

**IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME**

**Cal Groen, Director**

**Project W-173-D-25**

**Annual Project Performance Report**



**WILDLIFE HABITAT MANAGEMENT**

July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

Prepared by:

Bryan Helmich ..... Panhandle Region  
Jerome Hansen ..... Clearwater Region  
Jerry Deal ..... Southwest Region  
Mark Fleming..... Magic Valley Region  
Paul Wackenhut ..... Southeast Region  
Terry Thomas ..... Upper Snake Region  
Greg Painter ..... Salmon Region  
David Smith ..... Wildlife Bureau

Compiled and edited by: Tom Hemker, Wildlife Habitat Manager

July 2010  
Boise, Idaho





Findings in this report are preliminary in nature and not for publication without permission of the Director of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game.

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game adheres to all applicable state and federal laws and regulations related to discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, gender, or handicap. If you feel you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game, or if you desire further information, please write to: Idaho Department of Fish and Game, PO Box 25, Boise, ID 83707; or the Office of Human Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240.

This publication will be made available in alternative formats upon request. Please contact the Idaho Department of Fish and Game for assistance.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJECT I – COORDINATION AND ADMINISTRATION .....	1
Statewide.....	1
Panhandle Region .....	3
Clearwater Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Southwest Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Magic Valley Region .....	10
Southeast Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Upper Snake Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Salmon Region.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
PROJECT II – OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	19
Statewide.....	19
Panhandle Region .....	22
Clearwater Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Southwest Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Magic Valley Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Southeast Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Upper Snake Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Salmon Region.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
PROJECT III – HABITAT DEVELOPMENT.....	38
Statewide.....	38
Panhandle Region .....	43
Clearwater Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Southwest Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Magic Valley Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Southeast Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Upper Snake Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Salmon Region.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
PROJECT IV – SURVEY AND INVENTORY .....	63
Statewide.....	63
Panhandle Region .....	66
Clearwater Region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Southwest Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
Magic Valley Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
Southeast Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
Upper Snake Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
Salmon Region..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
PROJECT V – TECHNICAL GUIDANCE .....83  
    Statewide.....83  
    Panhandle Region .....85  
    Clearwater Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
    Southwest Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
    Magic Valley Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
    Southeast Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
    Upper Snake Region ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
    Salmon Region..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**  
PROJECT VI – *ACCESS YES!* ..... 100  
    Statewide.....100

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Statewide

**4. Costs:** Budgeted funds and actual expenditures do not include overhead or leave costs. Actual Pitman Robertson (PR) and State Match expenditures represent payments made through 30 June 2008. Expenditures after 30 June 2008 were not available for this report but will be included in the final financial statement submitted by 29 December 2008.

Source	Budgeted	Actual
Federal: PR	647,546	392,945
State: Match	215,849	130,981
Total Project	863,395	523,926

**5. Objective(s):**

Establish and maintain an efficient and effective workforce organized to fulfill annual project objectives of the Wildlife Habitat Management Program.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Coordination and administration activities are funded by PR and State License funds and other Federal grants. Thirty-eight (38) out of the 46 employees working within the Habitat Program were supported, in part, by PR funding during this grant period.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

One state habitat manager provided habitat program direction, coordinated work plan activities, administered budgets, facilitated recruiting efforts, and provided interagency coordination statewide. Six regional habitat managers coordinated and administered habitat program activities at the regional level and supervised 24 regional wildlife biologists and three utility craftsmen. Regional wildlife biologists administered all habitat program responsibilities within their designated Habitat District and supervised four wildlife technicians assigned to specific Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) or a portion of a Habitat District. Utility craftsmen coordinated habitat maintenance activities region-wide. Biologists and utility craftsmen recruit, train, and supervise temporary employees hired to complete specific assignments (Table 1).

Table 1. FY08 Habitat Personnel.

Personnel	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	HQ	Total	PR-funded
State Habitat Manager	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Regional Habitat Mgr	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	6	6
Staff Biologist	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Reg. Wildlife Biologist	3	3	6	4	2	5	1	0	24	24
Farm Bill Coordinator (Biologist)	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0
Conservation Planner	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wildlife Technician	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	4	4
Utility Craftsman	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	5	3
Main Craftsman	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total	5	9	9	6	4	10	1	2	46	38

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

FY08 Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG or Department) Habitat Program Report

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Tom Hemker  
 Statewide Habitat Manager  
 (208) 334-2920  
[tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Panhandle Region All work was accomplished in Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, and Benewah counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Establish and maintain an efficient and effective workforce organized to fulfill annual project objectives of the Panhandle Region Wildlife Habitat Management Program.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Panhandle Habitat Section is funded by a variety of sources including state-generated license funds, PR funds, Dingle Johnson (DJ) funds, and Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) funds. Funding sources are used in a coordinated fashion to attain similar, supporting objectives.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

The Panhandle Region is divided into 3 habitat districts, each being assigned one regional wildlife habitat biologist. Each regional wildlife habitat biologist is provided a crew of seasonal employees and a series of budgets originating from multiple funding sources to implement the habitat program at the district level. One utility craftsman and associated crew is available to assist with the development, maintenance, and operation of

Department facilities when not working on Fishing and Boating Access sites. One Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager (RWHM) supervises the referenced employees and provides regional oversight of program direction, budgeting, and planning.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Coordination and administration were carried out as anticipated with two exceptions. The South Panhandle Habitat District regional wildlife biologist separated from the Department and the position was vacant for the last two months of the fiscal year. The position remained vacant through June 30<sup>th</sup>. The North Panhandle Habitat District regional wildlife biologist accepted a transfer and the position was vacant for three months.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Bryan Helmich  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 769-1414  
[Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project I - Coordination and Administration
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:**  
Clearwater Region  
All work was complete in Nez Perce, Lewis, Idaho, Clearwater, and Latah Counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Develop and maintain an effective work force to implement habitat program objectives. Work closely with agencies, NGOs, and the public, including private landowners, to maintain and improve habitat on both public and private lands.
  - Provide technical assistance to the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) through the Technical Service Provider (TSP) program and a Contribution Agreement.
  - Provide outdoor recreational opportunities. Share information with internal and external customers.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**  
  
N/A
7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**
  - Implemented the Clearwater Region Wildlife Habitat Program objectives through regional program personnel, including four Habitat Biologists, one Senior Wildlife

Technician, one Utility Craftsman, one Maintenance Craftsman, one Habitat Manager, and several seasonal support personnel. Personnel were involved with habitat management activities on three wildlife management areas comprised of 84,000 deeded acres and 40,000 acres under lease or cooperative management agreement, four Wildlife Habitat Areas encompassing 437 acres, and custodial management of five conservation easements. Coordinated and managed budgets, including both state and federal.

- Provided Technical Service Provider (TSP) support to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).
- Coordinated with Latah Soil and Water Conservation District on private land wildlife habitat projects.
- Participated on the Tri-State, Clearwater, and Salmon River Weed Management Committees.
- Participated in training including, Herbicide Safety and Training, ATV operation and safety, supervision, NRCS Technical Service Provider (TSP), Defensive Driving, Idaho Chapter Wildlife Society, Environmental Summit and North Central Idaho Grazing Conference.
- Coordinated furbearer management in the Clearwater Region.
- Participated on the Department's Lands Committee to evaluate potential habitat acquisitions in Idaho.
- Coordinated with Nez Perce County to construct a new highway access approach to the 2800 acre Redbird Creek property adjacent to the Craig Mountain WMA.
- Completed acquisition of 7,800 acre land trade between the Department and the Idaho Department of Lands on CMWMA.
- Worked with adjacent landowners and members of the public on motorized and administrative access related issues on Craig Mountain WMA.
- Coordinated with Bonneville Power Administration, BLM, TNC, and the Nez Perce Tribe on Craig Mountain WMA management, including the Dworshak Advisory Committee and Technical Committees.
- Coordinated with the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation and the Idaho Fish and Wildlife Foundation and private landowners on Joseph Plains WMA management.
- Wrote newspaper articles and county newsletter articles on wildlife related issues.
- Coordinated with other regional and headquarters personnel and individual outfitters and guides regarding permits outfitting on CMWMA.
- Coordinated with IDL, BLM, USFS, and the public to complete restoration activities associated with the 51,000 acre Chimney Complex fire on the CMWMA.
- Provided presentations on habitat related issues during sportsman's breakfasts or as requested by other groups.
- Coordinated with Avista on forestry and access related issues with transmission lines on CMWMA.
- Conducted training on CRP enhancement and CCRP implementation techniques for 2 biologists and 2 technicians with IDFG in Idaho.

- 8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

- 9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jim White  
Clearwater Regional Habitat Manager  
208-799-5010  
[jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Southwest Region All work was accomplished in Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Idaho, Owyhee, Payette, Valley, and Washington Counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Develop and maintain an effective and efficient work force to implement habitat program objectives; administer project resources; coordinate project activities and share information with internal and external customers; manage the disposal of dead wildlife and control of predators.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Additional license funds were budgeted for this project to provide for operations, maintenance, capital improvements and a portion of personnel costs throughout the Southwest Region, including Fort Boise, Boise River, Cecil D. Andrus, C.J. Strike, Montour and Payette River WMAs. PR project funds provided a portion of personnel funds for administration and implementation of project objectives. The Southwest Region habitat management program also includes the Nampa Habitat District, McCall Subregion, and various habitat areas which are funded entirely through other sources.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Southwest Region Wildlife Habitat Program objectives were met through regional program personnel. Six biologists, 1 wildlife technician (currently vacant), 1 maintenance foreman, and a variable number of seasonal support personnel in 6 habitat districts were supervised by the RWHM. A total of 39.75 months of permanent personnel salaries and 31.38 months of temporary personnel salaries were supported with this project.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Personnel funds expended in the Southwest Region were less than the budgeted grant amount due to statewide legislative mandate to reduce personnel costs. Fewer temporary employees were hired and one permanent wildlife technician position was vacated and not yet filled.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jerry Deal  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 465-8465, ext. 306  
[jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Magic Valley Region All work was accomplished in Blaine, Elmore, Camas, Gooding, Twin Falls, Jerome and Cassia counties in the Magic Valley administrative region.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

- Maintain contact and liaison with federal, state, and local government and private entities within Magic Valley Region regarding fish and wildlife habitat modifications plus population monitoring.
- Work with regional intra-regional staff, reservists, etc., on WMA habitat projects, access sites, isolated tracts, or other public lands projects as needed.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

- N/A -

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Regional habitat staff held coordination meetings with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) regional staff to discuss issues and provide project updates. Regional staff attended County Commissioner meetings, NRCS/Farm Service Agency (FSA) meetings, and sportsmen organizational meetings

and banquets to discuss fish and wildlife habitat modifications and population monitoring in the Magic Valley Region.

- Regional habitat staff worked with intra-regional staff, reservists, and volunteers on numerous projects in the Magic Valley Region. Population monitoring, habitat improvement, and public access projects comprised the majority of work performed.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Mark Fleming  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 324-4359  
[mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

3. **Location of work:** Southeast Region All work was accomplished in Bannock, Bear Lake, Caribou, Franklin, Power, and portions of Bingham, Bonneville and Oneida counties.

4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

5. **Objective(s):**

Establish and maintain an efficient workforce organized to fulfill annual project objectives of the Southeast Region Wildlife Habitat Management Program. In the Southeast Region this includes 3 full time permanent employees, two of whom have salaries partially funded by Federal Aid. Temporary employees included up to 4 seasonal bio-aides during the summer months and one part time year round technician for general habitat management. Habitat biologists directly supervised the temporary employees as well as two year round technicians assigned to the Mule Deer Initiative (MDI) habitat effort in the Southeast Region.

6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Unless otherwise noted, Federal Aid funding was used to cover portions of permanent and temporary salaries as well as operating expenses. Various funding sources were used to accomplish the objectives as listed below.

