77 | 7.9 | 169 | 5.3 |
| 2008 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 77 | 6.7 | 158 | 5.7 |
| 2009 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 88 | 19.1 | 203 | 4.4 |
| 2010 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 67 | 4.4 | 160 | 5.6 |
| 2011 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 80 | 4.3 | 194 | 5.2 |
| 2012 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 70 | 4.0 | 165 | 6.1 |
| 2013 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 2.7 | 154 | 4.5 |
| 2014 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 86 | 8.2 | 158 | 4.4 |
| 2015 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 3.1 | 170 | 4.1 |
| 2016 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 86 | 3.7 | 178 | 3.9 |
| 2017 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 57 | 8.5 | 172 | 3.9 |

Table 2. Mountain goat surveys, Upper Snake Region, 1982-2012.

| GMU | Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|--|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| 49 | (in Hunt Area 50) | 1992 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 25.0 |
| | | 2000 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 4.5 |
| | | 2004 ^a | 31 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 9.6 |
| | | 2010 ^a | 20 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 40.0 |
| 50 | That portion north and west of the Trail Creek Road and south and west of U.S. Highway 93 (in Hunt Area 36A-1) | 1982 ^a | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 23.1 |
| | | 1985 ^a | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 22.2 |
| | | 1992 ^a | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0.0 |
| | | 1999 ^a | 26 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 15.4 |
| | | 2004 ^c | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 23.1 |
| | That portion south and east of the Trail Creek road and south and west of U.S. Highway 93 (Hunt Area 50) | 1982 ^a | 37 | 8 | 0 | 45 | 21.6 |
| | | 1985 ^a | 66 | 20 | 6 | 92 | 30.3 |
| | | 1992 ^a | 45 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 8.9 |
| | | 1999 ^a | 40 | 10 | 0 | 50 | 25.0 |
| | | 2004 ^a | 31 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 22.6 |
| | | 2010 ^a | 27 | 8 | 0 | 35 | 29.6 |
| | In 2004 we surveyed hunt Area 50 (GMUs 49 and 50) | 2004 ^a | 62 | 10 | 0 | 72 | 16.1 |
| | | 2010 ^a | 47 | 16 | 0 | 63 | 34 |
| | | 2017 ^a | 140 | 32 | 0 | 172 | 22.8 |
| 51 | Lemhi Range South of the Big Timber Creek drainage | 1982 ^a | 75 | 22 | 0 | 97 | 29.3 |
| | | 1986 ^a | 68 | 15 | 17 | 101 | 22.1 |
| | | 1987 ^b | 100 | 30 | 0 | 130 | 30.0 |
| | | 1992 ^a | 54 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 13.0 |
| | | 2000 ^a | 125 | 32 | 0 | 157 | 25.6 |
| | | 2005 ^a | 67 | 14 | 0 | 82 | 20.8 |
| | | 2012 ^a | 48 | 17 | 0 | 65 | 35.4 |
| 59 | Red Conglomerates | 1986 ^a | 32 | 14 | 0 | 46 | 43.8 |
| | | 1994 ^a | 14 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 78.6 |
| | | 2001 ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | 2002 ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | 2006 ^a | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 59A | Italian Peaks | 1982 ^a | 46 | 13 | 0 | 59 | 28.3 |
| | | 1986 ^a | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 30.0 |
| | | 1991 ^b | 61 | 24 | 4 | 89 | 39.3 |
| | | 1994 ^a | 92 | 36 | 0 | 128 | 39.1 |
| | | 2001 ^a | 16 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 25.0 |
| | | 2002 ^a | 18 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 22.2 |
| | | 2006 ^a | 20 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 35.0 |
| 67 | South of Palisades Creek (Mt. Baird area) | 1982 ^a | 33 | 13 | 0 | 46 | 39.4 |
| | | 1985 ^a | 35 | 16 | 0 | 51 | 45.7 |
| | | 1986 ^b | 0 | 0 | 104 | 104 | |
| | | 1986 ^a | 37 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 40.5 |
| | | 1988 ^b | 71 | 21 | 0 | 92 | 29.6 |

Table 2. Continued.