## **7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Fifty five potential habitat projects were reviewed and approved. This led to 9 completed projects geared toward upland game/waterfowl (Habitat Improvement Program). An additional 54 projects completed or initiated since the last reporting period will benefit upland and big game (Mule Deer Initiative) on private land (mostly Conservation Reserve Program enrollments), Department lands, and other public lands.
- The habitat manager participated in management of the Bonneville Power Administration Soda Hills Wildlife Mitigation Area including 4 meetings and 1 field tour with Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Shoshone Bannock Tribes (SBT) to discuss improved access facilities, vegetative treatments and long range grazing practices.
- The Department was represented as part of the Eastern Idaho Aspen Working Group, and habitat personnel were actively involved with the Science and Technology subcommittee which focused on finalizing risk management and developing monitoring protocol. Regular meetings were attended.
- Regional personnel continued leading roles in the Curlew and East Idaho Uplands greater sage-grouse working groups. Local working group meetings were attended monthly, and 2 Departmental meetings/workshops were also attended. Participation especially centered on development of conservation planning as well as project proposals and grant applications. Another priority was implementation and oversight of the funded lek search project in the East Idaho Uplands.
- Represented the region on the statewide Lands Committee, attending three meetings and 6 conference calls. One acquisition proposal was presented to the Lands Committee (Torgeson) and action had been ongoing with 2 others (Hamilton, Thompson). Several other possibilities were also reviewed and/or discussed with other potential partners such as the BLM, Rocky Mtn. Elk Foundation, and SBT. Two lands disposal items were finalized and a third was explored but complicated by stipulations of the original gifting. Assistance was provided in the facilitation of two conservation easements (Beus, Stollworthy). A long-term lease was finalized adding approximately 700 acres to the Georgetown Summit WMA through 2013.
- Handled several technical assistance requests and delegated others to regional habitat biologists (See below).
- Geographic division of the region into habitat districts was re-visited. Districts were redefined based more on political features than Department game management units.

## **8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Personnel issues required greater than normal amounts of time and ultimately culminated in a transition. This transition required the habitat manager to assume some duties normally covered by one of the habitat biologists for a period of months.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

East Idaho Uplands Sage Grouse Conservation Plan – “Population Overview”. Assisted with development of project proposal for sage grouse telemetry study in the East Idaho Uplands.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Paul Wackenhut  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 232-4703  
[Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Upper Snake Region All work was accomplished in Butte, Bonneville, Bingham, Custer, Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison and Teton counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

- Maintain contact and liaison with federal, state, and local government and private entities within Upper Snake Region regarding fish and wildlife habitat modifications plus population monitoring.
- Work with regional staff, reservists, volunteers etc., on WMA habitat projects, access sites, isolated tracts, or other public lands projects as needed.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

- N/A -

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

The Upper Snake Region Habitat Management Program is administered by 1 Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager (RWHM) and includes all of Idaho Fish and Game's Upper Snake Region. The Region is divided into 5 Habitat Districts. One Regional Habitat Biologist (RHB) is responsible for administering Department-managed properties within each Habitat District as well as other programs within the Habitat District. Two of 5 Habitat Districts have permanent wildlife technicians assigned to Department-managed

properties to assist the RHBs. Seasonal employees are assigned to work under the oversight of the wildlife technicians and RHBs on Department-managed properties. An Access Manager assists all 5 Habitat Districts with construction and maintenance projects on Department-managed properties. Each of the 5 Habitat Districts has a mixture of funding sources including PR funds and state license funds. Habitat Districts receive federal or other mitigation funding as well. Activities are charged to appropriate funding sources.

- Regional habitat staff held coordination meetings with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) regional staff to discuss issues, coordinate activities and provide project updates. Regional habitat staff attended County Commissioner meetings, NRCS/Farm Service Agency (FSA) meetings, project open houses, Smart Growth Solutions and sportsmen organizational meetings and banquets to discuss fish and wildlife habitat modifications and population monitoring in the Upper Snake Region.
- Regional habitat staff worked with other regional staff, reservists, and volunteers on numerous projects in the Upper Snake Region. Population monitoring, habitat improvements, and public access projects comprised the majority of work performed.
- The RWHM and RHBs participated at the state level to select habitat section members.
- The RWHM participated at the state level on the Lands Committee identifying and prioritizing properties for easement or acquisition.
- Training opportunities were provided for employees including attendance at professional society meetings.
- Several habitat biologists are participating on statewide management planning efforts.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Terry Thomas  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 525-7290  
[Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project I – Coordination and Administration

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Salmon Region All work was accomplished in Custer and Lemhi counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Establish and maintain an efficient and effective workforce organized to fulfill annual project objectives of the Wildlife Habitat Management Program.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Salmon and Steelhead, Fishing and Boating Access Programs provide funding to maintain and create new public fishing and boating access sites. These Programs funded much of the Fishing and Boating Access site maintenance in the region.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

The Salmon Region is comprised of 1 habitat district which is assigned 1 budget and employee from the Wildlife Habitat Program. The regional wildlife habitat biologist acts as the regional habitat manager and is supervised by the regional wildlife manager. There is one Utility Craftsman and one wildlife technician who assists with district level activities and supervised by the regional habitat biologist. Two volunteers were used on projects contributing 16 hours of their time.

- 8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

- 9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Annual PR report.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Greg Painter  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Biologist  
(208) 756-2271  
[Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Statewide.

**4. Costs:** Budgeted funds and actual expenditures do not include overhead or leave costs. Actual PR and State Match expenditures represent payments made through 30 June 2008. Expenditures after 30 June 2008 were not available for this report but will be included in the final financial statement submitted by 29 December 2008.

Source	Budgeted	Actual
Federal: PR	464,483	359,228
State: Match	154,827	119,742
Total Project	619,310	478,970

**5. Objective(s):**

Operate and maintain buildings, structures, and infrastructure on Department-owned or managed properties, totaling 370,000 acres, at current levels of use (Game Management Unit map attached).

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Operation and maintenance activities on lands managed by the Department are funded by PR and State License funds and other Federal grants. Federal Assistance funds (including Idaho's funding match) accounted for a part of the combined expenditures associated with this larger undertaking, the Idaho Habitat Program.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Habitat personnel maintained approximately 291 miles of roads, 32 miles of trails, 484 miles of fences, 133 parking areas, 91 buildings, 27 restrooms, many signs, 120 water control structures, 52 miles of dikes, and equipment used for operation and maintenance (Table 2).

Table 2. FY08 Project II O&M Habitat Program Facilities.

Feature	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	Total
Road miles	15	58	150	11	12	38	7	291
Trail miles			19	9		4		32
Maintained fence miles	2	80	202	18	30	148	8	488
Constructed fence miles			1		1	7		9
Parking areas	10	10	34	7	23	25	24	133
Buildings	7	20	34	8	3	14	5	91
Restrooms	6	1	2	5	5		8	27
Water structures	25		54	33	5		3	120
Dikes (miles)	12		13	1	4	22		52
Irrigation pipe (miles)			2	7	1			10
Canal (miles)			7	6		10		23
Center pivot				1				1
Acres administered	32,581	128,000	82,400	8,424	15,500	86,362		353,267
Weed control acres		100,000	2,979	6,212	2,000	4,000		115,191
WMAs	7	4	6	5	5	5		

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- Idaho Power Company now manages project lands they own within the C.J. Strike WMA, which reduces the total area of the Department-managed lands within the WMA to 10,664 acres. This results in no significant change in objectives or approach.
- Due to scheduling by the fencing contractor and the onset of harsh weather, the Portneuf WMA fencing project was not completed until spring 2008. An additional fencing project, partially funded by a Department of Environmental Quality grant, was initiated on the Blackfoot River WMA at the end of the fiscal year. Additional signing was placed on Sterling WMA particularly to accommodate an additional 500 acres turned over by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (BOR).
- The Pahsimeroi River Access Area (PRAA) outhouse (CXT) was delayed to FY09 due to easement issues.
- The Watt's Bridge land transfer from the Idaho Transportation Department to IDFG was delayed to 2009.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

FY08 IDFG Habitat Program Report

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Tom Hemker  
Statewide Habitat Manager  
(208) 334-2920  
[tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Panhandle Region All work was accomplished in Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, and Benewah counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Operate and maintain buildings, structures, and infrastructure on seven WMAs and 23 smaller parcels totaling 32,581 acres.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Panhandle Habitat Section is funded by a variety of sources including state-generated license funds, PR funds, DJ funds, and BPA funds. Funding sources are used in a coordinated fashion to attain similar, supporting objectives.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- A total of 15 miles of roads, two miles of fence, 10 parking areas, seven buildings, six restrooms, 50 signs, 25 water control structures, and 12 dikes were maintained.
- Maintain and replace as necessary approximately 300 Canada goose nesting platforms and 500 wood duck nest boxes on the Boundary Creek, McArthur Lake, Pend Oreille, and Coeur d'Alene River WMA's.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Most operation and maintenance activities were carried out as anticipated.

An intent to utilize federal aid funds to address work at the Farragut WMA Range was identified in the FY09 project statement. Federal aid funds were not applied to the Farragut WMA Range due to uncertainty regarding NEPA requirements.

Additionally, a series of activities scheduled within the South Panhandle Habitat District were not completed because the position was vacant for a portion of FY09. Activities which were not completed include the following:

- Designate a “bluebird trail” on the Coeur d’Alene River WMA Headquarters Parcel. Install 40, paired bluebird houses.
- Sign an interpretive trail adjacent to Bare Marsh on the Coeur d’Alene River WMA.
- Install a large, 4 post bat house at the Coeur d’Alene River WMA office complex and establish interpretive signage.
- Install nine interpretive signs devoted to wetlands and waterfowl natural history along the Trail of the Coeur d’Alene’s.
- Replace exotic plantings associated with the Coeur d’Alene River WMA residence with native vegetation plantings.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Bryan Helmich  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 769-1414  
[Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** June 30, 2009

**3. Location of work:**

Clearwater Region.

All work accomplished in Clearwater, Idaho, Nez Perce, Latah and Lewis counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Provide quality habitat, public hunting and other wildlife-oriented recreation on over 128,000 acres of wildlife management areas (WMA), wildlife habitat areas (WHA) and conservation easements in the Clearwater Region. Operate and maintain buildings, structures and other necessary infrastructure.

<u>Area</u>	<u>Acres</u>
<b>WMA's</b>	
Red River WMA	314
Craig Mountain WMA	126,900 <sup>1</sup>
Joseph Plains	1,300
South Fork Clearwater	330
<b>WHA's</b>	
Aspendale	13
Fir Island	38
Paradise	19

---

<sup>1</sup> Includes 81,400 deeded acres, 40,000 acres cooperatively managed with BLM and IDL, and 5,500 acres cooperatively managed with the Nez Perce Tribe.

## EASEMENTS

Anderson (White Bird Creek)	21
Henderson (Lawyer's Creek)	29
Koehler (Tolo Lake)	16

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Majority of funding for operation and maintenance comes from other sources of funding, including IDFG license dollars, NRCS, Pheasants Forever, and Bonneville Power Administration mitigation trust funds. Outside grants and partnerships were responsible for funding over 75 percent of noxious weed management program.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Maintained 58 miles of road.
- Maintained 80 miles of fence and boundary markers
- Maintained 5,000 tree, shrub, and grass plantings along 1.5 miles of stream on RRWMA.
- Managed noxious weeds on over 100,000 acres. Chemically treated 1,200 acres with a helicopter and 170 acres from the ground on CMWMA. All other areas combined include 23 total acres chemically treated.
- Procured \$54,700 in grant monies from Idaho State Department of Agriculture. Worked in partnership with Tri State Weed Committee to treat 2200 acres of noxious weeds on CMWMA. Mapped 45,000 acres which are being treated under an “early detection, rapid response” management focus.
- Maintained facilities at Red River and Billy Creek, Wapshilla Creek, Benton Meadows on CMWMA, and 6 backcountry cabins.
- Maintained 11 miles of roads specifically for mobility impaired sportsmen on CMWMA.
- Maintained seasonal motorized route on Redbird segment of CMWMA.
- Installed new signage for the public at the Redbird segment, Madden Creek, Geiser segment, and at Kruze Meadows on CMWMA along with new signage at Joseph Plains WMA.
- Completed 75% of a 3.2 mbf timber sale for wildlife habitat restoration on CMWMA.
- Completed development of a 0.5 acre parcel for an approach and public parking at Redbird Segment of CMWMA.
- Coordinated with Engineering Bureau to contract and build two separate storage sheds on CMWMA with fire insurance funds.
- Completed building of two back country cabins for public use on CMWMA.

8. **Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

9. **List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jim White  
Clearwater Regional Habitat Manager  
208-799-5010  
[jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Southwest Region All work was accomplished in Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Idaho, Owyhee, Payette, Valley, and Washington Counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**

Operate and maintain buildings, structures, and other infrastructure on the following regional WMAs, WHAs, and conservation easements, totaling 82,400 acres, to provide wildlife habitat, public hunting, and other wildlife-oriented recreation:

<u>Area</u>		<u>Acres</u>
	<b>WMAs</b>	
Payette River		920
Montour		1,110
Fort Boise		1,603
C.J. Strike		10,670
Boise River		33,966
Cecil D. Andrus		23,608
	<b>WHAs</b>	
Mann Creek		325
Roswell Marsh		676
Ted Trueblood		292
	<b>EASEMENTS</b>	
Rocking M		16,506

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

- N/A -

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Maintained 150 miles of roads and trails with associated gates, culverts, bridges, and signs.
- Maintained 202 miles of fences and boundary markers.
- Maintained 36 buildings, restrooms, and other structures.
- Maintained 34 gravel parking areas and associated signs.
- Maintained 54 water control structures.
- Maintained 13 miles of dikes.
- Treated 5,191 acres of weeds on lands owned or managed by the Department.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jerry Deal  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 465-8465, ext. 306  
[jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Magic Valley Region All work was accomplished in Blaine, Elmore, Camas, Gooding, Twin Falls, Jerome and Cassia counties in the Magic Valley

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Operate and maintain facilities, buildings, fences, gates, irrigation water delivery systems, and infrastructure on 6 WMAs in the Magic Valley Region to provide wildlife habitat, public hunting, wildlife viewing, wildlife conservation education, and other wildlife-related recreational opportunities on 9,649 acres of Department managed lands. Magic Valley Region PR Funded WMAs include:

- Hagerman
- Billingsley Creek
- Centennial Marsh
- Carey Lake
- Big Cottonwood
- Niagara Springs

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

- N/A -

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Maintained 8.5 miles of hiking and horseback riding trails for public access to Department-managed lands; 17.5 miles of fence; 11 miles of unimproved roads; 6.5 acres of vehicle parking area; 5 restrooms; 7 miles of gated, wheel, and hand-line irrigation pipe; maintained and repaired 8 project buildings and equipment; operated and maintained 33 water structures, 6 pumps, and 1 center pivot. Maintained 7 project buildings and equipment.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Mark Fleming  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 324-4359  
[mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Southeast Region All work was accomplished in Bannock, Bear Lake, Caribou, Franklin, Power, and portions of Bingham, Bonneville and Oneida counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Operate and maintain buildings, structures, and infrastructure on 5 Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs), one Nature Area and 5 conservation easement areas. Of the 16,000 acres involved, the majority is managed as part of one of the WMAs. The Department is also directly responsible for assisting with the administration of the Soda Hills Wildlife Mitigation Area and private property enrolled in the Habitat Improvement Program (HIP) and the MDI.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Unless otherwise noted, Federal Aid funding was used to cover portions of permanent and temporary salaries as well as operating expenses. Various funding sources were used to accomplish the objectives as listed below. Some assistance is also provided in the maintenance of access sites for boating and fishing as well as administrative sites, all of which are funded primarily through other sources.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Approximately 12 miles of roads or trails and 23 parking areas were maintained through mowing and spraying to provide good quality and controlled access. Information centers and sign-in stations were maintained at all parking areas. Signs, gates, and stiles to control access on the Soda Springs Mitigation Area were maintained in cooperation with BLM and SBT.
- Two water control structures were replaced on Sterling WMA.
- All other structures received maintenance as needed. Non functional furnishings and appliances were removed from the cabin at Blackfoot River WMA. Additional work to the interior of the cabin was also completed.
- Directional and informational signing pertaining to all sites was evaluated and maintained seasonally.
- Seven road vehicles, two ATVs, and two pieces of farm machinery were maintained (generally through other funding).
- Additional fencing was installed on the southeast corner of Portneuf WMA to address trespass grazing. Fence replacement(.75 mi) along the northeast boundary of the Blackfoot River WMA was completed (partially funded by Department of Environmental Quality), and replacement of fence along the northwest boundary(.5 mi) was initiated. Fencing on one conservation easement property was also upgraded to prevent trespass grazing.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Due to time constraints and other considerations, additional traffic control structures at Sterling WMA were not installed.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Paul Wackenhut  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 232-4703  
[Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Upper Snake Region All work was accomplished in Butte, Bonneville, Bingham, Custer, Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison and Teton counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Operate and maintain buildings, informational kiosks, dikes, water control structures, restrooms, parking lots, roads and trails, fences, equipment, vehicles, irrigation systems, and miscellaneous user facilities on Department-managed properties in order to provide wildlife habitat, public hunting, and other wildlife-oriented recreation.
  - Maintain a safe workplace for Department employees and safe facilities for the public.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Upper Snake Habitat Management Program consists of 5 Habitat Districts, which contain the following managed properties. These management areas are a mixture of land ownership including IDFG, IDL, BLM, and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (BOR).

<u>Area</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>County</u>
<b>Cartier Habitat District</b>		
Cartier Slough WMA	1,028	Madison
Gem State WHA	70	Jefferson

Twin Bridges (Allen) WMU	81	Jefferson
Access Areas	600	Madison and Teton
Beaver Dick WMU	310	Jefferson
<b>Market Lake Habitat District</b>		
Market Lake WMA	5,071	Jefferson
Deer Parks WMU	3,173	Jefferson
<b>Mud Lake Habitat District</b>		
Mud Lake WMA	8,853	Jefferson
Chilly Slough WCA	1,800	Custer
<b>Sand Creek Habitat District</b>		
Sand Creek WMA	32,215	Fremont
<b>Tex Creek Habitat District</b>		
Tex Creek WMA	33,137	Bonneville
<b>Easements</b>		
Winterfeld	422	Bonneville
Birch Creek Ranches	300	Clark
<b>Total Acres administered:</b> 86,362		

Cartier Slough WMA and a portion of TCWMA are BOR mitigation projects for the Ririe Dam and Teton Dam projects. Gem State WHA is a City of Idaho Falls mitigation project. Deer Parks WMU and Twin Bridges WMU are BPA mitigation projects. Department owns lands at Market Lake WMA, Mud Lake WMA, Chilly Slough WCA, Sand Creek WMA (SCWMA), and TCWMA. Other properties are managed by the Department via agreements and management plans.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Implemented the Upper Snake Region Habitat Management Program objectives as defined in existing long-range Department plans through Regional personnel.
- The Access Manager engineered and helped construct and maintain projects on all management areas as needed. The Access Manager is designated as the Habitat section's safety officer and, as such, periodically inspected equipment and provided safety training.
- Maintained 14 buildings, maintained 148 miles of fence, constructed 7 miles of fence (again), maintained 38 miles of roads, 4 miles of trails. Maintained 22 miles of dikes, over 25 parking areas, 239 goose nest boxes, 83 wood duck boxes, 35 ponds and at least 20 kiosks. Hundreds of water control structures were maintained. Six irrigation wells, pumps and associated sprinkler lines were maintained.

- One well at Mud Lake has failed and will need to be replaced. A geo-hydrological study was conducted to determine the best place for a new well.
- About 10 miles of irrigation ditches were maintained and repaired including removal of a lava dome high spot in the I-15 ditch at Market Lake.
- Volunteers and Youth Conservation Corps members were utilized to accomplish projects when feasible.
- Completed chemical mixing shed at Market Lake WMA.
- Removed woody vegetation off pond dikes at Sand Creek to comply with dam safety requirements.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Terry Thomas  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 525-7290  
[Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project II – Operation and Maintenance

2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

3. **Location of work:** Salmon Region All work was accomplished in Custer and Lemhi counties.

4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

5. **Objective(s):**

- Supervise the operation and maintenance of buildings, structures, and infrastructure on seven backcountry Wildlife Habitat Areas (WHA) and 28 access areas at current levels of use. These properties provide wildlife and fisheries habitat, fishing, hunting, and boating access and other wildlife-oriented recreation.
- Two outhouses are scheduled for placement: one at the Watt's Bridge Access site and one at the Pahsimeroi River Access Area. Both sites are on disturbed/filled areas previously occupied by torn-down buildings.

6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Salmon and Steelhead, Fishing and Boating Access Programs provide funding to maintain and create new public fishing and boating access sites. These Programs funded much of the Fishing and Boating Access site maintenance in the region.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Supervised and maintained the region's seven backcountry WHA's, seven miles of roads and trails, four miles of fence, 28 parking areas, five buildings, nine restrooms, signs, three water control structures and equipment used for operation and maintenance.
- Both CXT installations were re-scheduled for 2010 due to delays in land acquisition negotiations. In addition, one CXT was installed at the North Fork Access where that agreement with the landowner was completed early this year.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- The PRAA outhouse (CXT) was delayed to FY10 due to easement issues.
- The Watt's Bridge land transfer from ITD to IDFG was delayed to fall, 2009.
- The North Fork CXT was installed since the site agreement was signed this year.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Annual PR report.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Greg Painter  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Biologist  
(208) 756-2271  
[greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Statewide

**4. Costs:** Budgeted funds and actual expenditures do not include overhead or leave costs. Actual PR and State Match expenditures represent payments made through 30 June 2008. Expenditures after 30 June 2008 were not available for this report but will be included in the final financial statement submitted by 29 December 2008.

Source	Budgeted	Actual
Federal: PR	430,500	340,598
State: Match	143,500	113,533
Total Project	574,000	454,131

**5. Objective(s):**

- Improve key wildlife habitats such as big game winter range, waterfowl and upland game production areas, riparian areas, and native plant communities to meet existing wildlife habitat needs on lands managed by the Department.
- Create additional habitat in areas lacking adequate habitat to support a desired population level.
- Work with private landowners to enhance wildlife habitat on private property.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Habitat development and enhancement activities are funded by PR and State License funds and other Federal grants. Federal Aid funds were used only for personnel and administrative costs associated with habitat development projects on private land. Farm

Bill conservation programs, federal and state conservation programs, Landowner Incentive Program (LIP), and other competitive conservation grants typically fund projects on private land.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Objective 1. Improve key wildlife habitats such as big game winter range, waterfowl and upland game production areas, riparian areas, and native plant communities to meet existing wildlife habitat needs on lands managed by the Department (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 3. Fiscal Year 2008 ongoing habitat maintenance.

Feature	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	Total
Winter range (acres)	15,090		64,900		9,000	13,000	180	102,170
Wetlands (acres)	19,090		1,360	190	1,000	2,410		24,050
Forest/timber mgmt (acres)		1,600			700			2,300
Shelterbelts (miles)				<sup>a</sup> 2,506	5	5		10
Other (acres)	1,023				4,300			5,323
Artificial nests (#)			1,375	350	350	303		2,378
Food plots (acres)	5		197		250	1,134		1,586
Nesting cover (acres)	33		1,920	670	600	7,000		10,223
Tree/shrub planting (acres)		15	645	50	400	50,000	5	51,115
Water level mgmt (acres)			520	4,225	800	70		5,615
Grazing lands (acres)			56,000		110			56,110
Riparian (miles)		2	69		8	9		88
Noxious weed control (acres)		1,350	2,979	6,212	2,000	7,000	55	19,596
Guzzlers (#)		10				14		24

<sup>a</sup> Linear feet.

Habitat personnel created new or additional habitat at the following areas:

- Habitat personnel manipulated water levels on approximately 5,600 acres of wetlands to provide seasonal habitats for various wildlife; treated over 19,500 acres of noxious weeds through integrated noxious weed control (PR funded 1,650 acres of biological control and 5,500 acres of mechanical); produced food and nesting cover for waterfowl and upland game birds on over 10,000 acres; restored over 100,000 acres of winter range by planting or seeding grasses, shrubs, and forbs; planted an additional 51,000 shrubs but acreage was not recorded; and managed livestock grazing on over 56,000 acres of big game winter range. This partial list is representative of the habitat activities conducted during this grant period.

Table 4. New habitat development.