| GMU | Inclusive location | Year | Adults | Kids | Unknown | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----|--|-------------------|--------|------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| | | 1990 ^b | 45 | 18 | 0 | 63 | 40.0 |
| | | 1993 ^b | 104 | 33 | 16 | 153 | 31.7 |
| | | 1994 ^a | 73 | 42 | 0 | 115 | 57.5 |
| | | 1996 ^a | 151 | 66 | 0 | 217 | 43.7 |
| | | 1998 ^a | 118 | 45 | 0 | 163 | 38.1 |
| | | 2000 ^a | 61 | 29 | 0 | 90 | 47.5 |
| | | 2002 ^a | 35 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 20.0 |
| | | 2004 ^a | 84 | 24 | 0 | 108 | 28.5 |
| | | 2005 ^c | 47 | 15 | 0 | 62 | 31.9 |
| | | 2006 ^a | 100 | 19 | 0 | 119 | 19.0 |
| | | 2008 ^a | 71 | 19 | 0 | 90 | 26.8 |
| | | 2010 | 96 | 33 | 0 | 129 | 34.4 |
| | | 2012 ^a | 71 | 22 | 0 | 93 | 31.4 |
| | | 2014 ^a | 90 | 22 | 0 | 112 | 24.4 |
| | | 2016 ^a | 86 | 34 | 0 | 120 | 39.5 |
| | North of Palisades Creek (Mt. Baldy area) | 1982 ^a | 45 | 12 | 0 | 57 | 26.7 |
| | | 1985 ^a | 31 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 25.8 |
| | | 1986 ^b | 0 | 0 | 126 | 126 | |
| | | 1986 ^a | 38 | 19 | 49 | 106 | 50.0 |
| | | 1987 ^b | 72 | 28 | 0 | 100 | 38.9 |
| | | 1988 ^b | 91 | 31 | 0 | 122 | 34.1 |
| | | 1989 ^b | 35 | 12 | 0 | 47 | 34.3 |
| | | 1990 ^b | 73 | 22 | 0 | 95 | 30.1 |
| | | 1994 ^a | 41 | 20 | 0 | 61 | 48.8 |
| | | 1996 ^a | 47 | 17 | 0 | 64 | 36.2 |
| | | 1998 ^a | 26 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 26.9 |
| | | 2000 ^a | 9 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 55.6 |
| | | 2002 ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | 2004 ^a | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 50.0 |
| | | 2005 ^c | 8 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 50.0 |
| | | 2006 ^a | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 23.0 |
| | | 2008 ^a | 25 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 32.0 |
| | | 2010 ^a | 19 | 7 | 0 | 26 | 36.8 |
| | | 2012 ^a | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 5.2 |
| | | 2014 ^a | 19 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 21.0 |
| | | 2016 ^a | 18 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 27.7 |

^a Summer Helicopter count.^b Ground count.^c Winter Helicopter count.

Table 3. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Upper Snake Region, 2005-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter ^c | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-----------------|------|------|---------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| 80 | 2005 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 26 | 7.7 |
| | 2006 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 7.0 | 15 | 13.3 |
| | 2007 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 10.0 | 25 | 8.0 |
| | 2008 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 2.0 | 29 | 6.9 |
| | 2009 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 6.5 | 17 | 11.8 |
| | 2010 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 5.2 | 21 | 9.5 |
| | 2011 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 6.3 | 26 | 7.7 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 27 | 7.4 |
| | 2013 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 23 | 8.7 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 15.0 | 27 | 7.4 |
| | 2015 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 5.0 | 41 | 4.9 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.5 | 29 | 6.9 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 15 | 35 | 5.7 |
| 51 ^a | 2005 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 83 | 3.2 | 115 | 5.2 |
| | 2006 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 83 | 4.8 | 111 | 5.4 |
| | 2007 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 66 | 15.0 | 73 | 4.1 |
| | 2008 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 16.5 | 51 | 5.9 |
| | 2009 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 66 | 17.5 | 60 | 5.0 |
| | 2010 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 1.0 | 46 | 6.5 |
| | 2011 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 6.0 | 39 | 7.7 |
| | 2012 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 7.0 | 31 | 9.7 |
| 67 ^b | 2005 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 69 | 2.9 |
| | 2006 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 4.5 | 46 | 4.3 |
| | 2007 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 3.8 | 71 | 5.6 |
| | 2008 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 2.8 | 78 | 5.1 |
| | 2009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 21.5 | 125 | 3.2 |
| | 2010 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 2.0 | 93 | 4.3 |
| | 2011 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 1.8 | 129 | 3.9 |
| | 2012 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 2.0 | 107 | 4.7 |
| | 2013 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 3.4 | 131 | 3.8 |
| | 2014 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 80 | 6.5 | 131 | 3.8 |
| | 2015 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 2.4 | 129 | 3.9 |
| | 2016 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 80 | 3.8 | 149 | 3.4 |
| | 2017 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 80 | 6.3 | 143 | 3.5 |

^a GMU 51 was closed in 2013

^b GMU 67 was closed in 2003 and 2004

^c Successful hunters only

Table 4. Mountain goat translocation, Upper Snake Region, 1969-1997.

| Year | Capture site-GMU | Release site-GMU | Adults | | Kids | | Total |
|------|------------------|--------------------|--------|---|------|---|-------|
| | | | M | F | M | F | |
| 1969 | Snow Peak-9 | Palisades Creek-67 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Black Mtn-9A | Palisades Creek-67 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1970 | Snow Peak-9 | Black Canyon-67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Snow Peak-9 | Black Canyon-67 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 1989 | Mt Baldy-67 | Williams Creek-28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1990 | Mt Baldy-67 | Panther Creek-28 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 1991 | Mt Baldy-67 | Panther Creek-28 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 1992 | Mt Baldy-67 | Panther Creek-28 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1994 | Mt Baird-67 | Square Top-21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1997 | Mt Baird-67 | Corn Lakes-21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 |

SALMON REGION

GMUs 21, 21A, 27, 28, 29, 30, 30A, 36, 36A, 36B, 37, 37A

Historical Background

As with other herds in Idaho, population trends over the past 20-25 years have varied considerably among individual herds. Some herds, particularly in accessible areas, have been drastically reduced or eliminated. Other herds have declined and then recovered to near or above historical high numbers.

Tag numbers and harvest have become more restricted over the years. Up until around the mid-seventies mountain goat seasons were very liberal and resulted in high harvests. As more information was gained on goat response to harvest and disturbance, harvest management became much more conservative.

Translocations have been attempted with mixed success.

Management Objectives

Follow statewide management direction. Increase population, increase non-consumptive use of mountain goat herds, maintain harvest and recreational opportunity, and translocate mountain goats.

Habitat Management and Monitoring

The USFS administers most mountain goat habitat, but the Bureau of Land Management also manages small amounts of critical winter range. Portions of GMUs 21, 27, 28, and 36 are designated wilderness, including the newly designated Jim McClure-Jerry Peak Wilderness, Hemingway-Boulders Wilderness, and White Clouds Wilderness areas in GMU 36A.

Mountain goat herds along Panther Creek, Beaverhead Mountains, Lemhi Range, Middle Fork Salmon River, and Squaw Creek are largely migratory. Winter ranges are low-elevation, south-facing cliffs where mountain-mahogany is the dominant forage species. These mountain goats generally move to higher-elevation, subalpine habitats in summer. Mountain goats in GMUs 36 and 36A depend less on mountain-mahogany winter ranges. Some do migrate to south-facing cliffs, but most winter on high elevation ridgelines. Some mountain goats along the Idaho border summer in Montana.

During the latter part of the 20th century, elk numbers increased dramatically throughout Salmon Region. Portions of mountain goat winter ranges in GMUs 21, 21A, 27, 29, 30, and 37A now receive substantial use by elk during winter. Capacity of these ranges to support mountain goats may be reduced because of competition with elk.

There is little overlap between elk and mountain goats on critical winter and summer ranges in GMUs 36 and 36A. Habitat conditions are believed to be stable and able to accommodate some increase in mountain goat populations, primarily in GMU 36. However, vegetation changes related to succession and climate change may negatively impact carrying capacity in alpine and

subalpine habitats. Despite the buffering effect of complex terrain, climate model projections for Idaho and the Pacific Northwest predict progressively warmer and wetter conditions, with worsening summer drought. Given projected temperature increases, the region is expected to transition from a snow-dominated system to one more rain-dominated. Changes in the length and depth of snow cover may influence the composition and distribution of alpine flora and fauna.

Winter conditions during the FY18 reporting period were dry and warm. Upper elevation snowpack was near normal and lower snowpack melted quickly in March and April. Spring and early summer moisture was fair to good. Forage conditions going into summer were about average.

Biological Objectives

Population management objectives are based on historic documented population levels consistent with suitable range availability. Management is directed at allowing populations to grow to levels determined by the habitat and range conditions. Population estimates and kid:adult ratios are all monitored to determine if population growth objectives are being reached.

Capture, Radio-mark, and or Telemetry

No mountain goats were captured or fitted with radio collars during the reporting period.

Population Surveys and Monitoring

As part of the Department's mountain goat population monitoring program, population surveys are conducted periodically. While there is no specific protocol for timing of aerial surveys, most PMU's are monitored every 4-6 years and sometimes more often if coordinated with a scheduled deer or elk survey. These surveys generate a minimum count estimate as there is no sightability model. Ground counts may be conducted in the future for some PMU's.

Only one population survey was conducted during the reporting period. Mountain goats in hunt areas 36A-1, 36A-2, 36A-3, and 36A-4 were surveyed in February, 2018. The total estimate of 221 goats was down from the 2012 survey estimate of 280. Kid:Adult ratios also declined (Table 1).

Hunting and Harvest Characteristics

The 1991-1995 Mountain Goat Management Plan set criteria for establishing tag levels: 1) set tag levels so annual harvest does not exceed 5% of adult segment of a herd, except 15% of adults can be harvested in highly productive herds if at least 15% of adult females are producing twins; and 2) authorize hunts only for herds consisting of ≥ 50 individuals.

From 1975-1982, 21 mountain goat hunts were completely closed in response to declining populations. Tags in remaining hunts were reduced to a low of 10 in 1985 (Table 2). From 1986 to 1994, the number of tags increased to 32 as several hunts were reinstated and tag levels were increased in existing hunts. Tags were reduced in 1995 and fluctuated between 21 (1995) to 25 (2002) to 18 in 2003 and 2004 (Tables 2 and 3). Based on increased mountain goat numbers in several hunt areas, tag levels for 2005 were significantly increased. One new hunt area (37A)

was opened for harvest and 2 hunts were reinstated (27-2 and 36-1). For the 2007-2008 regulation cycle, 2 hunt areas were closed (27-3 and 30), primarily because of declining goat numbers and high female harvest rates over time. One new hunt area was opened (27-5) in the upper Middle Fork of Salmon River drainage.

Harvest and hunter information was compiled from Big Game Mortality Reports (BGMRs). Successful hunters must present mountain goat horns to a Department representative within 10 days of harvest and complete a Big Game Mortality Report. Ten controlled hunts with 22 tags were authorized for both 2014 and 2015 in Salmon Region. Hunters could harvest a mountain goat of either sex, except females accompanied by kids were protected.

In 2017, 317 applicants put in for 22 tags with 7% drawing odds. Success was 77%, down considerably from the previous years. Six males and 11 females were harvested, almost the opposite proportion from the previous year. Increases in the proportions of female mountain goats in the harvest throughout the region is alarming and suggests that staff should resume hunter education on goat sex identification for both resident and nonresident tag holders. In addition, careful consideration should be given to current tag levels and if a decrease is warranted.

Capture and Translocation

Ten potential release sites have been approved in Salmon Region (Table 4) with more sites pending. Since 1989, 85 mountain goats have been released within the region (Table 5).