Feature	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	Total
Winter range (acres)			600		500		250	1,350
Wetlands (acres)					5			5
Forest/timber mgmt (acres)		412			2,500			2,912
Shelterbelts (miles)					1			1
Other (acres)	20							20
Artificial nests (#)	50							50
Food plots (acres)	20				2,000			2,020
Nesting cover (acres)		4,035			600			4,635
Tree/shrub planting (acres)	5	63			6,500	20		6,588
Grazing lands (acres)						0		0
Riparian (miles)		2			2			4
Guzzlers (#)						0		0

Objective 2. Create additional habitat in areas lacking adequate habitat to support a desired population level.

- Coeur d’Alene River WMA, Panhandle Region:** The project established a 10-acre food plot for wild turkeys within a cultivated area on the Coeur d’Alene River WMA. Approximately three acres was fenced to exclude deer and elk. The interior of the fenced area was plowed, disced, and harrowed to remove competing vegetation. Mast-producing trees and shrubs were planted on a grid. The planting was cultivated, fertilized, and watered and this will continue for 3-4 years to ensure successful further establishment. In addition to the fence area, six acres was planted to winter wheat. The project was coordinated with and partially funded by the National Wild Turkey Federation
- Hagerman WMA, Magic Valley:** Developed 50 acres of upland bird and waterfowl nesting habitat on the Hagerman WMA. Targeted acres were treated with Plateau herbicide to remove/reduce weeds prior to planting. Seed mix was a mix of native grasses and forbs. Field preparation and planting were done using conventional farming practices. Habitat improvement activities did not increase the boundaries of the field or the depth of previous disturbance. PR funds were used for personnel only.

Objective 3. Work with private landowners to enhance wildlife habitat on private property.

Panhandle Region

- Development projects completed on private lands in FY08 involved 25 habitat agreements.
- Progress was made towards a >500-acre wetland restoration associated with the Pack River Flats. A restoration plan was generated, partners were solicited, and \$500,000 worth of grants funds was secured to complete the project in FY09.

#### Clearwater Region

- Planted over 50,000 shrubs on 20 sites covering over 63 acres in the Clearwater Region.
- Enhanced several thousand acres of existing CRP land with more wildlife-friendly plantings.
- Secured \$150,000 in funding for the restoration of Corral Creek riparian and upland areas and planted over 13,000 shrubs and herbaceous plantings.
- Worked with landowner to begin work on two off-channel livestock watering troughs associated with the FMHA Henderson Riparian Easement Area. Goal was better spacing and management of livestock on adjoining lands. Work was coordinated with NRCS.

#### Southwest Region

- Developed six waterfowl and 42 upland projects to develop wildlife habitat on private land, including cost-share agreements using Department HIP funds.
- Restored over 600 acres of mule deer winter range on private land affected by wildfires by aerially seeding grasses and forbs.

#### Magic Valley Region

- Developed 44 HIP projects on private lands in the Magic Valley Region.

#### Southeast Region

- Approximately 200 feet of riparian habitat was planted on a section of Angus Creek (a tributary of the Blackfoot River) in spring 2008.
- 900 acres of CRP parcels involving 22 private landowners were improved with forb plantings to provide forage for big game and brooding cover for upland game. Woody cover plantings were established at 21 separate locations on private property to improve habitat for big game, upland game, and nongame. This included establishment of approximately 110,000 seedlings of highly-palatable forage species (bitterbrush, four-wing saltbush, Hobble Creek sage, and mountain mahogany) planted on CRP and native range sites to approximately 500 mountain acres.

#### Upper Snake Region

- Planted 80,900 upland shrubs on public and private property.
- Helped design habitat improvements including 34 acres of corn food plots on private and public land and provided cost-sharing where appropriate.
- Monitored existing habitat improvement agreements, conservation easements, and leases.

#### Salmon Region

- 1,060 trees and shrubs were planted on three private properties to improve upland and waterfowl habitat along Tower, 12-mile, and Challis creeks, and one shallow water site was sprayed to enhance waterfowl habitat along lower Challis Creek.

### **8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

In the Southeast Region, the planned project at Blackfoot River WMA was delayed until spring and summer 2009 due to scheduling conflicts, and riparian projects fell below the number planned due to insufficient potential cooperators. However with MDI and HIP

projects combined, both the number of projects and the targeted acres were exceeded. Due to time constraints, no fertilization projects or aspen treatments were completed by Department personnel during the reporting period.

In the Salmon Region, the riparian plantings and upland seedings were not completed due to the lessee not moving from the leased property until 2009.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

FY08 IDFG Habitat Program Report  
FY08 HIP Annual Report  
2008 Noxious Weed Report  
Pheasant and Quail Initiative Annual Progress Report-2007

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Tom Hemker  
Statewide Habitat Manager  
(208) 334-2920  
[tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Panhandle Region All work was accomplished in Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, and Benewah counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

- Improve key wildlife habitat associated with wetlands and big game winter range located on Department-managed lands.
- Improve upland game bird and featured nongame species habitat located on Department-managed lands as peripheral opportunities allow.
- Improve wildlife habitat on private property.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Panhandle Habitat Section is funded by a variety of sources including state-generated license funds, PR funds, DJ funds, and BPA funds. Funding sources are used in a coordinated fashion to attain similar, supporting objectives.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Management activities on Department-administered lands located within the Panhandle included a variety of activities. The entire 32,581 acres was evaluated for noxious weed infestations, and control actions were implemented as appropriate. Approximately 15,090 acres of wetlands were managed to maintain important hydrologic functions,

maximize waterfowl production, maintain nongame species habitat, and provide waterfowl hunting opportunities. Approximately 16,468 acres of big game range were managed to promote critical habitat features including winter range and provide big game hunting opportunities. On the remaining 1,023 acres, habitat improvement activities were completed in a fashion peripheral to facility development and operation.

1. Habitat development projects completed on Department-managed lands in FY09 include the following:
  - A total of seven acres were planted to native tree and shrub species on the Smith Creek Segment of the Boundary Creek WMA in the fall of 2009.
  - Approximately 20 acres of grain food plots were planted on the Boundary Creek, Pend Oreille, and Coeur d'Alene River WMAs to provide feed for upland game birds and migrating waterfowl.
  - Mast producing trees were established within a four-acre fenced enclosure located on the Coeur d'Alene River WMA.
  - A moist soil management strategy was implemented on the McArthur Lake WMA, Boundary Creek WMA, and Albeni Cove Segment of the Pend Oreille WMA (~3,000 acres total) to enhance wetland productivity and maintain hemi-marsh conditions.
  - Approximately 40 acres of grass stands were burned on the Boundary Creek WMA and Pend Oreille WMA during spring 2009 to maintain plant vigor.
  - A 500-acre wetland restoration project associated with the Pack River Flats was completed. Federal aid addressed a small portion of the overall project costs.
  - A 100-acre old growth ponderosa pine stand located on the Sunnyside Segment of the Pend Oreille WMA was restored.
  - A comprehensive noxious weed control/eradication effort was maintained on all of the Panhandle WMAs.
  
2. Additional habitat development projects were completed on privately-owned property. Development projects completed on private lands in FY09 involved the following:
  - Wood duck boxes and goose platforms were installed.
  - Shallow water wetland areas were constructed.
  - Grass plantings were completed.
  - Native trees and shrubs were planted.
  - Emergent wetland vegetation was planted.
  - Grain food plots were established.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Most habitat issues were addressed as anticipated. The following activities were not completed because the associated positions were vacant for a portion of FY09:

- Work associated with a 150-acre ponderosa pine restoration project in the South Panhandle Habitat District did not occur. In addition to the responsible position being vacant for a portion of the fiscal year, log prices declined to the point it was not feasible to complete the required timber harvest.
- Goose nest platforms were not repaired and replaced on the McArthur Lake WMA.
- Fewer than 50 bluebird nest boxes were installed on the Coeur d'Alene River WMA.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Bryan Helmich  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 769-1414  
[Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:**  
Clearwater Region.  
Idaho, Nez Perce, Latah, Lewis, and Clearwater counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  1. Improve habitat quality and quantity on big game winter and summer range, waterfowl and upland bird production areas, riparian areas, and native plant communities, on lands managed by the Department in the Clearwater Region.
  2. Assist private landowners to enhance wildlife habitat on their lands.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

By combining IDFG HIP funds, funds from outside grants, Landowner Incentive Program (LIP) funds, and NRCS farm bill funds, Clearwater staff are able to maximize enhancement of private lands for upland birds and other wildlife species. Bonneville Power Administration funds, Trust funds, and Department license funds are utilized to accomplish the majority of habitat developments on Department lands.
7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**
  1. **Improved wildlife habitat on Department lands**

- Continued maintenance of shrub planting and grazing enclosure project at Red River WMA with Bonneville Power Administration funds. Goal is to establish riparian community where one historically occurred. Planted an additional 1,300 shrubs and over 1.5 mile of stream, covering 15 acres.
- Completed salvage activities on 400 acres of timber damaged by the 51,000 Chimney Complex fire.
- Completed restoration of over 200 acres of grasslands impacted by Chimney Complex fire. Planted over 9,300 shrubs in the vicinity of Billy Creek Ranch.

**2. Improve wildlife habitat on private lands**

- Planted over 14,000 shrubs on 15 sites covering over 20 acres in the Clearwater Region.
- Coordinated with Latah Soil and Water Conservation District to plant 20,000 trees and shrubs with 20 landowners.
- Enhanced several hundred acres of existing CRP land with more wildlife friendly plantings.
- Maintained restoration of Corral Creek riparian and upland areas and maintained over 4,000 shrubs and herbaceous plantings.
- Completed work with landowner to begin work on two off-channel livestock watering troughs associated with the FMHA Henderson Riparian Easement Area. Goal was better spacing and management of livestock on adjoining lands. Work was coordinated with NRCS.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jim White  
 Clearwater Regional Habitat Manager  
 208-799-5010  
[jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Southwest Region All work was accomplished in Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Idaho, Owyhee, Payette, Valley, and Washington counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

- Improve the quality of key wildlife habitats such as big game winter range, waterfowl and upland bird production areas, riparian areas, and native plant communities to provide for existing wildlife habitat needs on lands managed by the Department in the Southwest Region.
- Develop additional quantity of wildlife habitat to support increased production on Department-managed lands in the Southwest Region.
- Assist private landowners to enhance wildlife habitat on their lands.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

N/A

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Improved wildlife habitat quality on Department lands by the following:

- Management of livestock grazing on 56,000 acres of big game winter range to improve rangeland plant communities.

- Controlled noxious weeds and invasive plant species on 5,191 acres of Department-managed wildlife habitat.
- Treated 200 acres of common reed (*phragmites spp.*) on Fort Boise WMA to restore native wetland vegetation
- Managed water levels on 1,360 acres of ponds and wetlands to improve waterfowl nesting and brood-rearing habitat.
- Maintained or installed 1,375 waterfowl nest structures.
- Planted and maintained 197 acres of food plots.
- Restored 200 acres of fire affected winter range by planting or seeding grasses, shrubs, and forbs
- Initiated well development to restore water to Roswell Marsh WHA while managing vegetation on the area to optimize habitat values

Developed wildlife habitat on private lands by the following:

- Coordinated with federal, state, and nongovernmental agencies to develop wildlife habitat on private lands within respective habitat districts through the Farm Bill, competitive grants, and other conservation programs as opportunities became available and varying degrees of partnership occurred throughout the region.
- Developed 6 waterfowl and 30 upland projects to develop wildlife habitat on private land, including cost-share agreements using Department HIP funds.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jerry Deal  
 Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
 (208) 465-8465, ext. 306  
[jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Magic Valley Region All work was accomplished in Blaine, Elmore, Camas, Gooding, Twin Falls, Jerome and Cassia counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  1. Maintain wildlife habitat in the Magic Valley Region.
    - Provide wintering habitat for waterfowl and upland birds.
    - Provide nesting habitat for waterfowl and upland birds.
    - Provide brood-rearing habitat for waterfowl.
    - Provide feeding and foraging areas for waterfowl, upland birds, and shorebirds.
  2. Develop wildlife habitat in the Magic Valley Region.
    - Provide additional waterfowl and upland bird nesting and security cover areas.
    - Increase availability and diversity of winter habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and big game.
    - Provide additional foraging habitat.
  3. Develop and enhance wildlife habitat on privately-owned lands in the Magic Valley Region.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

- N/A -

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Managed water levels throughout winter on 25 acres of water impoundment areas at Hagerman WMA.
- Maintained 190 acres of wetlands vegetation on Hagerman and Billingsley Creek WMAs to provide thermal and escape cover for wintering upland birds.
- Maintained 6 miles of shelterbelts on Carey Lake and Hagerman WMAs to provide cover and food.
- Irrigated 690 acres of herbaceous cover for nesting habitat on Centennial Marsh, Hagerman, Big Cottonwood and Carey Lake WMAs.
- Maintained 350 artificial nesting platforms for waterfowl on Centennial Marsh, Carey Lake, and Hagerman WMAs.
- Controlled weeds on 6,212 acres on PR funded WMAs.
- Utilized biological weed control of purple loosestrife on 200 acres of wetlands at the Hagerman and Billingsley Creek WMAs.
- Maintained water levels for 4,200 acres of wetlands on Billingsley Creek, Hagerman, Carey Lake, and Centennial Marsh WMAs.
- Maintained grazing pastures for waterfowl.
- Maintained 30 acres of food producing trees and shrubs on Carey Lake and Hagerman WMAs.
- Developed 37 HIP projects on private lands in the Magic Valley Region. Maintained 2,500 linear feet of shelterbelts at Carey Lake WMA.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Annual Project Performance Report, Grant Number W-173-R, Segment 25.