Disease Monitoring

Disease monitoring for this area consists of taking nasal and oral-pharyngeal samples on harvested animals and then sampling other individuals as needs, concerns, or opportunities dictate.

Management Discussion

Most mountain goat populations in the region are declining or stable at best. Tag levels have been adjusted to reflect current populations. In the Beaverhead Mountains, where the mountain goat population has declined by 78% in 20 years, the hunting season in both Idaho and Montana has been removed.

GMUs 36 and 36A are very popular areas for human recreation during both summer and winter. Visible mountain goat herds in these GMUs, therefore, fulfill a valuable aesthetic role in addition to providing harvest. A few recreational activities, such as snowmobiling and heli-skiing, have potential to disturb wintering mountain goats in some areas. Regulation of these activities needs to be coordinated with staff of the Sawtooth National Recreation Area.

Due to an increasing proportion of female harvest across the region, Salmon Region will provide hunter education and goat sex identification training for resident and nonresident tag holders.

Table 1. Mountain goat surveys by hunt area, Salmon Region, 1988-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Unk. | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------|------|------|-------|-----------------|
| 21 | 1996 | Lost Trail - Hughes Cr. | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 25.0 |
| | | Hughes Cr. - Horse Cr. | 26 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 15.4 |
| | 2001 | Hughes Cr. - Horse Cr. | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20.0 |
| | 2005 | Lost Trail - Hughes Cr. | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 28.6 |
| | | Hughes Cr. - Horse Cr. | 28 | 8 | 0 | 36 | 28.6 |
| | 2010 | Lost Trail - Hughes Cr. | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 7.1 |
| | | Hughes Cr. - Horse Cr. | 19 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 26.3 |
| 27 | 1993 ^a | Waterfall Cr. - Goat Cr. | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 6.7 |
| | | Big Cr. - Soldier Cr. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 1999 ^a | Rapid River - Headwaters | 21 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 14.3 |
| | | Waterfall Cr. - Goat Cr. | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 7.1 |
| | | Big Cr. - Soldier Cr. | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20.0 |
| | | Marble Cr. - Indian Cr. | 18 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 11.1 |
| | 2002 ^b | Marble Cr. - Indian Cr. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 16.7 |
| | | Upper Middle Fork | 11 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 18.2 |
| | 2004 | Waterfall Cr. - Goat Cr. | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 13.3 |
| | | Big Cr. - Soldier Cr. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| | 2006 | Rapid River - Headwaters | 35 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 17.1 |
| | | Waterfall Cr. - Goat Cr. | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10.0 |
| | | Big Cr. - Soldier Cr. | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 33.0 |
| | | Marble Cr. - Pistol Cr. | 28 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 17.9 |
| | 2008 ^d | Waterfall Cr - Goat Cr. | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| | | Big Cr. - Soldier Cr. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| | 2011 ^b | Waterfall Cr - Goat Cr. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 100.0 |
| | | Big Cr. - Soldier Cr. | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 100.0 |
| 27-1 | 1988 | E. Fork Mayfield Cr. | 17 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 23.5 |
| | 1994 | E. Fork Mayfield Cr. | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10.0 |
| | 1995 | E. Fork Mayfield Cr. | 16 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 25.0 |
| | 1997 | E. Fork Mayfield Cr. | 17 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 11.8 |
| | 1999 ^a | E. Fork Mayfield Cr. | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 14.3 |
| | 2002 ^a | Mayfield Cr. - Yankee Fork | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 25.0 |
| | 2006 ^b | E. Fork Mayfield Cr. | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20.0 |
| | 2007 | Mayfield Cr. - Yankee Fork | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 25.0 |
| | 2012 | Mayfield Cr. - Yankee Fork | 16 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 31.3 |
| 27-2 | 1988 | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 54 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 20.4 |
| | 1994 | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 36 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 13.9 |
| | 1995 | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 50 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 12.0 |
| | 1997 | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 92 | 10 | 0 | 102 | 10.9 |
| | 1999 ^a | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 37 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 10.8 |
| | 2002 ^a | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 38 | 7 | 0 | 45 | 18.4 |
| | 2007 | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 40 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 12.5 |
| | 2012 | Trail Cr. - China Cr. | 52 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 28.8 |

Table 1. Continued.