Times-News, Twin Falls (Article on Shrub Plantings on Mule Deer Winter Range)

KMVT Southern Idaho, Twin Falls (TV segments on shrub plantings and rehabilitation projects on Murphy Complex Fires)

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Mark Fleming  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 324-4359  
[mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development

2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

3. **Location of work:** Southeast Region All work was accomplished in Bannock, Bear Lake, Caribou, Franklin, Power, and portions of Bingham, Bonneville and Oneida counties.

4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

5. **Objective(s):**

Improve key wildlife habitat on 4 specific big game winter ranges, 1 waterfowl and upland game production area, and other riparian areas and native plant communities managed by the Department. Create additional habitat in areas lacking components to support desired population levels. Work with private landowners and other public land managers to enhance wildlife habitat with particular emphasis on mule deer, sage-grouse, waterfowl and other upland game populations.

6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Unless otherwise noted, Federal Aid funding was used to cover portions of permanent and temporary salaries as well as operating expenses.

7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Water levels were monitored and controlled on 1 waterfowl production area to maximize nesting and brooding habitat and to prevent disease outbreak. Particular effort was made to regulate levels in one pond and improve monitoring of other water bodies at Sterling WMA. This entailed installation of replacement control structures

- as noted above. During this reporting period staff spent time addressing concerns with mosquito populations and the threat of West Nile Virus. Close communication was maintained with Bingham County Emergency Services and the County Commission. We assisted with mosquito abatement measures and helped sponsor an open house to convey information about the threat and measures being taken.
- During the first portion of the reporting period, personnel consulted with private landowners and other land managers to develop wetland projects through HIP cooperative agreements. The program has been temporarily curtailed. Nine projects were approved and supported through funding or materials provided.
  - Artificial nesting structures (goose-300, mallard-25, wood duck-25) at Sterling WMA, Blackfoot River WMA, and throughout the region were maintained or replacements installed as necessary.
  - Approximately 600 acres were treated to maintain high-quality nesting and brooding areas. Methods included grazing, mowing, disking, and some cutting and chemical treatment of Russian olives. All other Department acreages were protected from grazing, early mowing, and wildfire to maximize vegetation structure for cover.
  - Approximately 300 acres of high-energy grains were provided on Department-administered properties or on private property (20 projects) to serve as food for upland game and/or waterfowl. Approximately 1,500 acres, involving 41 private landowners were improved with forb seedings to provide forage for big game and brooding cover for upland game. Woody cover plantings were established at 13 separate locations on approximately 500 acres of private property and BLM land to improve habitat for big game, upland game, and nongame. This included establishment of approximately 110,000 seedlings of highly palatable forage species (bitterbrush, 4-wing saltbush, Hobble Creek sage, and Bonneville-Wyoming sage) planted on Conservation Reserve Program acreages and native range sites.
  - Field tours and meetings were attended and/or written comments provided pertaining to 36 project proposals or inquiries from other land management agencies or interested parties (See Subproject V below). Some projects involved considerable time commitments from all habitat staff and continue as ongoing projects (Sage Grouse Planning Areas/Working Groups, East Idaho Aspen Working Group, Soda Springs Hills Wildlife Mitigation Area).
  - Approximately 2,300 acres were directly treated for noxious weeds in cooperation with county weed departments and 4 Cooperative Weed Management Areas. An inmate crew from the Pocatello Womens Correctional Facility was contracted to assist with weed control on the Portneuf WMA.
  - Recently leased property adding to the Georgetown Summit WMA was monitored for trespass grazing and formerly cultivated acres (approximately 300a) were treated with herbicide in preparation for a fall seeding of permanent cover to benefit upland game and big game.
  - Aspen restoration projects throughout the region were reviewed. Planning procedures for future projects were considered through participation in the East Idaho Aspen Working Group. Additional acreage of aspen was treated on the Blackfoot River WMA for conifer encroachment.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Shrub plantings did not include mountain mahogany due to lack of availability. Due to time constraints no fertilization projects on native range or CRP stands were accomplished. Due to time constraints no additional woody cover plantings occurred on the Blackfoot River WMA river banks. Due to time constraints and curtailment of funding the goal of 50 new HIP projects was not reached.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- Planting records: Southeast Region server @ S:\Wildlife\MDI\MDI Habitat Projects\MDI Project Database.mdb
- Nest box records: ArcView project, R-5 server @ U:\Habitat\Projects\projects.apr, shapefile- U:\Habitat\Nestbox\nestbox.shp.
- HIP records: HIP statewide database.
- Weed control records: Statewide weed plan and report.
- Sterling WMA Bureau of Reclamation Annual Report

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Paul Wackenhut  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 232-4703  
[Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 30, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Upper Snake Region All work was accomplished in Butte, Bonneville, Bingham, Custer, Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison and Teton counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Improve and maintain high-quality waterfowl and upland game habitat.
  - Improve and maintain high-quality big game transition, migration, and winter range habitats.
  - Inventory Department managed properties for non-game wildlife species.
  - Focus efforts to improve habitat for mule deer as per MDI and the MDI action plan.
  - Pursue projects that benefit greater sage-grouse.
  - Restore or replace in-kind habitat on mitigation properties.
  - Provide high-quality habitat for wildlife species at risk (T&E, sensitive, etc.).
  - Provide custodial management of federally threatened Ute's Ladies Tresses on SCWMA.
  - Manage habitat on Department-administered properties to provide diverse recreational opportunities.
  - Pursue habitat developments on Department-administered properties within the context of healthy ecosystems and landscape management.
  - Assist private landowners in efforts to improve or develop wildlife habitat on private land.
  - Collaborate with public land managers to improve or develop wildlife habitat on public land.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Cartier Slough WMA and a portion of the TCWMA are BOR mitigation projects for the Ririe Dam and Teton Dam projects. Gem State WHA is a City of Idaho Falls mitigation project. Deer Parks WMU and Twin Bridges WMU are BPA mitigation projects. IDFG owns lands at Market Lake WMA, Mud Lake WMA, Chilly Sough WCA, SCWMA, and TCWMA. Other properties are managed by the Department via agreements and management plans. Upper Snake Region is responding to a new, priority mule deer management plan, as directed by the Commission and IDFG leadership. The Idaho Governor's office through the Office of Species Conservation has also identified sage-grouse habitat conservation as a high priority issue. The Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) has been a priority in the region in 2007.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Investigated opportunities to secure wildlife habitat on private and public lands. Administered HIP and Adopt-A-Wetland programs on private and public lands.
- Region participated in the Eastern Idaho Aspen Working Group to address concerns about aspen decline in Eastern Idaho.
- Established Regional programs, priorities, and policies regarding habitat development.
- Reviewed and approved habitat improvement plans.
- Administered Regional budgets and resources toward habitat development.
- Administered management agreements and leases including 4 sharecrop or use/trade agreements.
- Reviewed and developed land acquisition proposals. Completed acquisition of one property, evaluated and supported conservation easements proposed by Land Trusts in the region. Actively pursuing acquisition of critical winter range, wetlands and a wildlife corridor.
- Improved 400 acres of Conservation Reserve Program lands through the Mule Deer Initiative.
- Improved 140 acres of private property for sage-grouse through the CREP program.
- Improved 400 acres of habitat on Tex Creek and Sand Creek WMAs through controlled burning.
- Removed conifers from 50 acres of aspen at Tex Creek to improve habitat for aspen dependent species.
- Established 8 new projects to benefit sharp-tailed grouse through the SAFE program.
- Developed Geographical Information Systems (GIS) to provide planning tools, and map and evaluate habitats and project proposals.
- Planted over 100 acres of permanent vegetation to improve habitat.
- Planted 68,000 upland shrubs on public and private property.
- Maintained 13 guzzlers to provide water sources.

- Manipulated existing habitat via prescribed fire, mowing, and harvest techniques.
- Supported Forest Service in Fire Use areas.
- Administered vehicle restrictions.
- Maintained 148 miles of boundary fences to prevent unauthorized livestock grazing on WMAs and coordinated fence maintenance with neighbors.
- Replaced approximately 2 miles of boundary fences.
- Developed 19 new nesting structures and maintained existing artificial nesting structures for Canada geese and ducks.
- Monitored area closures to protect habitats and wildlife.
- Monitored and protected sensitive plant species.
- Treated noxious weeds on over 4,000 acres via biological, chemical, and mechanical means. Coordinated with other agencies to treat more acres on public and private lands.
- Monitored water rights and coordinated water delivery to WMAs.
- Protected nesting habitats for T&E species.
- Established food plots on WMAs via sharecrop agreements, volunteer support from NGOs, and through IDFG labor and equipment.
- Replaced water delivery structures to provide better water level control in marshes and ponds.
- Provided 500 acres of standing crops and crop residue on WMAs for wildlife food and cover via sharecrop agreements and direct Department efforts.
- Administered motorized use plans on WMAs to regulate motorized use.
- Helped design habitat improvements including 34 acres of corn foodplots on private and public land and provided cost-sharing where appropriate.
- Monitored existing habitat improvement agreements, conservation easements, and leases.
- Participated in management activities of Coordinated Weed Management Areas.
- Implemented a beaver transplant program to improve aspen and riparian communities. Transplanted 4 beaver.
- Removed Russian olive from 5 acres at Market Lake to reduce predation on waterfowl nests.
- Continued with annual goat grazing project on Cartier WMA to control leafy spurge.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

The Upper Snake Region Habitat Section is full of overachievers. We will try harder to stick to doing only what we said we would do next year.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Terry Thomas  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 525-7290  
[Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project III – Habitat Development

2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

3. **Location of work:** Salmon Region All work was accomplished in Custer and Lemhi counties.

4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

5. **Objective(s):**

1. Improve key wildlife habitats such as big game winter range, waterfowl and upland game production areas, riparian areas, and native plant communities to meet existing wildlife habitat needs on lands managed by the Department. Habitat development projects on department lands include:

**Pahsimeroi River Access Area (PRAA)**

- a) Riparian plantings on the PRAA spring 2008. Quantities and locations were determined fall, 2008. Riparian species will be hand planted on 150 feet of eroded banks, taking about 3 days in May 2008. Endangered fish (Chinook, steelhead, bull trout), waterfowl, upland game, and non-game will benefit.
- b) Management activities at the PRAA are designed to preserve and improve habitat for the production and maintenance of wildlife populations. A project will treat 15 acres of noxious weeds, remove all 0.9 miles of internal cross fences and relocate jack fences. The work will occur fall 2008 - spring 2009 when conditions are optimum for Milestone chemical treatments. Upland game, big game, and non-game species will benefit, including bald eagles and curlews.
- c) Reseed 20 acres to upland and waterfowl habitat in October 2008 on the PRAA, provided the lessee's relocate in summer 2008. An existing field will be sprayed,

tilled, and reseeded to grain. Upland game birds, big game, and waterfowl will benefit (see attached location map).

2. Enhance habitat conditions on public lands to support a desired population level as opportunities arise.
  - a) One spring/pasture area (11 acres total) on public land will be fenced with an Office of Species Conservation grant to enhance sage-grouse summer habitat through the Challis Sage-grouse Local Working Group, July 2008. Sage grouse, upland game, big game, and nongame species will benefit.
3. Work with private landowners to enhance wildlife habitat on private property. Habitat development projects on private lands include:
  - a) Create a 2-acre shallow water area (swa) 5 miles north of Challis near the Salmon River and 1.5 ac swa near Tendoy, late summer 2008 and spring 2009. Waterfowl and shorebirds will benefit.
  - b) Tree and shrub plantings on 3 acres (two landowners located at 12 mile and Tower Creek) to enhance upland habitat during May 2008. Upland game birds and nongame birds will benefit.
  - c) Create a 1.5 ac pond near Tendoy.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Salmon and Steelhead, Fishing and Boating Access Programs provide funding to maintain and create new public fishing and boating access sites. These Programs funded much of the Fishing and Boating Access site maintenance in the region.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Management activities in the Salmon Region included implementing the noxious weed control program on the region's access areas and WHAs (1,140 acres), coordinating with the Lemhi, Custer, and Frank Church CWMAs, and tree and shrub plantings and other practices designed to improve riparian, rangeland, grassland, and forestland plant communities. Habitat personnel also worked within the region to help develop and implement conservation programs and grants that are designed to restore wildlife habitat on private lands. Farm Bill conservation programs, federal and state conservation programs, and competitive conservation grants funded projects on private land provided the funding. In addition:

- One cooperative federal-state OSC funded habitat fencing project was completed to benefit sage-grouse habitat. A cooperative IDFG/BLM fence removal project in the 18-mile drainage was completed which benefited big game and sage grouse.

- 260 trees and shrubs were planted on two private properties to improve upland and waterfowl habitat along Tower and 12-mile Creeks and the two acre shallow water site near Challis was developed to enhance waterfowl habitat.
- 0.9 mile of fence was picked up and ½ mile jack fence relocated within the PRAA and 15 acres noxious weeds treated; the riparian planting and 20 acre seeding project was delayed to 2010 since the lessee remained on the property in 2009.
- A foot bridge was built to access the southeast corner of the PRAA.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

The riparian plantings and upland seedings on the PRAA were not completed due to the lessee not moving from the leased property until fall, 2009. The footbridge was installed since the BLM NEPA requirements were completed prior to the anticipated date. The 1.5ac Tendoy pond was re-scheduled to 2010 since the landowner did not complete federal application requirements for the project.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Annual PR report.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Greg Painter  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Biologist  
(208) 756-2271  
[Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Statewide

**4. Costs:** Budgeted funds and actual expenditures do not include overhead or leave costs. Actual PR and State Match expenditures represent payments made through 30 June 2008. Expenditures after 30 June 2008 were not available for this report but will be included in the final financial statement submitted by 29 December 2008.

Source	Budgeted	Actual
Federal: PR	122,625	74,100
State: Match	40,875	24,700
Total Project	163,500	98,800

**5. Objective(s):**

1. Collect current public use information on Department-managed lands including recreational use, opinions, hunting success, and harvest.
2. Collect current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information on Department-managed lands.
3. Collect and/or obtain current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information for statewide management recommendations.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Survey and inventory activities conducted by habitat personnel are funded by PR and State License funds and other Federal grants.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Objective 1. Collect current public use information on Department-managed lands including recreational use, opinions, hunting success, and harvest.

- Collected visitor use information at most WMAs using traffic counters, random visitor surveys, targeted field contacts, hunter participation check stations, and trailhead surveys (see below for a list of completed surveys). For 2008, over 35% of visitor use can be attributed to hunting, fishing, or trapping.
- A public use survey was completed on the McArthur Lake WMA and continued on the Snow Peak WMA (Panhandle Region).
- Implemented recreational use survey associated with Redbird (Clearwater Region) parking lot.

Objective 2. Collect current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information on Department-managed lands.

- Wildlife habitat and population information collected on Department-managed lands is site-specific and designed to monitor the primary objectives of each parcel. Survey and inventory activities included vegetation transects on big game winter range and riparian habitats, stream flow and water table monitoring, noxious weed monitoring and mapping, breeding bird surveys, waterfowl brood and pair counts, sage-grouse lek counts, and aerial big game and chukar surveys. All regions participated at the levels anticipated. See the Statewide Annual Habitat report for a complete listing of activities within each region.

Objective 3. Collect and/or obtain current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information for statewide management recommendations.

- Habitat personnel were involved in survey and inventory activities within their area of responsibility (i.e., habitat district or region). Activities were similar to those listed in Objective 2 but included more collaborative work with outside agencies and Department personnel.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Overall program emphasis remained skewed toward implementing habitat projects on public and private lands and providing technical assistance to landowners. The consequence was that fewer days were allocated toward survey and inventory activities within the regions. Actual project cost was \$64,700 less than the estimated project budget of \$163,500 as of 30 June 2008.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

FY08 IDFG Statewide Habitat Program Report  
2006-2008 IDFG Public Use Survey: McArthur Lake WMA

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Tom Hemker  
Statewide Habitat Manager  
(208) 334-2920  
[tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Panhandle Region All work was accomplished in Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, and Benewah counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Collect current public use information on Department-managed lands including recreational use, opinion, hunting success, and harvest information.
  - Collect current wildlife habitat and population characteristic information on Department-managed lands.
  - Collect and/or obtain current wildlife habitat and population characteristic information for regional management direction.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Panhandle Habitat Section is funded by a variety of sources including state-generated license funds, PR funds, DJ funds, and BPA funds. Funding sources are used in a coordinated fashion to attain similar, supporting objectives.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Survey and inventory work completed on Department-managed lands in the Panhandle included the following:

- All three regional wildlife biologists updated and revised the existing monitoring plan for their respective habitat district.
- A public use survey was completed on Snow Peak WMA.
- A western grebe colony was monitored on Pend Oreille WMA and the Coeur d'Alene River WMA.
- Water right use and diversion was monitored on Boundary Creek WMA.
- Stream flows were monitored on Boundary Creek WMA.
- Waterfowl breeding pair/brood counts were completed on four WMAs.
- Waterfowl banding occurred on four WMAs and ~1,500 ducks were banded.
- Goose nest platform and wood duck nest box surveys were conducted on the Boundary Creek, McArthur Lake, Pend Oreille, and Coeur d'Alene River WMAs.
- Osprey nests were surveyed on the Coeur d'Alene River WMA.
- Hunter check stations were operated on three WMAs during opening weekend of waterfowl season.
- Photo-points were monitored on three WMAs.
- Noxious weed infestations were monitored and the success of treatment was evaluated on five WMAs.

In addition to activities on Department-managed lands, the following survey and inventory work was completed on alternate areas to assist with the collection of regional data utilized by the Wildlife Population Management Section.

- Bald eagle productivity was monitored throughout Panhandle Region.
- Pheasant crow counts were conducted.
- Regional wildlife habitat biologists assisted with the operation of deer and elk hunter check stations.
- Regional wildlife habitat biologists assisted with winter aerial surveys for big game.
- Habitat Section staff completed the annual midwinter waterfowl survey.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Most survey and inventory issues were addressed as anticipated. The following activities were not completed because the associated positions were vacant for a portion of FY09:

- Western grebe colonies were not monitored on the Pend Oreille and Coeur d'Alene River WMAs.
- Owls were not surveyed on the St. Maries and Coeur d'Alene River WMAs.
- Small mammals were not surveyed on the Snow Peak WMA.
- Passerine breeding bird surveys were not conducted on the Coeur d'Alene River WMA.

- Bat mist net surveys were not completed on the St. Maries and Coeur d'Alene River WMAs.
- A passerine bird banding station was not established on the Coeur d'Alene River WMA.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Bryan Helmich  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 769-1414  
[Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** June 30, 2009
3. **Location of work:**  
Clearwater Region.  
All work accomplished in Clearwater, Idaho, Latah, Lewis, and Nez Perce Counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  1. To collect current public use information on Department managed lands, including public use levels, activities and harvest information.
  2. To collect current information on wildlife habitat and population characteristics on lands managed by the Department.
  3. To assist in collecting regional wildlife population information for statewide population management decisions.
  4. Monitor upland game populations in reference to new habitat improvements and from programs including HIP, Clearwater Pheasant Initiative, and the NRCS Farm Bill implementation.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**  
  
Project funding was combined with other license and federal funds to accomplish objectives.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Conducted snow track surveys for forest carnivores.
- Conducted regional waterfowl survey with wildlife population personnel.
- Participated in black bear survey routes.
- Assisted population staff on aerial big game surveys.
- Continued surveying and mapping noxious weeds across CMWMA.
- Assisted in monitoring bighorn sheep associated with CMWMA.
- Continued surveys for potential Palouse Prairie remnant parcels for the presence of native vegetation plant communities.
- In coordination with USFS, monitored post burn habitats across national forestlands in relation to habitat effectiveness for elk. Conducted preliminary assessments.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**10. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Species progress reports, including elk, mule deer, white-tailed deer, black bear, upland game, and waterfowl.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jim White  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
208-799-5010  
[jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Southwest Region All work was accomplished in Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Idaho, Owyhee, Payette, Valley, and Washington counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

1. Collect current public use information on Department-managed lands including public use levels, activities, and harvest information.
2. Collect current information on wildlife habitat and population characteristics on lands managed by the Department.
3. Assist in collecting regional wildlife population information for statewide population management decisions.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Work accomplished under this grant was done, in part, in support of regional and statewide wildlife population and habitat survey and inventory projects funded from non-project sources.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Public use information:

- Quantified visitor use on Department-managed areas using car counters and random surveys.

- Monitored indices of hunter participation and success using annual check stations on opening days of upland and waterfowl seasons on Fort Boise WMA.
- Monitored use and success of hunters on Cecil D. Andrus WMA using season-long hunter check-in procedures.

Wildlife on Department lands:

- Collected avian influenza survey samples from live and dead waterfowl
- Conducted annual brood pair counts on WMAs with waterfowl production.
- Measured forage utilization using standard techniques on Department lands with livestock grazing management
- Continued progress in the area of developing geographic information systems application skills through training, practice, and software support for WMA personnel to document and communicate wildlife habitat and population information on Department lands.

Regional wildlife surveys:

- Trapped and banded migratory birds.
- Conducted counts of sage-grouse leks and roadside counts for other game birds, including pheasants, quail and mourning doves.
- Conducted aerial surveys of big game, waterfowl, and chukars.
- Collected and analyzed condition and location information for big game traffic mortalities.
- Coordinated with CWMA's to inventory and map noxious weed infestations in respective habitat districts.
- Assisted in capture operations for regional mule deer winter survival studies.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jerry Deal  
 Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
 (208) 465-8465, ext. 306  
[jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Magic Valley Region All work was accomplished in Blaine, Elmore, Camas, Gooding, Twin Falls, Jerome and Cassia counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Collect current public use information on Department-managed lands including recreational uses, opinions, hunting success, and harvest.
  - Collect current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information on Department-managed lands.
  - Collect and obtain current fish and wildlife habitat and population characteristics information throughout Magic Valley Region for statewide management recommendations.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

- N/A -

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Trailhead sign-in registers, survey boxes, and random field surveys were conducted to determine visitor use on WMAs. Conducted random field checks of hunters on opening day of specific hunts and on weekends. Worked with local Conservation Officers to enforce motorized closures on WMAs.
- Mapped noxious weed infestations and treatment areas using GPS and ArcView software on Department-owned lands. Conducted riparian transect surveys and/or historical photo points to document riparian vegetation succession.
- Assisted regional Department population biologists, and BLM and USFS biologists with various field projects to determine fish and wildlife presence/absence, distribution, relative abundance, hunter or angler harvest information, and public response/acceptance, etc. to wildlife management programs and policies. Conducted surveys to detect presence of West Nile Virus in bird populations on WMAs in the Magic Valley Region.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Mark Fleming  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 324-4359  
[mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Southeast Region All work was accomplished in Bannock, Bear Lake, Caribou, Franklin, Power, and portions of Bingham, Bonneville and Oneida counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Collect current public use information on five WMAs and one Nature Area including type and level of use, harvest and miscellaneous comment. Collect current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information on all five WMAs in the region. Collect and/or obtain current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information for statewide management recommendations.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Unless otherwise noted, Federal Aid funding was used to cover portions of permanent and temporary salaries as well as operating expenses.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Traffic counters, random user survey (field contacts), and data from sign-in stations were employed to determine the level and type of public use throughout the year on Sterling and Portneuf WMAs, and during periods of significant use on Georgetown Summit and Blackfoot River WMAs and the Edson Fichter Nature Area.