| Hunt area | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Unk. | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----------|-------------------|---|--------|------|------|-------|-----------------|
| 27-3 | 1993 ^a | Meyers Cove - Falconberry | 37 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 18.9 |
| | 1999 ^a | Meyers Cove - Falconberry | 37 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 10.8 |
| | 2002 ^a | Meyers Cove - Falconberry | 15 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 20.0 |
| | 2004 | Meyers Cove - Falconberry | 16 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 18.8 |
| | 2006 | Meyers Cove - Falconberry | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 27-4 | 1993 ^a | Yellowjacket Cr. - Waterfall Cr. | 49 | 8 | 0 | 57 | 16.3 |
| | 1999 ^a | Yellowjacket Cr. - Waterfall Cr. | 57 | 6 | 0 | 63 | 10.5 |
| | 2001 | Camas Cr. - Yellowjacket Cr. | 30 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 23.3 |
| | 2002 ^a | Yellowjacket Cr. - Waterfall Cr. | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 150.0 |
| | | Camas Cr. - Yellowjacket Cr. ^b | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| | 2004 | Yellowjacket Cr. - Waterfall Cr. | 36 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 30.6 |
| | 2005 ^b | Camas Cr. - Reservoir Cr. | 24 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 16.7 |
| | 2006 | Yellowjacket Cr. - Waterfall Cr. | 38 | 12 | 0 | 50 | 31.6 |
| | 2008 ^d | Yellowjacket Cr - Waterfall Cr. | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 12.5 |
| | | Camas Cr. - Yellowjacket Cr. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | 2011 ^b | Yellowjacket Cr - Waterfall Cr. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| | | Camas Cr. - Yellowjacket Cr. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 28 | 1996 | Cobalt - Garden Cr. | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 |
| | | Williams Cr. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 100.0 |
| | | Iron Cr. - Moyer Cr. | 11 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 45.5 |
| | 1999 ^a | Upper Camas Cr. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| | | Iron Cr. - Moyer Cr. ^b | 21 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 9.5 |
| | 2001 | Cobalt - Garden Cr. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Iron Cr. - Moyer Cr. | 17 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 17.6 |
| | | Napias Cr. | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | 2002 | Williams Cr. | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 25.0 |
| | 2005 | Iron Cr. - Williams Cr. | 9 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 66.6 |
| | | Panther Cr. | 19 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 21.1 |
| | 2010 ^b | Iron Cr. - Williams Cr. | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10.0 |
| | | Panther Cr. | 14 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 14.3 |
| | | Upper Camas Cr. | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11.1 |
| | 2011 ^b | Napias Cr. | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 200.0 |
| 30/21A | 1988 | Sheep Cr. - Goat Mt. | 116 | 22 | 0 | 138 | 19.0 |
| | 1996 | Sheep Cr. - Goat Mt. | 81 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 4.9 |
| | 1997 | Sheep Cr. - Goat Mt. | 73 | 16 | 0 | 89 | 21.9 |
| | 2002 ^a | Sheep Cr. - Goat Mt. | 53 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 3.8 |
| | 2006 | Sheep Cr. - Goat Mt. | 45 | 7 | 0 | 52 | 15.6 |
| | 2013 | 4 th of July-Goat Mt. | 26 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 30.7 |
| 36 | 1988 | Beaver Cr. - Galena | 32 | 7 | 0 | 39 | 21.9 |
| | 1994 | Beaver Cr. - Galena | 27 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 7.4 |
| | 2003 | Beaver Cr. - Galena | 38 | 4 | 0 | 42 | 10.5 |
| | 2004 | Beaver Cr. - Galena | 35 | 10 | 0 | 45 | 28.6 |
| | 2009 | Beaver Cr. - Galena | 20 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 30.0 |

Table 1. Continued.

| Hunt area | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Unk. | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----------|-------------------|---|--------|------|------|-------|-----------------|
| 36-1 | 1988 | Elk Cr. - Redfish Lake | 27 | 7 | 0 | 34 | 25.9 |
| | 1994 | Elk Cr. - Redfish Lake | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0.0 |
| | 2003 ^c | Elk Cr. - Redfish Lake | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 35.7 |
| | 2004 | Elk Cr. - Redfish Lake | 50 | 13 | 0 | 63 | 26.0 |
| | 2009 | Elk Cr. - Redfish Lake | 26 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 15.4 |
| | 2017 | Crooked Cr. To Galena Summit | 48 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 18.7 |
| 36-2 | 1988 | Redfish Lake - Alturas Cr. | 39 | 7 | 0 | 46 | 17.9 |
| | 1994 | Redfish Lake - Alturas Cr. | 28 | 7 | 0 | 35 | 25.0 |
| | 2003 | Redfish Lake - Alturas Cr. | 44 | 5 | 0 | 49 | 11.4 |
| | 2004 | Redfish Lake - Alturas Cr. | 51 | 11 | 0 | 62 | 21.6 |
| | 2009 | Redfish Lake - Alturas Cr. | 40 | 17 | 0 | 57 | 42.5 |
| 36A-1 | 1988 | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 37 | 13 | 0 | 50 | 35.1 |
| | 1994 | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 38 | 10 | 0 | 48 | 26.3 |
| | 2002 ^a | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 28 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 14.3 |
| | 2004 | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 61 | 16 | 0 | 77 | 29.3 |
| | 2008 | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 67 | 13 | 0 | 80 | 19.4 |
| | 2012 | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 57 | 15 | 1 | 73 | 26.3 |
| | 2018 | E Pass Cr. - W Pass Cr. | 36 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 8.3 |
| 36A-2 | 1988 | Above W Pass Cr. | 33 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 27.3 |
| | 1994 | Above W Pass Cr. | 36 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 19.4 |
| | 2002 ^a | Above W Pass Cr. | 21 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 28.6 |
| | 2004 | Above W Pass Cr. | 33 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 27.3 |
| | 2008 | Above W Pass Cr. | 36 | 9 | 0 | 45 | 25.0 |
| | 2012 | Above W Pass Cr. | 50 | 17 | 0 | 67 | 34.0 |
| | 2018 | Above W Pass Cr. | 41 | 8 | 0 | 50 | 19.5 |
| 36A-3 | 1988 | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 61 | 18 | 0 | 79 | 29.5 |
| | 1994 | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 48 | 8 | 0 | 56 | 16.7 |
| | 2002 ^a | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 22 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 4.5 |
| | 2004 | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 49 | 15 | 0 | 64 | 30.6 |
| | 2008 | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 44 | 8 | 0 | 52 | 18.2 |
| | 2012 | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 46 | 12 | 0 | 58 | 26.1 |
| | 2018 | Warm Springs Cr. - Wickiup Cr. | 46 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 23.9 |
| 36A-4 | 1988 | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 86 | 21 | 0 | 107 | 24.4 |
| | 1994 | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 65 | 6 | 0 | 71 | 9.2 |
| | 2002 ^a | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 33 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 15.2 |
| | 2004 | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 65 | 21 | 0 | 86 | 32.3 |
| | 2008 | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 47 | 11 | 0 | 58 | 23.4 |
| | 2012 | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 70 | 12 | 0 | 82 | 17.1 |
| | 2018 | Germania Cr. - 4 th July Cr. | 54 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 12.9 |
| 36B | 1985 | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 52 | 23 | 0 | 75 | 44.2 |
| | 1986 | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 37 | 16 | 0 | 53 | 43.2 |
| | 1988 | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 73 | 20 | 0 | 93 | 27.4 |
| | 1994 | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 92 | 23 | 2 | 117 | 25.0 |