- Waterfowl pair counts and brood surveys were conducted on Sterling WMA to continue monitoring of nesting success.
- Nest structure use was monitored on Sterling WMA.
- Both greater sage-grouse and sharp-tailed grouse lek surveys were coordinated within the Curlew and East Idaho Uplands Sage Grouse Planning Areas, particularly in the vicinity of WMAs and historical locations.
- Habitat personnel assisted with biological check stations, and research projects to monitor movements and population trends of upland game, waterfowl, and big game as requested.
- As per the MDI action plan, big game winter ranges identified throughout the region were given brief assessments. Two comprehensive winter range assessments/plans(Rockland Valley and East Bear Lake) have been essentially completed and await final editing.
- Regional personnel have been working closely with other agencies, NGOs and publics to identify and address concerns with aspen communities. This has included participation in the Eastern Idaho Aspen Working Group and particularly the Science and Technology Subcommittee. Numerous meetings and one field tour were attended by regional personnel.
- Protocols were established and followed for monitoring most plantings referenced above.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- Voluntary sign-in stations have been placed on all WMAs except Montpelier WMA. Due to time and funding constraints, traffic counters were not placed on all WMAs and interviews were not formally conducted.
- Due to time constraints and personnel shortages no vegetation transects were surveyed on the big game winter ranges.
- In the past year there has been continuing involvement with officials regarding West Nile Virus concerns and the Sterling WMA wetlands. Personnel have cooperated to monitor mosquito populations and apply recommended treatments including larvacide application and minnow releases.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- Sterling WMA User Survey Summary
- Waterfowl production records: Sterling WMA breeding pair count/brood survey summary
- Sterling WMA Nest Structure Use Summary
- Sharp-tailed grouse lek records: Summary of lek searches conducted throughout the region during the report period.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Paul Wackenhut  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 232-4703  
[Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 30, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Upper Snake Region All work was accomplished in Butte, Bonneville, Bingham, Custer, Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison and Teton counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Collect public use information on Department-managed properties to determine public use levels, user activities, and harvest information.
  - Collect information on wildlife habitat on public land.
  - Collect information on wildlife populations on public land.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Cartier Slough WMA and a portion of Tex Creek WMA are BOR mitigation projects for the Ririe Dam and Teton Dam projects. Gem State WHA is a City of Idaho Falls mitigation project. Deer Parks WMU and Twin Bridges WMU are BPA mitigation projects. IDFG owns lands at Market Lake WMA, Mud Lake WMA, Chilly Sough WCA, SCWMA, and TCWMA. Other properties are managed by the Department via agreements and management plans. BPA mitigation projects have defined monitoring programs. TCWMA and Cartier Slough WMA are managed consistent with the BOR Ririe Reservoir Resource Management Plan of 2001.

7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Public use information was collected via traffic counters, incidental personal contact surveys, and some stratified random surveys per Regional protocol.
- Wildlife habitat was monitored on managed properties with permanent vegetation transects, photo points, and GIS mapping. An emphasis was placed on mapping noxious weeds and control operations.
- RHBs and the Tex Creek Technician have been very involved in research monitoring the impacts of elk upon mule deer.
- Winter range on Tex Creek WMA is being evaluated in conjunction with the elk/deer research.
- Summer mule deer habitat is being monitored in conjunction with the elk/deer study. A predictive model of mule deer fawning habitat use is being developed.
- Wildlife populations were monitored in a wide variety of methods. Some of those methods included lek survey routes, hunter harvest reports; aerial surveys, goose pair counts, point count surveys, wing barrels, brood counts, spotlight counts, capture and marking, small mammal live trapping, and direct observations of individuals and groups of animals.
- Piezometers were monitored at SCWMA to monitor water tables in order to determine effects on federally threatened Ute's Ladies Tresses.
- RHBs monitored habitat on some public land via field tours with federal and state agency personnel and through independent inspections of grazing allotments and proposed timber and range projects.
- Avian Influenza was monitored via trapping and monitoring hunter harvest. This effort was led by RHBs.
- Ducks were banded at both Market Lake and Mud Lake WMAs.
- RHBs inspected sites for proposed subdivisions and reported findings in comment letters to county planning and zoning officials.
- RHBs inspected proposed conservation easements submitted by the Teton Regional Land Trust (TRLT) as requested and reported findings to TRLT personnel.
- Habitat staff took the lead on trapping and banding of mourning doves.
- Habitat staff assisted with trapping and radio-collaring deer and elk.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Waterfowl brood surveys conducted only on some areas and not every year. Emphasis is currently focused on monitoring for Avian Influenza and duck banding.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Terry Thomas  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 525-7290  
[Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project IV – Survey and Inventory

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Salmon Region All work was accomplished in Custer and Lemhi counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

1. Collect current public-use information on Department-managed lands including recreational use, opinions, hunting success, and harvest.
2. Collect current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information on Department-managed lands (December 2008 – March 2009).
3. Collect and/or obtain current wildlife habitat and population characteristics information for statewide management recommendations (year-round).
4. A revised Excel database with the region's sage-grouse lek routes will be created July 2009. Also, a database system will be created to store the region's elk and deer aerial survey data. The database will be made available to help Department and other natural resource personnel evaluate impacts of habitat projects on populations.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

This work was completed in conjunction with the region's Wildlife Population Management Program and budgeted with additional funding from the Office of Species Conservation on sage-grouse work.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- A database system was created to store the region's elk, deer and sage grouse survey data. Regional habitat personnel continued mapping noxious weed infestations and treatment areas using GPS and ArcView software.
- Regional habitat personnel assisted CWMA's with regional noxious weed inventory and mapping projects. Regional habitat personnel assisted with all aerial big game surveys, fawn mortality studies, sage grouse lek counts, and trapping/banding studies on Department and other lands. The sage-grouse lek route database was updated in 2009. These data are kept in ArcView 9.2 shapefiles

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Public-use and habitat/population data were not gathered on the region's access areas (no established protocol in place yet) or the PRAA since hunting is not allowed until the lessee moves from the leased area. Circumstances did not allow the lessee to move until fall, 2009.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Annual PR reports.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Greg Painter  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Biologist  
(208) 756-2271  
[Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Statewide

**4. Costs:** Budgeted funds and actual expenditures do not include overhead or leave costs. Actual PR and State Match expenditures represent payments made through 30 June 2008. Expenditures after 30 June 2008 were not available for this report but will be included in the final financial statement submitted by 29 December 2008.

Source	Budgeted	Actual
Federal: PR	135,742	100,071
State: Match	45,247	33,356
Total Project	180,989	133,427

**5. Objective(s):**

- Provide current wildlife habitat and population information, express concerns, and convey recommendations to state, federal, and private parties contemplating projects that may affect wildlife.
- Provide technical habitat and population management advice to public and private landowners and other agencies in order to sustain or enhance wildlife resources.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Technical guidance provided by habitat personnel to outside entities is funded by PR and State License funds and other Federal grants.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Habitat personnel dedicated approximately 600 days to implement the technical guidance project. Objectives were often met by working cooperatively and collaboratively with other state and federal agencies, private parties, and NGOs. Examples of how these objectives were met include the following: MDI, Clearwater Pheasant Initiative, hydropower relicensing, urban-wildland development, forest practices, livestock grazing management, range rehabilitation, noxious weed control, wetland and riparian enhancement, transportation projects, wind-power development, and wildlife habitat improvements on private property (LIP, NRCS/Farm Bill).

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Hours of technical assistance decreased over the previous year but it is likely that personnel time may have been charged to Project I and III when it should have been charged to Project V due to similarities. It is also likely that technical assistance was covered under another grant that is part of the larger Habitat Program undertaking.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

FY08 IDFG Habitat Program Report

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Tom Hemker  
Statewide Habitat Manager  
(208) 334-2920  
[tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Panhandle Region All work was accomplished in Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Shoshone, and Benewah counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

- Provide current wildlife habitat and population information, concerns, and recommendations to state, federal, and private parties contemplating projects with the potential to affect wildlife.
- Provide technical habitat and population management advice to public and private landowners and other agencies in order to sustain or enhance wildlife resources.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

The Panhandle Habitat Section is funded by a variety of sources including state-generated license funds, PR funds, DJ funds, and BPA funds. Funding sources are used in a coordinated fashion to attain similar, supporting objectives.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Panhandle Habitat Section staff met regularly with the USFS, BLM, Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), NRCS, FSA, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, IDL, Idaho Department of Water Resources, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, and Idaho Department of

Agriculture. Additionally, contact was maintained with the major private landowners throughout the Panhandle including primarily timber companies, large farmers/ranchers, and hydropower operators. As requested by private entities and as deemed prudent with public entities, Panhandle Habitat Section staff reviewed project proposals and provided input to reduce, eliminate, and/or mitigate for potential wildlife impacts associated with land management activities.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

Technical guidance issues were addressed as anticipated. No discrepancies of consequence exist.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Bryan Helmich  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 769-1414  
[Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Bryan.helmich@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** June 30, 2009

**3. Location of work:**

Clearwater Region.

All work completed in Clearwater, Idaho, Latah, Lewis, and Nez Perce counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Provide current information on wildlife populations and habitat and provide recommendations to federal, state and local government agencies, industry and private parties regarding potential wildlife impacts and mitigation actions related to projects that they are proposing within the Clearwater Region. Work closely with the public, including private landowners to maintain and improve habitat on both public and private lands. Provide technical assistance to the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) through the Technical Service Provider (TSP) program. Provide outdoor recreational opportunities. Share information with internal and external customers.

**5. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

During the last year, Clearwater regional personnel have continued to act as Technical Service Providers for planning and implementation of the wildlife practices within the USDA Farm Bill, under the terms of a Contribution Agreement. Funding to implement the Contribution Agreement was provided by NRCS. Implementation of the Contribution Agreement, in combination with the Department's Habitat Improvement Program (HIP) and the Landowner Incentives Program (LIP) greatly expanded the Department's landowner contacts and our ability to affect large acreages of habitat for upland game and other wildlife species.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Provided Technical Service Provider services to NRCS. Worked with landowners to enhance existing CRP fields for wildlife.
- Assisted Environmental Staff Biologist when requested to respond to requests for Department input on proposed projects within respective habitat districts in the Clearwater Region. Provided significant comments concerning USFS Travel Plans, USFS river recreation and development, BLM Resource Management Plans, and BLM Outfitter issues.
- Participated on the Tri-State Weed Management Committee, the Salmon River Weed Management Committee, the Clearwater Weed Management Committee, The Palouse Weed Cooperative Management Committee, the Dworshak Master Planning Committee, and Nez Perce and Latah County AFO and EQUIP Technical Committees.
- Worked on local committees for the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Wild Turkey Federation, Pheasants Forever, and the Latah Wildlife Association.
- Provided technical guidance to the Idaho Outfitter and Guides Board on Outfitter issues on CMWMA.
- Participated in RMEF horseback tour of CMWMA, providing technical information on habitat conditions, habitat projects, and elk populations.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jim White  
Clearwater Regional Habitat Biologist  
208-799-5010  
[jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jim.white@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Southwest Region All work was accomplished in Ada, Adams, Boise, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Idaho, Owyhee, Payette, Valley, and Washington counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objectives:**
  1. Provide current wildlife population and habitat information, express concerns, and provide recommendations to federal, state, and local government agencies; industry; and private parties regarding potential wildlife impacts of projects which they are planning within the Southwest Region.
  2. Provide technical advice on wildlife habitat and species information to private parties and public entities to assist them in decisions on management activities that will sustain or enhance wildlife resources.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

N/A
7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**

Regional habitat personnel responded to requests for technical assistance regarding potential impacts of proposed projects as requested either through individual evaluations and comment or participation in cooperative groups:

- In response to approximately 125 requests for comment on proposed projects within the Southwest Region, biologists provided information on wildlife habitat, probable species impacts, and recommended mitigation measures using current available sources resulting in 85 written responses.
- Participated in interagency and intradepartmental technical and advisory groups for species recovery, hydropower development, and regional planning.
- Wildlife habitat program personnel responded as requested in person, via telephone, or letter to approximately 300 direct inquiries regarding methods and recommendations for management of wildlife habitat on private and public lands within the Southwest Region.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

N/A

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

N/A

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Jerry Deal  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 465-8465, ext. 306  
[jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:jerry.deal@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Magic Valley Region All work was accomplished in Blaine, Elmore, Camas, Gooding, Twin Falls, Jerome and Cassia counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Provide current wildlife habitat and population information, concerns, and recommendations to state, federal, and private parties contemplating projects with the potential to affect fish and wildlife resources in the Magic Valley Region.
  - Provide technical habitat and population management advice to public and private landowners and other agencies in order to sustain or enhance wildlife resources.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**  
  
- N/A -
7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**
  - Worked with BLM, USFS, FSA, NRCS, and similar entities by providing information regarding resident and migratory wildlife populations within Magic Valley Region and how proposed land management practices or treatments may affect those resources directly and indirectly.
  - Provided 49 written comments regarding proposed land management practices to city, county, state, and federal agencies.

- Provided technical assistance to 45 private landowners in Magic Valley Region wishing to improve habitat for wildlife resources.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Hayden, J., G. Ardt, M. Fleming, T. W. Keegan, J. Peek, T. O. Smith, and A. Wood. 2008. Habitat Guidelines for Mule Deer: Northern Forest Ecoregion. Mule Deer Working Group, Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. USA.

Cox, M., D. W. Lutz, T. Wasley, M. Fleming, B. B. Compton, T. Keegan, D. Stroud, S. Kilpatrick, K. Gray, J. Carlson, L. Carpenter, K. Urquhart, B. Johnson, and C. McLaughlin. 2009. Habitat Guidelines for Mule Deer: Intermountain West Ecoregion. Mule Deer Working Group, Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Mark Fleming  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 324-4359  
[mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:mark.fleming@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Southeast Region All work was accomplished in Bannock, Bear Lake, Caribou, Franklin, Power, and portions of Bingham, Bonneville and Oneida counties.

**4. Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.

**5. Objective(s):**

Provide current wildlife habitat and population information concerns, and recommendations to state, federal, and private parties contemplating projects with the potential to affect wildlife. Provide technical habitat and population management advice to public and private landowners and other agencies in order to sustain or enhance wildlife resources.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Unless otherwise noted, Federal Aid funding was used to cover portions of permanent and temporary salaries as well as operating expenses.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Habitat staff worked closely with the regional environmental staff biologist and other staff to gather and provide written comment, attend tours, meetings, or otherwise represent the Department in providing comment on 36 projects or topics. Of particular note were lead roles in the sage grouse local working groups (3 in the

Southeast Region) and ongoing participation in the East Idaho Aspen Working Group.

- We continued to assess potential impacts to wildlife values on CRP plantings and other habitat, especially regarding improvements conducted or proposed by the Department or other land managers. This has involved close coordination with our Farm Bill coordinator, the MDI program coordinator and regional technicians. Monitoring protocol for assessing herbaceous and woody plantings has been developed and is being followed for improvement projects.
- We continued correspondence with the BLM, Caribou County, and the Shoshone Bannock tribes regarding the Soda Hills Wildlife Mitigation Area Management Plan and the implementation of travel restrictions. This included several meetings with various parties.
- Provided technical assistance to approximately 70 private landowners or other land management agencies for the improvement or development of wildlife habitat through the habitat management program. This included field contacts, project designs/cooperative agreements and the coordination of necessary equipment, materials and personnel. Many of these projects that occurred on public land or privately owned CRP were funded at no cost to the actual landowner. Sixty three contacts resulted in on the ground habitat improvement projects. Most projects were designed to primarily benefit mule deer and upland game or waterfowl.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

-N/A-

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Paul Wackenhut  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 232-4703  
[Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Paul.wackenhut@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Upper Snake Region All work was accomplished in Butte, Bonneville, Bingham, Custer, Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Madison and Teton counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  - Provide wildlife habitat and population information, concerns, and recommendations to local, state and federal agencies proposing projects or considering actions with the potential to affect wildlife.
  - Provide assistance to private landowners who have interests in improving wildlife habitat on their property.
  - Provide technical assistance which will sustain or enhance wildlife resources and which will help alleviate wildlife problems or concerns.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

Technical assistance is provided to the public and other agencies from a variety of employees in Upper Snake Region. The Habitat Section is responsible for providing technical assistance to private landowners who wish to improve their property for wildlife. The Habitat Section is also responsible for projects that are proposed at the Habitat District level, which may affect wildlife habitat. These would include subdivisions, timber sales, range allotment plans, prescribed fires, and other projects submitted by area agency representatives. The Region's Environmental Staff Biologist handles programs and projects that will impact the entire Upper Snake Region or a

significant portion thereof. The Environmental Staff Biologist is also responsible for projects that deal with water issues and most fisheries issues. The Region's Landowner Sportsmen Coordinator is responsible for responding to landowners with wildlife depredation complaints and public access issues.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

- Requests for technical assistance were routed through the Regional Supervisor who assigned them to either the RWHM, Environmental Staff Biologist, or Landowner Sportsman Coordinator.
- The RWHM assigned technical assistance projects to the appropriate RHB.
- The RHB prepared draft comment letters for subsequent approval by the RWHM and the Regional Supervisor.
- The Habitat section did its best to respond to all requests for technical assistance and to provide some technical guidance independent of whether cost-sharing was available from the Department.
- Of note, the Habitat Section has been involved in one existing and two new sage-grouse local working groups and the Eastern Idaho Aspen Working Group.
- RHBs have been instrumental in providing comments on conservation easement proposals. In many instances IDFG comments have been critical in obtaining the easements.
- RHBs were encouraged to become familiar with and maintain current knowledge of habitats, issues, and projects within their Habitat Districts.
- Regional Habitat staff was encouraged to develop and maintain close working relationships with field-level personnel of local, state, and federal agencies as well as key members of non-governmental organizations operating within their Habitat District.
- All RHBs actively participate with their corresponding CWMA.
- Sand Creek WMA sponsored 2 educational events: Chester Wetlands Day and 4-H Wildlife Day.
- Technical assistance is provided in written form, verbally, and often in person and onsite.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement, and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

- N/A -

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Terry Thomas  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager  
(208) 525-7290  
[Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Terry.thomas@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

1. **State:** Idaho  
  
**Grant number:** W-173-D  
  
**Segment number:** 25  
  
**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management  
  
**Project number and name:** Project V – Technical Guidance
2. **Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009  
  
**Report due date:** September 28, 2009
3. **Location of work:** Salmon Region All work was accomplished in Custer and Lemhi counties.
4. **Costs:** See statewide summary for estimated costs.
5. **Objective(s):**
  1. Provide current wildlife habitat and population information, concerns, and recommendations to state, federal, and private parties contemplating projects with the potential to affect wildlife on a year-round basis.
  2. Provide technical habitat and population management advice to public and private landowners and other agencies in order to sustain or enhance wildlife resources on a year-round basis.
  3. Participate as a steering committee member for the Challis Local Sage-grouse Working Group and Lemhi, Custer, and Frank Church CWMAs.
6. **If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**  
  
- N/A -
7. **Describe how the objectives were met.**
  - Current wildlife habitat and population information was provided upon request (11 letters, 27 meetings attended) on proposed projects and activities within the Salmon Habitat District. Technical advice and information on Department management

programs and policies was provided to the public and personnel of the USFWS, BOR, BLM, USFS, NRCS, FSA, Lemhi and Custer SWCD's, Challis Local Sage-grouse Working Group, Lemhi and Frank Church CWMA's and the Lemhi and Custer Counties Planning and Zoning Commissions.

- Wildlife population and habitat information was also provided to the Idaho Departments of Water Resources, Transportation, Parks and Recreation, Lands, and Agriculture; Challis Experimental Stewardship Group, Salmon Valley Stewardship and other local groups by telephone, letter, person-to-person, and through participation in inter-agency technical and advisory committees.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

- N/A -

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

Annual PR reports.

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Greg Painter  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Biologist  
(208) 756-2271  
[Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:Greg.painter@idfg.idaho.gov)

**FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
ANNUAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**1. State:** Idaho

**Grant number:** W-173-D

**Segment number:** 25

**Grant name:** Wildlife Habitat Management

**Project number and name:** Project VI – *Access Yes!*

**2. Report Period:** July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

**Report due date:** September 28, 2009

**3. Location of work:** Statewide.

**4. Costs:** Budgeted funds and actual expenditures do not include overhead or leave costs. Actual PR and State Match expenditures represent payments made through 30 June 2008. Expenditures after 30 June 2008 were not available for this report but will be included in the final financial statement submitted by 29 December 2008.

Source	Budgeted	Actual
Federal: PR	85,891	60,928
State: Match	28,631	20,309
Total Project	114,522	81,237

**5. Objective(s):**

- Provide up to 4,200 acres of sportsman access to private land statewide in lease agreements between the Department and private landowners.
- Provide 12 months of field technician time to assist with landowner-sportsman relations in support of private land access statewide.

**6. If the work in this grant was part of a larger undertaking with other components and funding, present a brief overview of the larger activity and the role of this project.**

*Access Yes!* is partially funded by PR and State License funds and other non-federal grants. Federal Assistance funds (including Idaho’s funding match) accounted for a part of the combined expenditures associated with this larger undertaking.

**7. Describe how the objectives were met.**

Actual expenses represent 8% of the total expenditures for lease agreements between the Department and private landowners. In 2008, 444,735 acres were leased; and 8% of the acres leased equals 35,578 acres. Our objective was to provide 4,200 acres in lease agreements to Idaho sportsmen.

**8. Discuss differences between work anticipated in grant proposal and grant agreement and that actually carried out with Federal Aid grant funds.**

It was anticipated that PR funds would be used for personnel costs associated with providing additional technician time to assist with the *Access Yes!* program. All personnel costs were covered by license dollars. Land lease expenses were charged appropriately to the grant. All planned work was accomplished and resulted in cost saving for this project.

**9. List any publications or in-house reports resulting from this work.**

FY08 IDFG Habitat Program Report

*Access Yes!* Website:

<http://fishandgame.idaho.gov/ifwis/huntplanner/accessyesguide.aspx>

**Name, title, phone number, and e-mail address of person compiling this report:**

Tom Hemker

Statewide Habitat Manager

(208) 334-2920

[tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov](mailto:tom.hemker@idfg.idaho.gov)

Submitted by:

Bryan Helmich  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager

Jim White  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager

Jerry Deal  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager

Mark Fleming  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager


Paul Wackenhut  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager


Terry Thomas  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager

Tom Keegan  
Regional Wildlife Habitat Manager

Greg Painter  
Regional Wildlife Biologist


Approved by: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

  
Dale E. Towell  
Wildlife Program Coordinator  
Federal Aid Coordinator

  
Jeff Gould  
Jeff Gould, Chief  
Bureau of Wildlife

IDAHO

GAME MANAGEMENT UNITS



## FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION

The Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program consists of funds from a 10% to 11% manufacturer's excise tax collected from the sale of handguns, sporting rifles, shotguns, ammunition, and archery equipment. The Federal Aid program then allots the funds back to states through a formula based on each state's geographic area and the number of paid hunting license holders in the state. The Idaho Department of Fish and Game uses the funds to help restore, conserve, manage, and enhance wild birds and mammals for the public benefit. These funds are also used to educate hunters to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to be responsible, ethical hunters. Seventy-five percent of the funds for this project are from Federal Aid. The other 25% comes from license-generated funds.