Table 1. Continued.

| Hunt area | Year | Inclusive location | Adults | Kids | Unk. | Total | Kids/100 adults |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------|------|------|-------|-----------------|
| | 2002 ^a | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 24 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 8.3 |
| | 2006 | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 67 | 20 | 0 | 87 | 29.9 |
| | 2012 | Mill Cr. - Ramey Cr. | 94 | 29 | 1 | 124 | 30.9 |
| 29/37A | 1988 | Above Patterson Cr. | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11.1 |
| | | Mahogany - Patterson | 21 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 14.3 |
| | | Morse Cr. - Falls Cr. | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 16.7 |
| | | McKim Cr. - Tater Cr. | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10.0 |
| | 2003 | Above Patterson Cr. & other | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 |
| | | Mahogany - Patterson | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 15.4 |
| | | Morse Cr. - Falls Cr. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| | | Poison Peak - Tater Cr. | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 23.1 |
| | 2007 | Above Patterson Cr. & other | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 25.0 |
| | | Mahogany - Patterson | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | | Morse Cr. - Falls Cr. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 16.7 |
| | | Poison Peak - Tater Cr. | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20.0 |
| | 2011 ^b | Mahogany - Patterson | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 33.0 |
| | | Morse Cr. - Falls Cr. | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 20.0 |
| | 2013 | Haynes-Poison Cr. | 11 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 45.4 |
| | 2018 ^c | Haynes Crk - Sheep Mtn | 54 | 16 | 0 | 74 | 29.6 |

^a Spring green-up count.^b Incidental to deer or elk survey.^c Incomplete survey covered Redfish Lake to Fishhook Cr.^d Incidental to bighorn sheep survey.^e Summer survey

Table 2. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds, Salmon Region, 2003-present.

| Year | Tags | Harvest | | | Hunter/ Days | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|------|------|---------|----|----------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | M | F | Success% | | | |
| 2003 | 18 | 10 | 4 | 78 | 3.6 | 171 | 10.5 |
| 2004 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 94 | 4.4 | 160 | 11.3 |
| 2005 | 29 | 16 | 7 | 79 | 3.5 | 237 | 12.2 |
| 2006 | 29 | 19 | 4 | 79 | 4.4 | 252 | 11.5 |
| 2007 | 24 | 14 | 5 | 79 | 7.9 | 221 | 10.9 |
| 2008 | 24 | 10 | 8 | 75 | 6.7 | 308 | 7.8 |
| 2009 | 22 | 12 | 8 | 91 | 19.1 | 206 | 10.7 |
| 2010 | 22 | 12 | 6 | 82 | 4.4 | 193 | 11.4 |
| 2011 | 22 | 17 | 4 | 95 | 4.3 | 195 | 11.3 |
| 2012 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 82 | 4.0 | 267 | 8.2 |
| 2013 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 100 | 3.5 | 299 | 7.4 |
| 2014 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 100 | 4.8 | 299 | 7.4 |
| 2015 | 22 | 13 | 6 | 86 | 4.0 | 284 | 7.7 |
| 2016 | 22 | 13 | 8 | 95 | 4.4 | 317 | 6.9 |
| 2017 | 22 | 6 | 11 | 77 | 3.5 | 316 | 6.9 |

^a Two of these tags were deferred until 2001 season because of wildfires.

Table 3. Mountain goat harvest and drawing odds by hunt area, Salmon Region, 2008-present.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter ^d | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-------------------|------|------|---------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| 27-2 ^a | 2008 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1.0 | 23 | 4.3 |
| | 2009 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 10 | 10.0 |
| | 2010 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 10.0 | 11 | 9.1 |
| | 2011 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1.0 | 5 | 20.0 |
| | 2012 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 5.6 |
| | 2013 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 4 | 25.0 |
| | 2014 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 24 | 4.2 |
| | 2015 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 19 | 5.3 |
| | 2016 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 10.0 |
| | 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 8 | 12.5 |
| 27-4 | 2008 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 5.5 | 15 | 13.3 |
| | 2009 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 8.5 | 21 | 9.5 |
| | 2010 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3.5 | 14 | 14.3 |
| | 2011 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 1.0 | 13 | 15.4 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 2.0 | 19 | 10.5 |
| | 2013 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 14 | 14.3 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 5.5 | 26 | 7.7 |
| | 2015 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.5 | 10 | 20.0 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 5.5 | 22 | 9.1 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 4.0 | 28 | 7.1 |
| 27-5 ^c | 2008 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 12.5 |
| | 2009 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2.0 | 15 | 13.3 |
| | 2010 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15.4 |
| | 2011 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 7.0 | 8 | 25.0 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4.5 | 13 | 15.4 |
| | 2013 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.5 | 16 | 12.5 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 6.0 | 26 | 7.7 |
| | 2015 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 6.0 | 27 | 7.4 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 8.5 | 26 | 7.7 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 5.0 | 16 | 8.0 |
| 36-1 ^a | 2008 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 75 | 3.7 | 29 | 13.8 |
| | 2009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 10.3 | 23 | 17.4 |
| | 2010 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 9.3 | 39 | 10.3 |
| | 2011 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 5.8 | 31 | 12.9 |
| | 2012 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 3.5 | 27 | 14.8 |
| | 2013 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 3.0 | 46 | 8.7 |
| | 2014 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 5.3 | 49 | 8.2 |
| | 2015 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 4.8 | 27 | 14.8 |
| | 2016 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 75 | 3.3 | 40 | 10.0 |
| | 2017 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 11.0 | 58 | 6.9 |
| 36A-1 | 2008 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 75 | 2.3 | 78 | 5.1 |
| | 2009 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 2.0 | 33 | 12.1 |

Table 3. Continued.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter ^d | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|-----------|------|------|---------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| | 2010 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 4.0 | 40 | 10.0 |
| | 2011 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 4.8 | 45 | 8.9 |
| | 2012 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 3.3 | 47 | 8.5 |
| | 2013 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 3.3 | 38 | 10.5 |
| | 2014 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 6.0 | 50 | 8.0 |
| | 2015 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 6.0 | 60 | 6.7 |
| | 2016 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 3.8 | 60 | 6.7 |
| | 2017 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 75 | 15.3 | 66 | 6.1 |
| 36A-2 | 2008 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 14 | 14.3 |
| | 2009 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 16 | 6.3 |
| | 2010 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20.0 |
| | 2011 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 10.0 | 12 | 8.3 |
| | 2012 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 10 | 10.0 |
| | 2013 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 14 | 7.1 |
| | 2014 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 15 | 6.7 |
| | 2015 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 14 | 7.1 |
| | 2016 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 28 | 3.6 |
| | 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 15 | 6.7 |
| 36A-3 | 2008 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 9.0 | 14 | 14.3 |
| | 2009 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 1.3 | 38 | 7.9 |
| | 2010 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 2.5 | 21 | 14.3 |
| | 2011 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 24 | 12.5 |
| | 2012 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 4.3 | 50 | 6.0 |
| | 2013 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 4.3 | 29 | 10.3 |
| | 2014 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 4.5 | 34 | 8.8 |
| | 2015 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 4.0 | 40 | 7.5 |
| | 2016 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 4.7 | 41 | 7.3 |
| | 2017 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 7.0 | 37 | 8.1 |
| 36A-4 | 2008 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 1.0 | 36 | 8.3 |
| | 2009 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 19 | 10.5 |
| | 2010 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 3.0 | 12 | 16.7 |
| | 2011 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.5 | 22 | 9.1 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 24 | 8.3 |
| | 2013 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 5.0 | 21 | 9.5 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 25 | 8.0 |
| | 2015 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 31 | 6.5 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 3.5 | 40 | 5.0 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 9.0 | 29 | 6.9 |
| 36B | 2008 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 2.7 | 35 | 8.6 |
| | 2009 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 19 | 10.5 |
| | 2010 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.5 | 25 | 8.0 |
| | 2011 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 20 | 10.0 |
| | 2012 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.5 | 26 | 7.7 |

Table 3. Continued.

| Hunt area | Year | Tags | Harvest | | Hunter success (%) | Days/hunter ^d | First-choice applicants | Drawing odds % |
|------------------|------|------|---------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | M | F | | | | |
| | 2013 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4.0 | 35 | 5.7 |
| | 2014 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3.5 | 36 | 5.6 |
| | 2015 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 34 | 5.9 |
| | 2016 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4.0 | 27 | 7.4 |
| | 2017 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 2.5 | 36 | 5.6 |
| 37A ^a | 2008 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 7.0 | 48 | 2.1 |
| | 2009 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 3.0 | 12 | 8.3 |
| | 2010 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 13 | 7.7 |
| | 2011 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 5.0 | 15 | 6.7 |
| | 2012 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 2.0 | 33 | 3.0 |
| | 2013 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 7.0 | 19 | 5.3 |
| | 2014 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 20 | 5.0 |
| | 2015 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7.7 |
| | 2016 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 5.0 | 23 | 4.3 |
| | 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 1.0 | 23 | 4.3 |

^a Hunt areas 27-2 and 36-1 reinstated and Hunt Area 37A added in 2005.

^b Hunt Areas 27-3 and 30 closed in 2007.

^c Hunt area 27-5 added in 2007.

Table 4. Approved mountain goat release sites, Salmon Region.

| GMU | Location | Release method | No. goats to release | No. released to date |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 21 ^a | Horse Creek | Helicopter | 30 | 20 |
| 21 | Beartrap Springs | Vehicle | 10 | 0 |
| 27 ^a | Goat Creek | Helicopter | 10-20 | 0 |
| 27 ^a | Tumble/Parrot Creek | Helicopter | 10 | 0 |
| 27 ^a | Ship Island Creek | Helicopter | 20-30 | 8 |
| 27 ^a | Jack/Wilson Creek | Helicopter | 10 | 7 |
| 28 | Panther Creek | Vehicle | 10-20 | 23 |
| 28 | Williams Creek | Vehicle | 10 | 2 |
| 29 | Warm Springs Creek | Helicopter | 10-20 | 0 |
| 29 | Haynes Creek | Vehicle | 10-20 | 24 |

^a Designated wilderness, helicopter use authorized by USFS.

Table 5. Mountain goat translocation, Salmon Region, 1982-2007.

| Year | Capture site-GMU | Release site-GMU | Adults | | Kids | | Total |
|------|------------------|-------------------|--------|----|------|---|-------|
| | | | M | F | M | F | |
| 1982 | Olympic Park, WA | Patterson Cr-37A | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 1989 | Snow Peak-9 | Jack Cr-27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Black Mtn-10 | Jack Cr-27 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Mt Baldy-67 | Williams Cr-28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1990 | Mt Baldy-67 | Pine Cr-28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Mt Baldy-67 | Panther Cr-28 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 1991 | Black Mtn-10 | Ship Island Cr-27 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Mt Baldy-67 | Panther Cr-28 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 1992 | Mt Baldy-67 | Panther Cr-28 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1994 | Mt Baird-67 | Square Top Mt-21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1997 | Big Elk Cr-67 | Corn Lake-21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 2007 | Tushar Mts, UT | Haynes Cr-29 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 24 |

Idaho Moose, Bighorn Sheep & Mountain Goat

2017 & 2018 Seasons & Rules



Controlled Hunt Application Period
April 1-30
idfg.idaho.gov



2017 & 2018 MOUNTAIN GOAT HUNTING SEASONS

Mandatory Check and Report Requirements

Any hunter killing a mountain goat must present the horns and have a big game mortality report completed at an Idaho Fish and Game regional office or by a conservation officer within 10 days after the date of the kill. Fish and Game's headquarters office is not equipped to check in mountain goats. In the Boise area, these animals can be checked at the Fish and Game regional office in Nampa (3101 S. Powerline Rd, 208-465-8465) weekdays between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. or by appointment at the Garden City facility, 109 W. 44th St., 208-327-7095.

A hunter may authorize another person to comply with the above report requirements if that person possesses the necessary information to accurately complete the necessary form, see page 32.

Unsuccessful hunters must present or mail their unused tags to a Fish and Game office within 10 days after the close of the season for which the tag was valid. Tags can be mailed to: Idaho Fish and Game, Attn: Wildlife Bureau, PO Box 25, Boise, ID 83707. Cancelled tags will be returned to the hunter upon request. Failure to report may result in future ineligibility in mountain goat drawings.

No person who has harvested a mountain goat in Idaho since 1977 may apply for a mountain goat tag in Idaho.

Drawing Odds: To review drawing odds and more detailed information about number of applicants please visit our website at <http://idfg.idaho.gov/CHodds>.

GOAT

2017 & 2018 Mountain Goat Controlled Hunts Either Sex - 50 Tags Either sex may be taken except a nanny accompanied by kids

| Hunt No. | Controlled Hunt Area | Tags | Season Dates |
|----------|----------------------|------|-----------------|
| 6001 | 1 | 1 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6002 | 7* | 1 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6003 | 10-1 | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6004 | 10-2 | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6005 | 10-3* | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6006 | 18* | 4 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6007 | 22 | 4 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6008 | 27-2* | 1 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6009 | 27-4* | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6010 | 27-5 | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6011 | 36-1* | 4 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6012 | 36A-1* | 4 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |

| Hunt No. | Controlled Hunt Area | Tags | Season Dates |
|----------|----------------------|------|-----------------|
| 6013 | 36A-2* | 1 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6014 | 36A-3* | 3 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6015 | 36A-4* | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6016 | 36B* | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6017 | 37A* | 1 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6018 | 39 | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6019 | 43* | 3 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6020 | 50* | 2 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |
| 6021 | 67 | 5 | Aug 30 - Nov 12 |

* See controlled hunt area descriptions. This hunt includes other units or parts of other units.

The map displays Mountain Goat Hunt Areas in Idaho. The legend indicates that red outlines represent Mountain Goat Hunt Areas, gray shading represents Areas with No Hunts, and dotted patterns represent Wilderness Areas. The map includes a scale bar (0 to 40 miles) and a north arrow. An inset map shows the location of the main map area within the state of Idaho. The main map shows several hunt areas labeled with numbers: 18, 22, 7, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, and 10-4. Key locations include Sandpoint, Hope, Clark Fork, Coeur d'Alene, and Wallace.

GOAT

Submitted by:

Wayne Wakkinen
Regional Wildlife Manager

Clay Hickey
Regional Wildlife Manager

Rick Ward
Regional Wildlife Manager

Regan Berkley
Regional Wildlife Manager


Mike McDonald
Regional Wildlife Manager


Josh Rydalch
Regional Wildlife Manager

Curtis Hendricks
Regional Wildlife Manager

Greg Painter
Regional Wildlife Manager

Approved by: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME


Toby Boudreau, Asst. Chief
Bureau of Wildlife


Scott Reinecker, Chief
Bureau of Wildlife